by HARISH NARANG

ESSAY

Where is What I Started For Nation, Novel and Ideology in Vassanji's *AMRiiKA*

History fascinates Vassanji. If there is one common thread running through all his fiction – novels as well as short stories – it is his concern for history - history of individuals, communities and nations. Vassanji believes that fictional mode is a very valid mode - more valid than the mode of social sciences - for perceiving and writing the history of a society, including that of its individual members. In this, he is with Karl Marx, who while paying a glowing tribute to the English novelists of the nineteenth century -Dickens et al. - had observed that from them one could learn more about the history, politics and economy of Victorian England than from the social scientists of the time.

One cannot also help recalling, at this juncture, observations made by Aristotle in his *Poetics* about the similarity between the functions of poetry – representing all forms of writing, including fiction – and history. In fact, Aristotle places poetry on a higher pedestal because it deals not only with 'what had been' – history does that too – but also with 'what might be' – this history does not do.

Vassanji finds the histories of individuals, communities and nations not only significant and insightful but also closely interrelated. Therefore, he structures his narrative in such a manner and chooses such modes of narration that would enable him to place all three individuals, communities and nations on the axis of simultaneity. Thus in The Gunny Sack, through the portrayal of the history of Dhanji-Govindji, his daughterin-law Jibai and the narrator Kala nee Salim, Jibai's grand nephew - simultaneously - Vassanji focusses on the history of the entire overseas community of Shamsis which is in diaspora with India, having left the shores of western Gujrat - Kutch and Kathiawar, Junagarh and Porbandar - at the end of the nineteenth century and the beginning of

the twentieth, to make East Africa their new home. The sense of simultaneity that I have spoken about above which is so very crucial to Vassanji's world-view, is created by the writer moving his narrative back and forth both in terms of time and space – between the present and the past, between Bagamoyo and Junapur, between Tanzania of today and India of yesteryears. Thus while tracing the origins of Dhanji-Govindji, Vassanji traces, in the same episode, the origins of the entire community by dramatising the moment of their conversion to a sect of Islam by a Persian Pir called Shamas.

Origins also fascinate Vassanji and he is always interested in beginnings. 'Begin at the beginning' is a favourite expression of Miss Penny nee Mrs. Gaunt, Kala's English teacher in The Gunny Sack. Beginning is also Vassanji's favourite site for perceiving the contesting identities of individuals as well as of communities, if not of nations. For instance, there is quite a mystery that Vassanji weaves around the origins of characters like the Zanzibari woman, Bibi Taratibu and Huseini in The Gunny Sack and Nurmohamed alias Mzee Pipa, Mariamu and Akber Ali in The Book of Secrets. And the way the destinies of these individuals get mixed with those of their Communites and even nations to which they belong, shows once again Vassanji's fascination for viewing history as it rotates simultaneously on more than one axes. Dhanji-Govindji's obsessive love for his son Huseini, for instance, leads to his swindling the community of its funds and its eventual divisions into violent factions from which - the author suggests elsewhere - it could not recover for a long time. Similarly, Mzee Pipa's involvement with Mariamu in The Book of Secrets gets him mixed up with the Anglo-German rivalry to control parts of East Africa during the First World War. Again, in the same book, Akber Ali's mysterious

parentage – it is never revealed fully whose son he really is – leads to many a twist and turn in the fate of not only the Shamsi communities in the townships of Kikono and Moshi but of the British and German colonial rules as well.

It may be of interest to observe here, before we move on to AMRiiKA, that Vassanji bestows mixed parentage on some of his central characters to signify the diasporic situation which for him as also for many other immigrant writers is a favourite site for the perception of merging identities of individuals, communities and nations. Thus Huseini in the The Gunny Sack is the son of Dhanji-Govindji's union - illegal - with African Bibi Taratibu which represents, among others, the hierarchy of social relations between immigrant Indians and native Africans in a typical colonial sandwich situation. In The Book of Secrets, Akber Ali represents a similar hierarchy but between the coloniser British represented by Alfred Corbin - the alleged father of Akber Ali – and the colonized Indians, represented by Mariamu - the mother of Akber Ali.

First person narration through the persona of the protagonists is Vassanji's favourtie narrative mode. In *The Gunny Sack*, it is Kala nee Salim, the grand nephew of Jibai and in *The Book of Secrets*, it is Pius Fernandes, a retired teacher of history. This kind of mode of narration – Vassanji also uses it for telling many a short tale in his collection of short stories, *Uhuru Street* – gives him an opportunity to not only move back and forth in time and space but also to embed tales within tales so that, as he puts in *The Book of Secrets*, the tale 'ingests us and carries us with it and so it grows' (p. 2).

Vassanji's *AMRiiKA*, published in 1999, has all of these distinguishing features of his writings, namely, his fascination for history and his fancy for origins – of individuals, communities and nations. There is also this mystery surrounding some characters and hybridity built into the parentage of at least one crucial character. The mode of narration too is first person and the persona is that of a Tanzanian Shamsi from Dar es Salaam whose origins go back to Gujrat in India and who finds himself first studying at the Tech in Boston and later settling down in the USA.

But then let me begin, a la Vassanii himself, at the beginning. Let me talk first of the title of the novel - AMRiiKA which is in itself a phonological hybrid a partly assimilated mutant - of the English word America which incidentally in turn has a hybrid inheritance as well. There could not have been a more appropriate metaphor for studying the glocal situation of the world that Vassanji analyses in the book. Again, what could be more appropriate location - the title page and the title word – to announce his intentions. In fact, there is hybridity built into the very orthography of the word AMRiiKA - what with two dotted 'i's wedged between caps 'AMR' and 'KA'.

As stated above, observing individuals, communities and nation on axes of simultaneity – of time and space – is of crucial significance to Vassanji for his objective – certainly implicit if not explicit – of perceiving and evaluating cultures and societies in flux. The beginning of the narration in *AMRiiKA* – the first 14 pages to be more precise – is truly a tour de force of both structure and style in capturing this simultaneity. Let me elaborate on this a little, citing some quotes from the novel.

The novel begins with the narrator – Ramji is his name and he is a middle-aged Shamsi of Indian origin who had migrated from Dar es Salaam to the USA as a young graduate student, studying hardcore science at the Tech – telling us that he is writing his memoirs "not without encouragement – to imagine beginnings, yes and more, to sustain them and guide them to my present conditions, here in the obscurity of these rented rooms near a beach" (p. 2). These memoirs, Ramji tells us further, will heal 'my wounds' and 'even save my soul from endless torments' (p. 2). If reference to 'wounds' and 'endless torments' to the soul are not enough to arouse our curiousity to read on and know further about the narrator, we are also told these memoirs are also the subject of 'the probing attentions of a certain representative of the law' who 'does not interfere as yet but hovers just beyond the edge of my narrative' (p. 2).

And then the narrator plunges into what he calls 'a constructed origin', – something that we know Vassanji relishes doing for his characters – portraying 'a short stout old woman in a soft long dress with graying hair' who we are told was his 'grandma... a singer and healer who ... when she sang, she opened curiosity and old cupboards and strange premonitions and desires, even the desire to get away, leave everything behind' (p.3).

This, in fact, is what Vassanji himself does – opening 'curiosity' and 'old cupboards' – what he narrates next – of course, through the memoirs of Ramji:

Our ancestors were Hindus who were converted to a sect of Islam and told by that refugee from Mongols to await the final avatar of their god Vishnu. In Grandma's words, the sun would arise that day from the west. How far was the west? Where did it begin? (p. 3)

Before we've savoured these details fully – they are coming really thick and fast, thanks to Vassanji's style of writing in concentric circles – he has opened yet another window – the novel could as well have been called Windows 99 – for us, much in the same fashion as we go on opening them on a PC monitor screen:

My people sought it first in Africa, an ocean away, where they settled more than a hundred years ago. But in time, this west moved further and became – America; or as Grandma said it: Amriika. (p.3)

And then we are made privy to the scene of Ramji's departure for 'that Eldorado' – Amriika – at the Dar es Salaam airport – a scene which Vassanji no doubt creates to imply the next stage of the Indian-African diaspora.

I remember going through the immigration checkpoint and turning around for one last look at Grandma: standing stiffly among the crowd, feigning sternness for grief, her right arm still raised in the goodbye she'd said minutes before, the hands closing and opening as if mechanically in one endless farewell. (p. 4)

Portrayed as a typical airport farewell in an era when not so many travelled to the west, – certainly not in big droves in which they do today – the scene still holds the strong emotive power for both those leaving and those staying back. But then that's what Vassanji is best at – creating very dramatic, emotionally charged scenes from life which bring, at times, tears into the eyes of readers.

What is, however, of more significance - certainly from the point of view of the narrative – is the revelation by Ramji of the year of his departure: August 1968, a date which facilitates Vassanji to 'crack open', for our view, a whole new vista of a world from the past, - the America of the Spring of '68, when violence erupted across the whole nation against what a character in the novel calls 'fuckin' fascist country'; when drug peddlers and draft dodgers - it was the height of the Vietnam war - ruled the roost in school and university campuses; and when 'everywhere gurus, pirs, psychologists, zealots of every stripe were fishing for disciples' to bring comfort to 'homeless tortured spirits'. (p. 29)

With this, Vassanji had set the tone for the core of his novel – for the next 400 pages or so – which deals with that most turbulent period of not only American but world history since the end of the Second World War – whether cold or hot – when the agenda for peace, for civil and minority rights including rights of blacks and women, were foregrounded and hopes raised for a more just and democratic world order in which war

How that dream turned sour, dashing hopes of a new dawn into a frightening nightmare is what the novel portrays next, through the life of Ramji. At this level, AMRiiKA is the story of an immigrant to America and his efforts to negotiate the identity crisis triggered by the gap between the anchoring spiritual values brought from back home - to practice faith daily, not to drink, not to succumb to sexual temptations and the sheer materialism of his new homeland. This is aggravated by the yawning chasm between the romantic notion of America, nay AMRiiKA, of his adolescent school days' imagination - John F. Kennedy and his brother Bobby are its human icons and the landing on the moon is the ultimate symbol of its supremacy in the field of science and technology - and the harsh, blind reality he finds himself into on arrival there, a reality in which the Industrial-Military complex pursues its economic agenda relentlessly, completely oblivious of its impact on the lives of people both within America and without. It is as a result of his inability to skirt around and negotiate this chasm between dream and reality that Ramii becomes a drifter – both in personal life and professional career - pursuing girls and gurus, changing jobs and wives and converging, finally, towards an organization which seems to be pushing a fundamentalist agenda in the grab of ethnicity and radicalism. In portraying this, Vassanji examines Ramji's historical roots - both in Africa and India - very critically and does not absolve the past of its responsibility in the resultant mess that Ramji makes of his life in the USA. So far so good, since this is what Vassanji had done previously too - in The Gunny Sack, No New Land, Uhuru Street and The Book of Secrets.

At another level – and this to my mind is more significant, ideologically, since it sets *AMRiiKA* apart from Vassanji's earlier books – the novel turns the gaze from the past back in Bagamoyo or Dar es Salaam in Tanzania or Kutch

and Porbandar in India to the present in Boston and Chicago in America, examining critically the socio-politico-cultural goals and objectives being pursued by the government of the United States of America vis-a-vis the ideals enshrined in its Constitution. And it is here - in turning the gaze within - that Vassanji's world-view, his ideological standpoint about America manifests. Choosing, as he does, a very volatile period in the history of post Second World War America, Vassanji portrays very dramatically through some of its most prominent frozen frames - a grief-stricken Jacky clad in all black standing at the Arlington cemetery before the burial of her assassinated husband, John Junior, all dignity, saluting his father's coffin, a napalm-hit Vietamese girl - aflame running naked on a street in Vietnam critique of a society divided vertically on the questions of civil and other minority rights and on the manner of pursuing and realizing its ideals and goals.

And this is where AMRiiKA runs into trouble - though for no fault of the author except that of choosing to tell the truth, his truth, about the America of the sixties and the seventies. A post-Gulf war United States of America - drunk on the reality of being the sole superpower in a unipolar world - has become brash and arrogant, showing absolutely no qualms about not only of its present acts repeated bombing of Iraq in total defiance of the world opinion and its total support to Israel in its repeated acts of violence and terror against the people of Palestine and a testimony of this - but also its dubious past. Some of the reviews and reactions which AMRiiKa has attracted - and we know these are never as innocent as they appear to be - bear testimony to this intolerance. What is, however, of more substance and significance is the implicit ideological insight provided by Vassanji in his novel that the recent spread of religious bigotry and fundamentalism and its militant manifestation in various parts of the world - Afghanistan, Chechnea, Kashmir, to name just a few - which the U.S.A. opposes so vehemently may have

actually been spawned by its own acts of intolerance against its own people beginning in the late sixties.

What happened on September 9, 2001 in the USA and what has been happening in Afghanistan and Palestine since then was anticipated by Vassanji in his novel *AMRiiKA* in the episode involving the bombing of the bookstore in Ashfield:

Then late Friday night the bookstore was bombed; events took a frightenning turn . . . the movement had to be behind the bombing, it was just that sort of thing it would do, to draw attention to Islamic extremism.

However, the reactions – attacks on non-European minorities indiscriminately after Sep. 9 – showed that America had not learnt its lessons.

I read this interpretation very clearly in Vassanji's choice of the epigraph from America's most powerful and prophetic poetic voice – of Walt Whitman:

(But where is what I started for so long ago?

And why is it yet unfound?)

Harish Narang is Professor of English Literature, Jawaharlal Nehru University, New Delhi

Summerhill IIAS Review

Subscription

Single Issue	Rs. 20
Annual Subscription	Rs. 35
Five Years	Rs. 150

Send your subscription to A.K. Sharma Public Relations Officer Indian Institute of Advanced Study Rashtrapati Nivas, Shimla-171005

Tel: 0177-231390 Fax: 0177-232930 E.mail: proiias@yahoo.com

A serious reckoning of the contemporary inevitably though sometimes inadvertently entangles itself within the vicious contours of terror. It is a reality which confronts the human being not merely engaged in academic polemics but in simple innocuous day to day living which may include reading/interpretation of jingoistic melodrama in reportage or serious academic treatise or even the joy (essence) of fiction. The significance of the aforesaid statement betrays sincere apprehensions of the writer vis-a-vis a world constructed and narrated through a paradigm of power and co-ordinates realized and erected through violence. We the eternal reader have since time immemorial dreamt/read our dreams and realities, offered to us by these perpetrators of 'terror' deviating not in kind but essentially in terms of degrees. Since 'terror' has always been a weapon of the powerful the impact of it within the contemporary spatio-temporal reality achieves multifold valency. The multivalent directions of violence as they impact the already porous underdeveloped social system could not easily be debated away or diffused in terms of structured rhetoric of 'co-lateral damage'. Thus the reader is left with measures which in a subtle, surreptitious manipulation catch him within the closed binary of either/or, i.e., to read and structure oneself within the same paradigmatic finality offered through the co-ordinates of violence or to suffer/ annihilated as victims of co-lateral damage or more-so-ever what Chomsky says a 'deliberate genocide' of the vast humanity. Thus innocent the contemporary compels a mandatory praxis on a serious and sensitive interpreter, a strategy to decisively decode the violent narrative so as not

merely to escape massacre but rather

reassert and locate the joy (essence) of simple and sincere, heartfelt sympathetic 'reading'. The mode of assertion as is evident remains within the designed and the designate practice of the reader but it should be made clear that before a quantum leap, a system, if it has to be viable, should ensure enough reservoir of energy which, within the given framework is available only and only through the joy (essence). The debate may appear naïve and innocuous/weak from a certain perspective, yet the endeavour is to encounter and gradually diminish and diffuse the same. The naiveté as apprehended in the strategy of joy (essence) of reading as a countervalent force is not totally uncalled for, yet as Einstein once admirably said, 'you can never solve a problem on the same level you created it', it provides us with a space within which at least the onus to define the level resides with us. Haven't we since ages survived the sheer cacophony of violence and terror drummed endlessly within and without us? Now certainly at this juncture we have to and should create our own harmony as we read the life.

It is a truth beyond reckoning that reading terror and terrorized readings coalesce in connivance to reign through the modes of violence over the pliable consciousness of the vast 'silent majority', leaving aside the meager segment of 'the political class' pegged down by Chomsky at a mere twenty per cent of the human mass on our planet. The issue here is to bring to focus and analysis the hierarchical order between the two essential contemporary processes mentioned in the title itself viz., reading terror and terrorized readings. As is already surmised, the contemporary collates these two; yet through the parameters of hierarchical chronology

the so called savages of under developed countries, or to put it temporally, the colonized, the advent of 'written' acted as a harbinger of terror. The tools of the colonizers always essentially included an overwhelming presence of 'the written'; the dominance and its multifarious mores, relied overwhelmingly on 'the written words' which when 'read' civilized the savage yet defined and categorized him as a denoted slave. The whole enlightenment project was meticulously carried out through the violence of 'the written' over the so-called colonized minds of the 'reading' illiterate masses. The blatant hegemony adopted yet another stratagem in the post industrial late capitalist era. Now the stress of 'the written' is not so much on formulation or rigid categorization; the recent avatar is a Trojan horse which has innocuously barged through the economic and socio-political ranks of the so called decolonized and invaded/ terrorized the recently liberated minds through the cultural subterfuge of openness and multiplicity. The subtle agenda of legitimization of multiple choices and meanings certainly could easily be correlated in terms of the essential ingredient of violence and consequently 'terror', i.e. surprise. The liberated mind of erstwhile colonized willingly took the bait of multiplicity feigning blatant ignorance towards being appropriated within a system which locates it and yet marginalizes the significance of the much cherished meanings/choices. This crass acceptance of a system that relies on the logic of infinite regression is leading the silent/ surprised majority towards an abyss of

total socio-political and cultural inhal-

ation. It should be mentioned at this

by ASHUTOSH MOHAN

the latter i.e., terrorized readings precede

the former. In the dilapidated scenario of

Reading Terror/Terrorised Readings:

Sacred Wood Revisited

juncture or rather stressed in clear terms that the majority that is the left-over of the political class in its silent acceptance could not be simply projected as victims of a genocide to be sympathized with; on the contrary their silent acceptance of the bait locates them within the violent system and its inhuman practitioners of terror. The dominance of violence in societies which have been rendered economically weak culminates in a scenario where the surprised / terrorized masses openly play the multiple choice game are in fact ironically left with limited, i.e. very few choices and ultimately end up in a Russian roulette scenario. In other words the terrorized readings design a system which appears as user friendly and 'open' yet in reality propels towards a society where the only choice left for survival and existence is in terms of religious fundamentalism or some other culturally defined masquerade.

The phrase 'reading terror' employs and interprets reading as a process of constructing and defining of the self and the nations. In a recent statement Chomsky has categorically stated that terrorism is not the weapon of the weak. It is primarily a weapon of the strong, overwhelmingly, in fact. The acts of terror, the so called acts/written of the powerful could never be termed or labeled as terrorism because it is the strong and the powerful, and it is a historical fact, who control the doctrinal system. While the collective humanity stands at the infantile stage of the new millennium, we have retained the flavour and the violent fervour not in terms of the residual impact of terrorized readings but have vehemently enacted the climactic through our readings of terror. It has so deeply embedded in our structural apprehensions that the very process of ideational assimilation is deeply infected and betrays a definite tilt or may be even a liking for terror. This process of reading of terror totally eliminated the content of essential joy/

play, which sustained and pervaded the socio-economic fabric and literary discourse till the last phases of the millennium gone by. Through the aforesaid statement we cannot wish away the gory details and the melodramatic history of the era gone by, yet it could easily be asserted that the elements which define and erect terror, viz. surprise and unpredictability were totally absent from that scenario. Thus the readings of terror with which we have to co-exist which we chose as the most viable option is here to stay as a permanent scourge unless or until a viable and equally powerful alternative is erected to counter the same. The contemporary, meanwhile moves on as a juggernaut demolishing whosoever and whatsoever en route. The movement and the trajectory of this demonic process gains momentum as it is propelled by our apprehensions/readings of terror, in a society like ours these readings and apprehensions need very little space and time to literally flare up and materialize in violence which imprints not merely minds, which enslaves not only conscience but rather realizes and enacts a scenario where the whole of the humanity is held up for ransom. Riots, rapes rage ravenously as we read the relevant and relegate the essential. The socio-cultural fabric of our country rendered porous and pliable through terrorized readings, now in its tattered state offers the distraught masses pseudo religious totems to fall back within the same vicious cycle of violence. The pseudo-religious fervour and the increasing momentum with which it is getting a stranglehold over our mind and social mass is not through sheer chance, but rather fits too neatly in the design where the multiple choices shrink into a minimum few fundamentalist ones. The minds and the souls thus captured unleash a trail of terror and violence so gruesome that it needs to be written in the blood of our brethren and if we not yet refuse to read it the trail certainly moves towards our thresholds and

footsteps.

Charles Hauss while he wishes to transform the new world order, (read *dis*order) talks of a movement beyond confrontation through modes of thinking which rely heavily on bifocals to assimilate the gaps which bogged down our ability to avoid confrontation/ violence/terror. It appears that Mr. Hauss's optometrist suffers from acute myopia and thus while his patient puts on the prescribed corrective lens, people with clearer vision move on in search of viable alternatives.

For a student of literature it is a simple tale that acts not only as bread and butter but even more so as a treatise to enjoy and to interpret through.

In the sacred grove of Nemi, near Rome, there grew a certain tree, round which at any time of the day, and probably far into the night, a grim figure might be seen to prowl. In his hand he carried a drawn sword, and he kept peering warily about him as if at every instant he expected to be set upon by an enemy. He was a priest and a murderer; and the man for whom he looked was sooner or later to murder him and hold the priesthood in his stead. Such was the rule of the sanctuary. A candidate for the priesthood could only succeed to office by slaving the priest, and having slain him, he retained office till he was himself slain by a stronger or a craftier.

This rule of succession by the sword held sway, as we have already seen so far in our discussion, but to bury the hatchet we have to pick up the simple word to write and to read through this very (s)word so that the coming generations may read the essence of joy thus written.

Notes

1. See Charles Hauss, *Beyond Confrontation*, Westport, Connecticut and London: Praeger, 1996, p. 11.

> Asutosh Mohan is Reader in English, Mohanlal Sukhadia University, Udaipur