

INDIAN INSTITUTE OF ADVANCED STUDY SIMLA

from the hiversity of Poona, No. 25, pp. 31 to 75.

PM DOI Un 3



Library

IIAS, Shimla

00022742

'Samjñayam' in Panini1

by

G. B. Palsule

1

This monograph proposes to discuss the expression $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}ay\bar{a}m$ (locative singular of $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$) which occurs in the $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{t}^2$ in order to lay down a condition necessary for the operation of the rules in which it occurs.

The discussion is necessitated by the following circumstances:

- A. Panini himself nowhere makes it clear what he means by this expression. The commentators also apparently take it for granted that the expression is self-explained. The $K\bar{a}sik\bar{a}$ e. g. generally adds only the word visaye 'in the matter (of)' after it, thus explaining only the meaning of the case ending, but not of the stem itself.
- B. It is generally understood as meaning 'a name'. Thus, 1. often having given instances in illustration of the rules concerned, the commentators remark that these are names of such-and-such things³. 2. The authors belonging to the other schools of Sanskrit grammar like Candra usually replace Pāṇini's sanijāāyām by nāmni⁴. 3. Modern translators and annotators also generally translate this expression by 'a name'. Böhtlingk e. g. throughout renders this expression by 'wenn ein Name gebildet wird', or by

^{1.} This monograph is an amplification of a paper read by the author on this subject at the 26th session of the International Congress of Orientalists, New Delhi, 1964. The paper itself was a result of the correspondence initiated by Dr. SIDDHESHVAR VARMA.

^{2.} The expression $samj\bar{n}\bar{o}y\bar{o}m$ occurs actually in 63 sutras of the $Ast\bar{o}dhy\bar{o}y\bar{\imath}$. Of these, in one rule, viz P. 4.2.21 the expression $samj\bar{n}\bar{a}y\bar{o}m$ was most probably not read by Pāṇini himself. There are 35 rules more in which the expression is not actually read, but it is regarded as carried forward (anuvrtta,) from previous rules by the commentators. There are two more rules (P. 6.2.124 and 8.4.4.) where also the expression is not read directly, but it is evidently implied. It occurs in compound forms (such as $samj\bar{n}\bar{o}ntarayoh$ P. 3.2.179, $samj\bar{n}\bar{o}chandasoh$ P. 4.1.29) in 14 rules 10 out of which contain the expression directly and 4 have it carried forward from previous rules. Only in one rule (P. 6.3.38) the compound is in the genitive case, all others being in the locative. The negative expression $asamj\bar{n}\bar{o}y\bar{o}m$ occurs in 8 rules. All these rules have have been translated, with comments where necessary, below.

^{3.} Thus commenting on P. 6.2.129, the Kāśikā remarks that dākṣikūlam, māhakikūlam etc. are grāmanāmadheyāni 'names of villages'; under P. 5.1.28, the Tattvabodhinī says that paācalauhitikam and paācakalāpikam are parimāṇaviśeṣasya nāmadheye 'names of particular measures'. Often the commentators retain the word samjāā itself, cf. Kāśikā on P. 1.2.53, 4.3.27, 4.3.117, 5.2.23 etc.

^{4.} Cf. Candra 2.2.67 (P. 2.4.20), 1.3.77 (P. 3.3.174), 2.3.27 (P. 4.1.30), 2.3.69 (P. 4.1.58) etc.

'um einen Namen zu bilden', or by bei der Bildung eines Namens' or by some such thing.

As against the above it may be pointed out:

- 1. that not all the words which have been given as illustrations by the commentators can be looked upon as names. This is the case, e. g, with words like hrdya- 'agreeable to the heart' (P. 4.4.95), nāvya- 'fordable by a boat' (P. 4.4.91), avatīta- 'flat-nosed' (P. 5.2.31), nibida- 'id.' (P. 5.2.32) etc. which are evidently mere attributives. And, if an instance from the Ganapātha is permitted, there is a verbal form also, viz. prastumpati, which is formed samjāāyām (P. 6.1.157).
- 2. That there are cases where Candra has not replaced samjñāyām by nāmni; samjñāyām is retained in C.1.1.123; 2.3.60; 3.3.83; 3.3.121; 4.3.78 etc.
- 3. That often the commentators interpret the word samjñāyām in different ways. The Tb. once (under P.1.1.34) paraphrases it by ādhunika-samketa- 'a modern convention' and on another occasion (P.4.4.96) by prasiddhi- 'wellknownness'. The Bm. under P.4.4.96 paraphrases samjñā by rūdhi- 'usage' (similarly also under P.3.3.19). Thus besides being interpreted as a kind of word, viz. a naming word, a substantive, it has also been interpreted in an entirely different sense, viz. 'convention, usage, currency' (which may be responsible for the restriction of the use of a word in a substantive character).
- 4. That besides samjñā Pāṇini has also used words like nāman (P.2.2.26; 3.3.34; 5.3.18 etc.) and ākhyā (3.3.108; 5.1.95; 5.4.104 etc.) which also generally mean a name. If samjñāyām also meant the same thing, it will have to be ascertained whether they are used indiscriminately or whether there is some shade of difference.

Hence this discussion.

I propose to show that in our context (viz. samjñāyām) the word samjñā is more or less equivalent to what the later grammarians call yogarūdha- 'a word whose etymological meaning is restricted by convention'.

2

I propose to proceed as follows:

A. An examination of some satras which, on the basis of a contrast, offer a clue for the understanding of Panini's intended meaning of $samj\tilde{n}ayam$. B. A translation, with illustrations where necessary (from the commentaries, particularly the Kasika) and comments (where necessary), of all the rules containing this expression, C. An examination of the rest of the evidence.

Results which can be arrived at by a study of contrasts:

1. In 5.4.94 Panini teaches affixion of a samāsānta- suffix TaC to tatpuruşa compounds ending in words like anas- 'a cart', asman- 'a stone' etc., if the compound in question expresses a jāti 'class', or is a samjñā-word.

This mention of samjñā separately from jāti- suggests that words formed 'samjñāyām'—or samjñā-words as we may call them—are not expressive of a class. In other words samjñā-words are restricted to individual objects (the vyakti- or the dravya- of the Sanskrit grammarians).

2. P. 1.1.34 is a gana-sutra also included in the gana sarvādi (P. 1.1.27). This gana-sūtra gives the designation sarvanāman— under certain circumstances to words like pūrva— 'eastern, ancient, prior', para— 'foreign, highest, following', etc. provided no samjñā is involved— 'asamjñāyām'. A glance at the literal meaning of the word sarvanāman— will clarify the essential nature of a samjñā-word. A sarvanāman— is 'a word for all'. Naturally when it comes to be restricted to one or more individuals, it ceases to be a sarvanāman— in the literal sense: it becomes a vyaktināman— or a dravyanāman—. This also applies to the next ganasūtra, which says that the word sva— is a sarvanāman—, but not when it means 'a relative' or 'property'. It is evident that in these two senses the word sva— has been substantivised, whereas it has remained adjectival when meaning 'one's own'. Thus these two gana-sūtras confirm the results arrived at from P. 5.4.94 considered above.

That a word which has been substantivised ceases to be a sarvanāman-has been expressed by the SK (under P. 1.1.29) in the maxim samjñopa-sarjanībhūtās tu na sarvādayaḥ'.6

3. The sūtras P. 1.2.53-57 are rather of an unusual nature. These are the only polemic sūtras in the $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{i}$. It is believed that Panini here is controverting a view of his predecessors. The substance of the sūtras 53-55 is that names $(samj\bar{n}\bar{a})$ are self-authoritative, that they continue to be used even after the connection (yoga-) between the names and the objects named exists no more, and that consequently grammar should not lay down rules regarding the gender and the number of the names.

I have shown elsewhere that the rules in question cannot have come from Panini. Originally they must have been Varttikas which were later misunderstood for and so incorporated in the sutras. Be it as it may, the sutras are in one way relevant for our purpose. They show the contrast between yoga- and samjñā which is at least as old as the Varttikas. They show that as samjñā-words the names are not purely etymological words. In addition to this etymological connection (yoga-) there is an additional element. That social convention (samketa-) or usage (rūdhi-) is this additional element, has been shown below.

^{5.} Cf. Ekadravyopaniveśin \bar{i} samj \bar{n} \bar{a} (P. 1.4.1 Vā 44), 'A samj \bar{n} \bar{a} attaches to a single object'.

^{6.} Based on the Bhāṣya (P. 1.1,27 Vā 6; I 88): saṃjāopasarjane ca višeṣe'vatiṣṭhete, 'A saṃjaā and a word which is (technically called) upasarjana-(cf. P. 1.2.43-44) reside in an individual'.

^{7.} ABORI, 30, 135-144.

4. The word $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ is coupled with chandas- in two rules, viz. P. 4.1.29 and 6.3.63. This association is also suggestive. It suggests that in the $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ -words there is often something peculiar, something different from the normal pattern, and so it requires special mention, as in the case of the chandas.

3

Here follow all the rules containing the expression samjñāyām, with illustrations (mostly as given in the Kāšikā) and comments where necessary.

1. पूर्वपरावरदक्षिणोत्तरापराधराणि व्यवस्थायामसंज्ञायाम् । 1.1.34

The words pūrva- 'eastern; ancient, prior', para- 'foreign; highest; following', avara- 'low; posterior', dakṣiṇa- 'southern', uttara- 'northern; later', apara- 'western; another; posterior', and adhara- 'lower; inferior', when expressive of relative position (in time or place), are optionally termed sarvanāman- before the ending -Jas (Nom. plu. m. f.), provided no samjñā is involved.

Examples: पूर्वे, पूर्वा: । अपरे, अपरा: । अवरे, अवरा: । दक्षिणे, दक्षिणाः । उत्तरे, उत्तरा: । परे, परा: । अधरे, अधरा:, where no samijñā is involved. But उत्तरा: कुरव:, 'N. of a country', because उत्तरा: is part of a name.

2. अन्यपदार्थे च संज्ञायाम् । 2.1.21.

A word with a case-ending (subanta) forms an avyayibhāva compound with names of rivers when the compound concerns a samjñā and has a denotation other than that of the component words.

Examples: उन्मत्तगङ्गम्, लोहितगङ्गम्, कृष्णगङ्गम्, शनैर्गङ्गम् (all place-names, literally meaning 'where the Ganga is wild, where the Ganga is red' etc.).—Counter-example: शीघ्रगङ्गो देश:, 'a place where the Ganga is rapid'.

3. संज्ञायाम्। 2.1.44

A declinable word in locative forms a Tatpuruşa compound with another word with a case-ending provided the compound concerns a samifia.

Examples: अरण्येतिलका: 'wild sesamum', अरण्येमाषा: 'wild beans', वनेकिशुका: 'wild kimsuka', कूपेपिशाचकाः 'fiends in a well'. According to some, these expressions secondarily mean 'something not in keeping with expectations'.

No counter-examples are given. For the retention of the case-ending of the first member of the compound, see P. 6.3.9 below.

4. दिक्संख्ये संज्ञायाम्। 2.1.50

Words expressive of a point of the compass and the numerals form a Tatpurusa compound with a noun in apposition, provided it concerns a samjña.

Examples: पूर्वेषुकामश्रमी 'Eastern Işukāmasami', अपरेषुकामश्रमी 'Western Işukāmasami', both being place-names. पञ्चाम्प्राः (?), सप्तर्षयः 'the constellation of the Great Bear'. —Counter-examples: उत्तरा वृक्षाः 'northern trees,' पञ्च ब्राह्मणाः 'five Brāhmaṇas'.

5. संज्ञायां कन्थोशीनरेषु । 2.4.20

A Tatpurusa compound ending in kanthā 'town' becomes neuter if it concerns a samjñā and the town belongs to the Usinara country.

Examples: सौशिमिक'न्थम् 'kanthā of the Sausamis', आहरक'न्थम् 'kanthā of the Āhvaras', both are names of places in the Usinara country.—Counter-examples: वीरणकन्था 'kanthā of the Viranas' which is not a samjīñā. दाक्षिकन्था 'kanthā of the Dākṣis', this is a samjīnā but this kanthā does not belong to the Usinara country.—For the accent of these words, see P.6.2.124 below.

6. भृञोऽसंज्ञायाम्। 3.1.112

The suffix -KyaP is employed (to denote the object) after \sqrt{bhr} , provided the word thus formed is not a samj $\tilde{n}a$.

Example: भृत्यः (= भर्तव्यः) 'one who ought to be supported' (as a servant).—Counter- example: भार्याः 'N. of a Kṣatriya family'. Here Bhārya is a proper noun. Commentators also cite भार्या 'wife' as a samijñā.

Unfortunately the position is not quite clear here. If the word bhṛtyais formed in the purely adjectival sense (equivalent to bhartavya), then of
course it will not be a samijñā. But the commentators do not stop here.
They further add कर्मकराः (not कर्मकरादयः) as a synonym. This would at
once turn the word into a samijñā. The Bālamanoramā has seen this point
and remarks: नन् भृत्यशब्दस्य कर्मकरेषु रूढदवात् संज्ञाशब्दत्वमेव....., but as a
reply it refers only to Bhaṭtoji's statement: कियाशब्दोऽयं न नु संज्ञा.
Accordingly we have to suppose that in spite of his paraphrasing bhṛtyaby karmakara-, Bhaṭtoji (and probably the author of the Kāśikā) here take
the word as equivalent to bhartavya only.

7. शमि धातोः संज्ञायाम्। 3.2.14

All roots in composition with $\dot{s}am$ - may take the suffix -aC, provided the word formed is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: शंकर:, शंभव:, शंवद: (all names).- No counter-examples.

संज्ञायां भृतॄवृजिधारिसहितिपदमः । 3.2.46

The roots bhr-, tr-, vr-, ji-, dhr-, sah-, tap- and dam- when in composition with a grammatical object etc., take the suffix -KHaC, provided the word formed is a samjñā.

Examples: विश्वंभरा (=वसुंधरा) 'the earth' (the Kaumudi adds विश्वंभर: 'N. of Viṣṇu'), रथंतरम् 'N. of a sāman', पतिवरा 'a maiden who chooses her own husband', शतुंजयः 'N. of an elephant', युगंधरः 'N. of a mountain,' शतुंसहः, शतुंतपः and शतुंदमः, all the last three probably being names of different men.—Counter-example: कुटुम्बभारः (= कुटुम्बं बिभतीति) 'supporting a family'.

9. गमश्व। 47

Also the root gam-.

Example: सुतंगमः (from which the patronymic सौतंगिमः). – No counter-example.

10. उपसर्गे च संज्ञायाम् । 3.2.99

Also when in composition with a preverb, \sqrt{jan} , takes the suffix -Da, provided the word formed is a samj $\tilde{n}a$.

Example: प्रजा: 'creatures'. - No counter-example.

11. भुवः संज्ञान्तरयोः। 3.2.179

The suffix KVIP (= a particular variety of zero-suffixes) comes after $\sqrt{bh\bar{u}}$, if the word thus formed is a samijna or if it means a surety.

Examples: विभू: 'N. of a man'. प्रतिभू: 'a surety' (=धनिकाधमणैयोरन्तरे यस्तिष्ठति Kāśikā). -No counter-example.

An interesting question arises here. What is the special purpose in reading the word antara- in the sūtra? Could it not have been covered by the word samjñā itself? For one would think that the word pratibhū- in the sense of surety is equally a samjñā. To this the reply given by the commentators is that a samjñā (like Vibhū for instance) holds good as long as the person or the object denoted by that samjñā exists, while the word pratibhū- is applicable to the person concerned only so long as he stands surety. Once the debt is repaid, he ceases to be a pratibhū. Read the Tb. नन्वेव प्रतिभूशब्द: संज्ञेति फलितम्। तल संज्ञायामित्येव सिद्धम्। किमन्तरप्रहणेन? अत्राहु:। यावद्दव्यभाविन्य: संज्ञा:। प्रतिभूशब्दस्तु सत्येव तस्मिन् ऋणप्रदानेन निवर्तत इत्युभयोर्भेद इति।

Here one may as well ask: what about the word sākṣin 'a witness' then? Evidently that word stands quite on a par with the word pratibhūhere, but is still regarded a samjñā by Pāṇini himself (5.2.91). Apparently in our present sūtra samjñā means only a proper noun.

12. विप्रसंभ्यो ड्वसंज्ञायाम् । 180

 $\sqrt{bh\hat{u}}$ - in composition with the preverbs vi-, pra- and sam- takes the suffix -Du, provided the word formed is not a samjñā.

Examples: विभुः (=सर्वगतः) 'all-pervading,' प्रभुः (=स्वामी) 'lord, master', संभुः (=जितता) 'a progenitor'. The Varttika adds words like मितद्रुः, शतद्रुः, संभुः here.— Counter-example: विभूः 'N. of a man' (P.3.2.179).

13. पुवः संज्ञायाम् । 3.2.185

 $\sqrt{p\bar{u}}$ takes the suffix -itra in the sense of an instrument, provided the word formed is a samjñā.

Example: पवित्रम् 'a sieve or strainer; or, two kuśa blades used for purifying'. It also means 'a darbha ring for the finger'.

14. अकर्तरि च कारके संज्ञायाम्। 3.3.19

A root takes the suffix $-GHa\tilde{N}$ to form a word denoting non-agentive case-relations, provided the word thus formed is a samijna.

Examples: प्रासः (= प्रास्यन्ति तम्) 'that which is thrown', a dart; प्रसेवः (= प्रसीव्यन्ति तम्) 'that which is stitched', a sack; आहारः (= आह-रित तस्माद् रसम् इति) 'that from which juice is extracted', the food. The Kāśikā adds two particular instances: मधुराहारः and तक्ष शिलाहारः. The word

meṣa- is also a samijna, but it is formed with -aC (and not with $-GHa\tilde{N}$) as it denotes an agent: (= मिषत्यसी) 'one who looks on (helplessly!)', a ram.

Counter-example : कर्तव्य: 'fit to be done' (e. g. कट:).

15. संज्ञायां समजनिषदनिपतमनविद्युज्शीङभ्जिणः। 3.3.99

The roots sam-aj-, ni-sad-, ni-pat-, man-, vid-, su-, $\dot{si}-$, bhr- and i- form feminine nouns with the suffix KyaP, provided these are $samj\tilde{n}a$ -words.

Examples: समज्या (= समजन्त्यस्याम् इति) 'where people assemble', an assembly; निषद्या (आपणः) 'a market-place'; निपत्या (= पिच्छिला भूमिः) 'slippery ground'; मन्या (= गलपार्श्वसिरा) 'a particular vein in neck'; विद्या 'learning'; सुत्या 'pressing of Soma'; शय्या 'a bed'; भृत्या 'nursing; wages'; इत्या (= ईयतेऽनया) 'a palanquin'.—Counter-examples (of the Tattvabodhinī): मितः, भृतिः, आमुतिः।

संज्ञायाम् । 3.3.109

The suffix -NVUL (= aka) comes after roots when a word so formed is a $samj\tilde{n}a$.

Examples: उद्गलकपुष्पभिक्षका 'a kind of game in which the uddalaka flowers are plucked'; वरणपुष्पप्रवाहिका (v.1. 'प्रचायिका), आभ्यूषसादिका, आचोष- सादिका, शालभिक्षका, तालभिक्षका (apparently names of games or pastimes, consisting of eating or flower-plucking).

17. पुंसि संज्ञायां घः प्रायेण । 3.3.118

Roots generally take the suffix -GHa to form a masculine noun denoting the instrument or location of an action, provided the word so formed is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: (in the instrumental sense) दन्तच्छद: 'tooth-cover', i. e. a lip; उरच्छद: 'a breast-plate', घट: 'a jar'. The word prasādhana 'a comb' (?) is also a samjāā, but not being masculine, it does not take the suffix -GHa. (In the locative sense) आकर: (=एत्य तस्मिन् कुवंन्तीति) 'a mine'; आलय: 'a house'.—Counter-example: प्रहरण: 'striking' (as a दण्ड- 'staff').

18. गोचरसंचरवहत्रजन्यजापणनिगमाश्र । 3.3.119

The words गोचर- 'pasture', संचर- 'a passage', वह- 'a shoulder (of a draught animal)', त्रज- 'a cow-pen', व्यज- 'a fan', आपण- 'market' and निगम- 'Veda' or 'market' are formed as samjñā-words with the suffix -GHa. The commentators, taking ca in the sense of et cetera, add कष- and निकष- 'a touchstone'.

The Kāsikā does not explicitly mention the samjnādhikāra here. But as it is effective in the subsequent rules (3.3.120 ff), it must be effective here also.

19. अवे तॄस्त्रोर्घन्। 120

The roots t_{r-} and st_{r-} in composition with the preverb ava- take the suffix $-GHa\tilde{N}$ to form masculine nouns denoting the instrument or the location of an action, provided the word so formed is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: अवतार: (?), अवस्तार: 'a litter' or 'a cloth round a tent'. The Kaumudī paraphrases it by जवनिका 'a curtain'.

The word avatāra- presents a difficulty as regards meaning. Bhaṭṭoji takes it in the sense of 'a flight of steps' as is evident from the word कूपादे: 'of a well etc.' which he adds after अवतारः But the Kāśikā does not look upon the word in this sense as a saṅjñā and raises a question as regards its formation in this sense. Its own solution is that the word प्रायेण (3.3.118) which is valid in this rule also may be invoked here. Read: कथम् अवतारो नद्याः? न हीयं संज्ञा। प्रायान्व त्तेरसंज्ञायामिप भवति।

One however fails to see why the word अवतार- in the sense of 'a flight of steps' cannot be a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.—Vasu translates the word by 'the descent (or incarnation of a deity).' But since in this sense the word does not denote a करण- or an अधिकरण-, this interpretation is doubtful.

20. हलश्व। 121

Roots ending in a consonant take the suffix $-GHa\tilde{N}$ to form masculine nouns denoting the agent or the location of an action, provided the word so formed is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: लेख: 'writing material'(?); वेदः 'The Veda' (by which one knows everything); वेष्टः (v. 1. वेषः) 'a wrapper'; बन्धः 'a bond'; मार्गः 'a way'; अपामार्गः (= अपमृज्यतेऽनेन व्याध्यादिरिति)'the plant Achyranthes Aspera'. The Kaumudi adds रामः (रमन्तेऽस्मिन् योगिन इति) and विमार्गः (= समूहनी) 'a broom'.

21. अध्यायन्यायोद्यावसंहाराः। 122

The words अध्याय- (= अघीयन्तेऽस्मिन्निति) 'a text, or its chapter', न्याय- (= नीयतेऽनेनिति) 'a universal rule; a right manner', उद्याव- (= उद्युवन्त्यस्मिन् अनेन वेति) 'a mixer,' and संहार- (= संह्रियन्तेऽनेनिति) '(an instrument or place of) withdrawing or drawing together' are formed as samijñā-words with the suffix $-GHa\tilde{N}$. The Kāśikā also inserts the words आधार- 'a support', and आवाय- 'an instrument of weaving' in the sūtra itself and by ca understands words like अवहार- 'suspension of fighting (?)'.

22. उदङ्कोऽनुदके। 123

The word $uda\dot{n}ka$ - is formed as a $sa\dot{m}jn\bar{a}$ -word with $-GHa\tilde{N}$ if water is not referred to.

Examples : तैलोदङ्कः 'a vessel for oil'; घृतोदङ्कः 'a vessel for ghee'.—उदकोदञ्चनः is also apparently a $samij\tilde{n}a$, but it is not formed with $-GHa\tilde{N}$, because it is connected with water.

The Kāsikā does not expressly bring down the expression samjñāyām in this rule. But read the Tattvabodhinī which says: उदकोदञ्चन इति। 'पुंसि संज्ञायाम्' इति घे प्राप्ते घत्र् विहितः etc. This suggests that the expression samjñāyām is valid here also.

23. क्तिच्क्तौ च संज्ञायाम्। 3.3.174

Roots take the suffixes -KtiC and -Kta when benediction is intended, provided the word so formed is a $samj\tilde{n}a$.

```
Examples: तन्ति: (= तन्तात्) 'one who it is hoped will weave'; साति: (= सन्तात्) 'one who it is hoped will give'; भूति: (= भनतात्) 'one who it is hoped will prosper'; मन्ति: (= मन्तात्) 'one who it is hoped will think'; देवदत्त': (=देवा एनं देयासु:) 'given by the gods (after being sought for from them)'.
```

For the accent of this word, see P.6.2.148 below.

24. संज्ञायाम् 3.4.42

 \sqrt{bandh} - takes the suffix -NamUL, when the word so formed is a samjñā.

Examples: कौञ्चबन्धं बम्नाति 'binds in a heron-knot manner'; मयूरिकाबन्धं (बद्ध:), अट्टालिकाबन्धं बद्धः 'bound in a peacock-knot, in an attālikā-knot manner'.

25. नित्यं संज्ञाछन्दसोः । 4.1.29

A Bahuvrihi compound ending in -an whose penultimate a has been dropped forms its feminine necessarily with the suffix $-\dot{N}iP$, provided the word so formed is a $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$, or it occurs in the Veda (where it may not be a $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$).

Examples : सुराज्ञी, अतिराज्ञी (both place-names). The rule is an exception to 4.1.28 which teaches $-\dot{\mathcal{N}}iP$ optionally: thus both बहुराजा and बहुराज्ञी (which are not $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ -words).

26. केवलमामकभागधेयपापापरसमानार्यकृतसुमङगलभेषजाच्च। 30

In the Veda, the words kevala— etc. form their feminine with $-\dot{\mathcal{N}}iP$; they also do so in the non-Vedic language provided the word so formed is a samijña.

Examples : केवली; मामकी 'mine'; भागधेयी 'due as a share'; पापी 'sinful'; अपरी 'the future'; समानी 'same'; आर्यकृती 'made by a noble man'; सुमझाली 'greatly auspicious'; भेषजी 'healing'.

Apparently these are all Vedic words. They do not seem to have occurred as non-Vedic words, even in the capacity of a samijā. Also, when the Kāśikā cites counter-examples (like केवला, मामिका, भागधेया etc.), it adds only bhāṣāyām (and not bhāṣāyām asamijāāyām). Is it possible that in spite of the commentators' remark संज्ञाछन्दसोरित्येव, we have to understand chandas only as valid in this rule?

27. रात्रेश्वाजसौ। 31

Before a case-ending other than -Jas (Nom. plu. m. f.), the word $r\bar{a}tri$ - takes the suffix - $\dot{N}\bar{i}P$ in the Veda; also in the non-Vedic language provided it is a samij $n\bar{a}$. Thus रात्री (Nom. sing.; in या रात्री सृष्टा), रात्रीभिः.

Here again, in spite of Kāsikā's express statement, one wonders whether the samjūādhikāra is really valid here. The Kaumudī, relegating the rule to the Vedic section, seems to be of the opinion that only the word

chandas is valid here. Anyway, it is difficult to see what sort of a samjñā words like rātrī, rātrībhih will be.

28. नखमुखात् संज्ञायाम् । 4.1.58

A word ending in -nakha or -mukha does not form its feminine with $-\dot{N}iS$, if the word so formed is a sainjā.

Examples: शूर्पणला 'having nails like winnowing fans', N. of a demoness, a sister of Ravana; वज्रणला 'having hard claws'; गौरमुखा 'fair-faced'; कालमुखा 'black-faced' (all names of women). For the cerebralization of n see P. 8.4.3 below.—Counter-examples: ताम्रनखी कन्या 'a girl having red nails'; चन्द्रमुखी 'having a moon-like face' (scil कन्या etc.). These are not names.

29. दीर्घजिह्या च छन्दिस । 59

And the word दीर्घ जिल्ला is a samijna (formed with - Nis) occurring in the Veda. दीर्घ जिल्ला 'having a long tongue' is the name of an evil spirit.

30. बाह्वन्तात् संज्ञायाम् । 4.1.67

A compound ending in the word - $b\bar{a}hu$ forms its feminine with a suffix - $\bar{u}\dot{\mathcal{N}}$ provided the word so formed is a $sainj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples : भद्रबाहू: 'having beautiful arms;' जालवाहू: 'web-armed', (both names of women). —Counter-example: वृत्तवाहु: 'having tapering arms' (not a name).

31. (कद्रुकमण्डल्वोश्छन्दिस ।) संज्ञायाम् । 4.1.72

Even in the non-Vedic language, the word कद्ग- and कमण्डल्- form their feminine with -ūN provided the words so formed are samijnās. Thus कद्ग: 'N. of the mother of the Nāgas'; कमण्डल्: 'a kind of animal'. (Apparently kamaṇḍalū is not a proper noun, though it is a samijnā.) —Counter-examples: कद्ग:, कमण्डल्: (According to the commentators, the word kamaṇḍalu— in the sense of 'a water jar' is not a counter-example, because it is not a feminine word.)

32. संज्ञायां श्रवणाश्वत्थाभ्याम् । 4.2.5

The suffix taught in the sense of 'time connected with an asterism' (4.2.3.) undergoes an elision (called lup) after the words \dot{s} ravana- and \dot{a} svattha-, provided the words so formed are $samj\tilde{n}as$.

Examples: श्रवणा रात्रिः 'the full-moon night in the month of śrāvaṇa'; अञ्चत्थो मुह्तैः 'the muhūrta called aśvattha'. -Counter-example: श्रावणी रात्रिः. Though there is no difference in meaning, it seems that śravaṇā has become a substantive, while śrāvaṇī has remained an adjective.

33. साऽस्मिन् पौर्णमासीति [संज्ञायाम्]। 4.2.21

Names of full-moon nights take the prescribed suffix to denote a division of time in which the night falls, provided the word so formed is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: पोप: 'N. of the month, the fortnight or the year (in which the moon is in conjunction with the pusya asterism on the full-moon night)'. -Counter-example: the word पोष is not used in connection with divisions of time other than those mentioned above, say दशरात्रः 'a period of ten nights'.

It is clear from the discussion in the Bhāṣya that Pāṇini did not read the word samijñāyām in the sūtra. Kātyāyana proposes to read it in the sūtra with a view to avoiding over-extension of the formation. Patañjali however opposes it on the ground that it is superfluous since the word iti read in the sūtra already performs that function. The Kāśikā also takes the words iti and samijñāyām as synonymous (....इतिकरणस्य संज्ञाशब्दस्य च तुल्यमेव फलं प्रयोगानुसरणम्...), but thinks that Pāṇini read both the expressions deliberately, his object being to make clear this meaning in which he has used the word iti—a meaning which is not otherwise well known (..िकमथं द्वयमुपादीयते? संज्ञाशब्देन तुल्यतामितिकरणस्य ज्ञापियतुम्। न ह्चयं लोके तथा प्रसिद्धः। संज्ञार्थत्वे तु संप्रति ज्ञापिते यत् तत्र तत्रोच्यते इतिकरणस्ततश्चेद् विवक्षेति तदुपपन्नं भवति।)!

Whether Pāṇini read the word samjnayam in the sūtra or not, two points emerge from the discussion: According to the commentators (1) the word samjnayam serves the purpose of a reference to the actual usage (in order to avoid an over-extension of the formation concerned); and (2) the expression iti serves this function as well as samjnayam.

34. दिक्पूर्वपदादसंज्ञायां ञा:। 4.2.107

After a compound with a word expressive of a direction as the first member, the suffix $-\tilde{N}a$ is employed in the 'remaining' senses, provided the compound is not a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples (where the stem is not a samijna): पौर्वशाल: 'being in the eastern hall'; दाक्षिणशाल: 'being in the southern hall;' आपरशाल: 'being in the western hall'. The feminine of these words would be in -ā.—Counter-examples (where the stem is a samijna): पूर्वेषुकामशमः 'a resident of Pūrveṣukāmasamī', अपरेषुकामशमः 'a resident of Apareṣukāmasamī', cf. P. 2.1.50. The feminine of these words would be in -ī.

35. संज्ञायां शरदो वुज्। 4.3.27

The word sarad takes the suffix $-VU\tilde{N}$ (= -aka) in the sense of 'grown or produced therein', provided the word formed is a samijna. Thus शारदकाः, which is a name of a particular kind of darbha grass; also of a kind of beans (both growing in autumn). On the other hand, when not a samijna the word is sarada- 'autumnal' (in the purely adjectival sense).

The Kāśikā mentions a view according to which the samjñādhikāra runs up to 4.3.27.

36. संज्ञायाम्। 4.3.117

After a word (in instrumental) comes the prescribed suffix in the sense of 'made thereby', provided the word thus formed is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: माक्षिकम् (= मिक्षकाभिः कृतम्), कार्मुक (त)म्, सारघम्, पौत्तिकम्, all meaning 'honey' (lit. 'made by a bee' etc.), or different kinds of honey. The Kāsikā simply says मधुनः (and not मधुनिशेषाणां) संज्ञा एताः, apparently favouring the first interpretation. In actual practice, the words probably denoted different kinds of honey.

37. कुलालादिभ्यो वुज्। 118

In the above context, the words read in the list beginning with $kul\bar{a}la$ -take the suffix $-VU\tilde{N}$ (= -aka).

Examples: कोलालकम् 'made by a potter', the earthen-ware; वारुडकम् cane products (lit. made by a Varuda).

38. क्षुद्राभ्यमरवटरपादपादञ्। 119

In the same context the words ধ্রা etc. take the suffix $-a\tilde{N}$.

Examples: क्षौद्रम्, भामरम्, वाटरम्, पादपम् 'honey' (lit. made by the different kinds of bee like kṣudrā, etc. Pādapa?)

39. (पिष्टाच्च।) संज्ञायां कन्। 4.3.147

The word pista- takes the suffix -kaN in the sense of 'its product', when the word thus formed is a samijñā. Thus q = 1 'a cake made of flour (pista); pastry.' A counter-example will be q = 1 'made of flour' in the adjectival sense (P. 4.3.146).

40. असंज्ञायां तिलयवाभ्याम् । 4.3.149

The words तिल- and यव- take the suffix -mayaT in the sense of 'its product or part', when the word so formed is not a samjñā.

Examples: तिलमयम् 'made of sesamum'; यवमयम् 'made of barley'. These are not samijñā words. They are used only adjectivally. Counter-examples: तैलम् 'oil' (lit. made from sesamum); यावकः 'a particular food prepared from barley'. These two are samijñā-words.

41. संज्ञायां ललाटकुवकुटचौ पश्यति । 4.4.46

The words $lal\bar{a}ta$ - and $kukkut\bar{i}$ (in accusative) take the suffix -THAK (= -ika) in the sense of 'one who sees it', provided the word thus formed is a $samj\tilde{n}a$.

Examples: लालाटिकः, कौक्कुटिकः. According to the commentators, lālāṭika- means a good-for-nothing servant ('merely looking at the master's forehead'); while kaukkuṭika- means a mendicant (or a hypocrite). The exact meaning does not seem to be clear.

42. संज्ञायां जन्या। 4.4.82

janyā is formed as a samjñā.

It means 'one who carries the bride (jani) to the bridegroom' and denotes either a female friend of the bridegroom, or the bridegroom's party as a whole (janyāḥ in the plural).

43. संज्ञायां धेनुष्या। 4.4.89

The word *dhenusyā* is formed as a $samj\tilde{n}a$. It means a cow pledged to the creditor.

44. गृहपतिना संयुक्ते ज्यः। 90

The suffix $-\tilde{N}ya$ (comes after the word grhapati-) to denote what is connected with the grhapati-, when the word so formed is a $samj\tilde{n}a$. Thus गाहेंपरयः 'N. of a particular sacrificial fire' (the householder's fire.).

45. नौवयोधर्मविषमूलमूलसीतातुलाभ्यस्तार्यतुल्यप्राप्यवध्यानाम्यसमसमितसंमितेषु ।

The suffix -yaT (P. 4.4.75) comes after the words nau- etc. in the senses $t\bar{a}rya$ - etc. (respectively), provided the word formed is a $sainj\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

Thus, नाज्यम् (= नावा तार्यम्) 'fordable by a boat', as water (but नाज्या = a river); वयस्यः (= वयसा तुल्यः) 'a friend' (lit. 'equal of age'); धर्म्यम् (= धर्मेण प्राप्यम्) 'obtainable through Dharma'; विष्यः (= विषेण वध्यः) 'fit to be killed by poisoning'; मूल्यम् (= मूलेन आनाम्यम्) 'price', the word originally meaning, according to the commentators, 'what is fetched by the capital invested', i.e. profit; मूल्यः (= मूलेन समः) 'worth the price' (?); सीत्यम् (= सीतया समितम्) 'ploughed'; तुल्यम् (= तुल्या समितम्) equal (lit. 'weighing equal').

While the $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ -character of some of the words like vayasya- is quite clear – it always means a friend and not anybody and everybody who may be of the same age – the other words formed by this rule are not $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ -words in the usual sense of names. Many of them are pure adjectives, and even here their application does not seem to be restricted.

46. धर्मपथ्यर्थन्यायादनपेते। 92

After the words dharma- 'law', pathin- 'path', artha- 'gain', and nyāya- 'propriety', in the sense of 'not deviating from it'.

Examples: धर्म्यम् 'not deviating from virtue or law; just'; पथ्यम् 'not deviating from the path', wholesome (particularly diet); अर्थम् 'conducive to gain' or 'conforming to fact' (artha-); न्याय्यम् 'customary, proper'.

Development of a secondary meaning is discernible in pathya-, but not so in others.

47. छन्दसी निर्मिते। 93

After chandas 'desire' (in the instrumental) in the sense of 'created'. Thus छन्दस्यः 'made at will'.

Not a samjña in the usual sense.

48. उरसोऽण् च। 94

The suffix -aN as well as -yaT comes after the word uras in this sense. Thus उरस्य: or औरसः 'produced from the breast', an own or legitimate son (as different from an adopted one etc.). According to the commentators, the words here formed are not used with any other thing, say सुख- 'happiness', even if it be उरसा निमित-.

49. हृदयस्य प्रियः । 95

After the word hrdaya-'heart' (replaced by hrd- acc. to P.6.3.50) comes the suffix -yaT in the sense of 'dear or agreeable to it'. $\overline{\epsilon}$: 'agreeable to the heart'.

According to the commentators, the word ह्य- is used with reference to some specific objects like देश: 'a region', वनम् 'a forest' etc.; not with reference to a son etc. (even though he be हृदयस्य प्रियः).

50. बन्धने चर्षों। 96

The $samj\tilde{n}a$ -word hrdya- also denotes 'a vedic Mantra' (rsi-) for subduing or bewitching (another's heart)'.

According to the *Tb*. the samjñādhikāra serves to exclude the usual meaning of the word rṣi- 'a seer' (such as Vasiṣṭha⁹)! But this is not satisfactory. The restriction should refer to the meaning of the formation tought, not of the words in the rule.

51. मतजनहलात् करणजल्पकर्षेषु । 97

After the words mata- 'knowledge' etc. in the sense of 'its means' etc. Thus मत्यम् (= मतस्य करणम्) 'a means of knowledge'; जन्यः (= जनस्य जल्पः) 'a talk of the people', gossip, rumour; हल्यः (= हलस्य कर्षः) 'furrowing by a plough'.

The $K\bar{a}sik\bar{a}$ does not expressly mention the application of the word $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ in this rule. But since a new topic begins with the next rule, it is presumed that the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$ is valid up to the present rule. The commentaries Bm and Tb even go further and say that the $samj\tilde{n}\bar{a}dhik\bar{a}ra$ extends right up to the end of this section ($p\bar{a}da$).

52. कम्बलाच्च संज्ञायाम्। 5.1.3

The suffix -yaT comes also after the word kambala- in the $pr\bar{a}k-kr\bar{i}t\bar{i}ya$ senses (5.1.1-16).

Thus कम्बल्यम् (= अणीपलशतम्) 'a hundred palas of wool (necessary for making a blanket)'. Counter-example: कम्बलीया '(wool etc.) fit for making a blanket'. VASU translates कम्बलीया by 'wool' adding 'lit. fit for making blanket...'. I am afraid if-the word is thus taken as a substantive (and not in its adjectival sense) it will cease to be a counter-example, and be a samijñā itself.

53. विंशतित्रिंशद्भ्यां ड्वुन्नसंज्ञायाम्। 5.1.24

The affix -DVUN (= aka^{10}) comes after the words vimsati, 'twenty' and trimsat' thirty' in the $\bar{a}rh\bar{i}ya$ senses (P. 5.1.37-62) when no $samj\tilde{n}a$ is involved (but otherwise -kaN).

^{9. &#}x27;Samjhādhikārād Vasisthādāv rsau nāyam yat'.

^{10.} Causing the dropping of the final vowel of the stem (if the stem ends in a vowel), or that of that part of the stem which begins with the last of the vowels (if the stem ends in a consonant), P. 6.4.143.

Examples: विश्वक: 'bought for twenty'; বিश্বক: 'bought for thirty'. Counter-examples (where a samijñā is involved): विश्वतिकः, বিश्वतिकः, which are names of particular coins having twenty and thirty parts respectively.

54. अध्यर्धपूर्वद्विगोर्लुगसंज्ञायाम् । 5.1.28

When no $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$ is involved, a suffix denoting the $\bar{a}rh\bar{i}ya$ meanings (P. 5.1.37-62) is dropped after a compound beginning with adhyardha- and after a dvigu compound.

Examples: अध्यधंकंसम्, द्विकंसम्, त्रिकंसम् 'bought for one and a half Kamsas', etc.; अध्यधंशूर्पम्, द्विशूर्पम्, त्रिशूर्पम् 'bought for one and a half surpas (of corn)' etc.—Counter-examples (with samijñās): पाञ्चलोहितिकम्, पाञ्चललापिकम् 'names of particular measures' ('परिमाणविशेषस्य नामधेये एते Tb.). See also P. 7.3.17 below.

55. संख्यायाः संज्ञासंघसूत्राध्ययनेषु । 5.1.58

After a numeral, the prescribed suffix comes in the sense of 'that is its measure', when (the word thus formed is) a samijhā or when it means a group (of living beings), a Sūtra, or a mode of study.

The Varttika makes a clarification that in the case of a samjñā, there is no addition to or modification of the meaning of the stem. The suffix is svārthe. Thus पञ्चेव पश्चका: 'five', after which the commentators add: शकुनयः.

The exact nature of samijnā is not clear to me here. Whether the word पञ्चक- is an adjective but restricted to birds only, or it is a substantive meaning either a 'bird' or any particular species of birds, I am not in a position to say.

56. त्रिशच्चत्वारिंशतोब्रीह्मणे संज्ञायां डण्। 5.1.62

The suffix -DaN comes after the words trimsat 'thirty' and catvarinisat 'forty' in the sense of 'that is its measure', when it concerns a samijāa that refers to a Brāhmaṇa text. Thus त्रेंशानि ब्राह्मणानि 'The Traimsa Brāhmaṇas (having thirty chapters = the Sankhayana)'; चात्वारिशानि ब्राह्मणानि 'The Catvārimsa Brāhmaṇas (having forty chapters = the Aitareya)'.

The net meaning of ब्राह्मणे संज्ञायाम् according to Candra 4.1.65 is 'name of a Brāhmaṇa' since he replaces Pāṇini's expression by ब्राह्मणास्यायाम्. The Tb. also remarks expressly: इह ब्राह्मणसंज्ञायामिति पष्ठीसमासेन निर्देष्टुमुचितम्। तथा सित 'ब्राह्मणस्य चेत् संज्ञा' इति स्फुटीभवित।

57. हैयंगवीनं संज्ञायाम । 5.2.23

Haiyangavina- is a samjñā-word. It means 'ghee' ('butter' acc. to the Kaumudī) prepared from yesterday's milking' (hyogodoha-> hiyangu-).

As the $K\bar{a}\dot{s}ik\bar{a}$ says, the word is restricted only to a particular product (of yesterday's milking) viz. ghee (or butter), and does not denote any other product, such as buttermilk ($uda\dot{s}vit$).

58. नते नासिकायाः संज्ञायां टीटज्-नाटज्-भ्रटचः । 5.2.31

In the matter of a samjñā the suffixes -tītaC, -nāṭaC and -bhraṭaC come after ava- when flatness of nose is to be conveyed. Thus अवटीटम्, अवनाटम्,

अवध्यटम्, all meaning 'flatness of nose'. Alsoअवटीटा[अवनाटा, अवध्यटा] 'a flat nose'; and अवटीटः, अवनाटः, अवध्यटः 'flat-nosed'.

59. नेविडज्-विरीसचौ। 32

In the same context, the suffixes -bidaC and -birisaC come after ni-. Thus निविडम्, निविरीसम् 'flatness of nose'. (Also निविडा, निविरीसा 'a flat nose'; निविड:, निविरीस: 'flat-nosed'.)

In expressions like निविडा: केशा: 'thickly grown hair', निविडं वस्त्रम् 'thickly woven cloth', the word nibida- is used in connection with objects other than nose. This use is explained as being figurative.

60. इनच् पिटच् चिकचि च। 33

Also the suffixes -inaC and pitaC (come after ni-); before -inaC, ni is replaced by cika- 11 and before -pitaC by ci-. Thus चिकिन:, चिपिट: 'flatnosed'. (The other forms चिकिना, चिपिटा 'a flat nose' and चिकिनम्, चिपिटम् 'flatness of nose' are not given by the commentators).

One rather expected Panini to teach these forms by Nipatana : something like ' चिकिनचिपिटी ' !

All the three sutras (5.2.31-33) are rather curious. *titaC* etc. are evidently not really suffixes. They seem to be borrowed words. The words taught here are somewhat analogous to the unadi formations.

61. उपाधिभ्यां त्यकन्नासन्नारूढयोः । 34

After the words upa- and adhi- comes the suffix -tyakaN to denote 'what is proximate' and 'what is ascended' respectively when a sanijña is formed. Thus, उपत्यका (= पर्वतस्यासन्नं स्थलम्) 'land at the foot of a hill'; अधित्यका (= पर्वतस्यास्टं स्थलम्) 'table-land'.

The expression $samijn\bar{a}y\bar{a}m$ is intended to emphasize that not any vicinity or elevation, but only a particular one, viz. the one in connection with parvata-'hill, mountain' is intended. This also accounts for the absense of the change a > i required by P. 7.3.44 (so the $k\bar{a}sik\bar{a}$).

According to the commentators, the suffix -tyakaN is $sv\bar{a}rthe$, the idea of vicinity and elevation being already conveyed by upa- and adhi-themselves.

62. ब्राह्मणकोष्णिके संज्ञायाम् । 5,2.71

The words brāhmaṇaka and uṣṇikā are formed as saṅjñā-words. Thus, ब्राह्मणको देश: (= यत्रायुधजीविनो ब्राह्मणाः सन्ति) 'a country inhabited by Brāhmaṇas who live by weapons'; उिष्णका (= अल्पान्ना यवाग्:) 'rice-broth'.

63. तदस्मिन्नन्नं प्राये संज्ञायाम् । 5.2.82

After a word in nominative (denoting food) comes the suffix -kaN to denote an occasion on which that particular food is principal or customary, when the word thus formed is a sainjña.

^{11.} The final a is dropped evidently by P. 6.4.148 (yasyeti ca). The Bm. however says: tatra akāra uccūraņūrthaḥ.

Examples: गुडापूपिका गौर्णमासी 'N, of a particular full-moon day on which the gudāpūpa sweets form the chief or customary meals '. Similarly तिलापूपिका पौर्णमासी.

64. कुल्माषादञ्। 83

In the same context the word $kulm\bar{a}sa$ - takes the suffix $-a\tilde{N}$. So कौल्माषी पौर्णमासी 'a full-moon day on which the $kulm\bar{a}sa$ - 12 forms the chief or customary meals '.

65. साक्षाद द्रष्टरि संज्ञायाम् । 5.2.91

After the word $s\bar{a}k\bar{s}\bar{a}t$ comes the suffix -inI to denote an onlooker, i.e. 'a witness', when the word thus formed is a $samjn\bar{a}$.

 $Samj\tilde{n}ayam$ is intended to indicate the restricted use of the word $s\bar{a}ksin$, viz. with reference to the technical onlooker, 'the witness'. This excludes, e. g. the creditor and the borrower, even though they evidently witness the transaction of lending etc.

66. गाण्डचजगात् संज्ञायाम् । 5.2,110

After the words gāṇḍi (or gāṇḍi) and ajaga- comes the possessive suffix -va to form a samjñā. Thus गाण्डीवम्, गाण्डिवम् 'N. of Arjuna's bow'; अजगवम् 'N. of Śiva's bow'.

67. दन्तशिखात् संज्ञायाम्। 5.2.113

After the words danta- 'a tooth, a tusk 'and śikhā' a crest 'comes the suffix -valaC in the possessive sense to form a samjñā · Thus, दन्तावल: 'an elephant' (दन्तावल: सैन्य:। दन्तावलो गज:, Kāś); शिखावलः 'a peacock' (शिखावलं नगरम्। शिखावला स्यूणा, Kāś). For the lengthening, see 6.3.118 below.

68. ज्योत्स्नातमिस्राशृङ्किगणोर्जस्वित्रूर्जस्वलगोमिन्मलिनमलीमसाः। 114

The words jyotsnā etc. are formed in a possessive sense as samjñā-words. Thus ज्योत्स्ना 'moon-light', तिमस्रा 'night' (also तिमस्र- 'dark'); शृङ्गिण: 'a ram' (?); ऊर्जस्वी, ऊर्जस्वल: 'mighty'; गोमी 'possessing cows'; मिलन:. मलीमसः 'dirty'.

The Kaumudi makes no reference to samjñāyām but the Kāsikā does. Only the first three words seem to have developed into substantives, while the remaining five have apparently remained on the adjectival level. Perhaps the irregularity (real or imaginary) in some formations (e.g. jyotis > jyots in jyotsnā) or the rarity of the suffix (e,g. imasaC in malimasa-) constitutes the samjñã character here.

69. संज्ञायां मन्माभ्याम् । 5.2.137

After stems ending in -man and after words ending in -ma comes the suffix -inI in the possessive sense to form samjñā-words. Thus (from stems in -man) प्रथिमिनी, दामिनी; (from one in -ma) सोमिनी, all names of women.—

^{12.} Kulmāṣa- 'appears to have been a coarse thick gruel'. For its constituents and other details, see Agrawala, India, 109-110.

Counter-examples: सोमवान् 'having Soma', होमवान् 'having an offering', both being mere adjectives, and no names.

70. (कुत्सिते।) संज्ञायां कन्। 5.3.75

The suffix -kaN comes after a stem denoting something contemptible, provided the word thus formed is a $sainj\tilde{n}a$.

Examples: शूद्रकः, धारकः (SK. राधकः), पूर्णकः.

Apparently, the words became mere proper names later on, losing their original bad connotation.

71. (हस्वे।) संज्ञायां कन्। 5.3.87

The suffix -kaN comes in the case of a samjñā associated with shortness. Thus, বঁহাক:, বঁণুক: 'names of two kinds of (short) bamboo'; ব্ण্डक: 'a handle'?

72. संज्ञायां च । 5.3.97

Also in the case of a samjñā, the suffix -kaN comes in to denote likeness. Thus अश्वक: 'a thing (so called because) resembling a horse'; similarly उष्ट्रक:, गर्दभक: These won't be names of men, vide the next rule.

73. लुम्मनुष्ये। 98

The suffix taught in the preceding rule undergoes a lup-elision, if the samijnā-word refers to a man. Thus, चंघा (= चेबेव मनुष्य:) 'a straw-man, doll' (called contemptuously); दांसी 'a slave-like man'; खंरकुटी (meaning?). For the accent on the initial syllable of these words, see P. 6.1.204 below.

74. अनोश्मायःसरसां जातिसंज्ञयोः। 5.4.94

In the case of a class or a samjña, the suffix -TaC comes after tatpuruṣa compounds ending in anas- 'a cart', asman- 'a stone', ayas- 'iron' and saras- 'lake'.

Examples: (of samjñā): महानसम् 'a heavy waggon'; (also) a kitchen; पिण्डाक्मः (?); लोहितायसम् 'copper'; जलसरसम् 'N. of a particular lake (?)'

The examples of jāti 'class': उपानसम् 'space in a carriage (?)'; अमृताश्मः (meaning?); कालायसम् 'iron'; and मण्डूकसरसम् 'a frog-lake'.

The contrast between these two sets of words is not quite clear in many cases. It is not easy to see how upānasa- is a jāti word. In the case of mahānasa-, if the samjñā is to be understood in the meaning 'kitchen', then the apparent absence of any connection between the meanings will have to be regarded as the reason for the word being looked upon a samjñā. Both pināsma- and amṛtāśma- are equally obscure. As regards lohitāyasa- and kālāyasa-, both mean two different metals, and so it is difficult to see why one is a jāti- word and the other a samjñā- word. Only the last pair is somewhat clear. Jalasarasa- is probably a name of a particular lake while the word mandūkasarasa- would apply to any lake having frogs in it.

Counter-examples: सदनः, सदश्मा, [सदयः], सत्सरः 'a good cart' etc. There is no suffix here, because the condition jātisamjñayoḥ is not fulfilled.

75. अञ् नासिकायाः संज्ञायां नसं चास्थूलात् । 5.4.118

In the matter of a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ the suffix -aC comes after a bahuvrihi compound ending in $n\tilde{a}sik\tilde{a}$, when the first member is other than the word $sth\tilde{u}la$; also, $n\tilde{a}sik\tilde{a}$ is changed to nas.

Examples: द्रुणसः 'tree-nosed'; वद्ध्रीणसः 'thong-nosed'; गोनसः 'cownosed'. These, whatever their etymological meaning, are apparently proper names (the last one also means a kind of large snake).—Counter-example: वुङ्गनासिकः 'having a long nose', a mere adjective, and not a name. For the cerebralization of n, see P. 8.4.3 below.

76. (धनुषरच।) वा संज्ञायाम्। 5.4.133

The final of the word *dhanus*, when forming the second member of a bahuvrihi compound, is replaced by $anA\dot{N}$ (i. e. *dhanus* > *dhanvan*-) optionally in the case of a $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}a$.

Examples: शतधनु: or शतधन्वा। दृढधनु: or दृढधन्वा. Literally meaning 'having a hundred bows' and 'having a strong bow' respectively they are evidently names.

An interesting question arises here: The previous rule ঘনুঘহৰ (5.4.132) enjoines the substitution of dhanvan- in the place of dhanus compulsorily when it forms the second member of a bahuvrihi compound. The present sutra makes it optional in the case of a samijñā. We naturally suppose that the examples given under the previous rules 5.4.132 are other than those concerning a samijñā. Curiously however out of the four examples in the Kāśikā there are three which are proper names and are evidently samijñā-words: সাভ্যাঘন্না 'Wielder of the śārnga-bow, i.e. Viṣṇu ', गाण्डीनघन्ना 'wielder of the Gāṇḍīva bow, i.e. Arjuna '; पुष्पधन्ना 'having a flowery bow, i. e. the God of Love'. How then are these words given here? Perhaps their samijñā character is not intended here; they are intended to be understood only in the adjectival sense.

77. स्त्रियां संज्ञायाम् । 5.4.143

In the case of a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$, the word danta- is replaced by datR- when it is the second member of a bahuvrihi compound in the feminine gender.

Examples: अयोदती, फालदती: proper names (of domonesses!), lite-rally meaning 'having teeth like iron' and 'having teeth like a ploughshare.'—Counter-examples: समदन्ती 'having even teeth'; स्निग्धदन्ती 'having glossy teeth', only adjectival expressions, and no names.

78. विभाषा श्यावारोकाभ्याम् । 144

Optionally after the words śyāva- and uroka- (even outside the feminine gender).

Examples: श्यावदन्तः or श्यावदन्; अरोकदन्तः or अरोकदन् : names, originally meaning 'having discoloured teeth'.—When used in the pure adjectival sense, only श्यावदन्तः and अरोकदन्तः.

79. न संज्ञायाम् । 5.4.155

In the case of a samjña, the suffix -kaP does not come after a bahuvrihi compound.

Examples: विश्वदेवः (विश्वे देवा अस्य), विश्वयशाः; names literally meaning 'looking upon everybody as a god' and 'world-famous' respectively.

80. पारस्करप्रभृतीनि च संज्ञायाम्। 6.1.157

In the matter of a samjña, words like Pāraskara- are correct in the

form in which they are read (i. e. with an s).

Thus, पारस्कर: 'N. of a man (e. g. author of a grhya-sūtra); also, of a country; रथस्था (v.l. ँस्पा) 'N. of a river'; किष्कु: 'a particular measure'; किष्कन्धा 'N. of a cave' (the residence of the monkey kings); तस्कर: 'a thief'; वृहस्पितः 'N. of a deity'; प्रायश्चित्तम्, प्रायश्चित्तः 'atonement'; वनस्पितः 'a tree'. A gana-sūtra read here also provides for the form प्रस्तुम्पित when speaking of a bull.

A strange assortment! It contains not only proper nouns (a name of a man [or a country], of a deity, of a river, of a cave) and common nouns, but even a finite verb!

81. संज्ञायामुपमानम् । 6.1.204

In the matter of a samjñā, a word denoting a standard of comparison is accented on the initial syllable. Thus चंत्रा, व'द्ग्निका, ख'रकुटी, दा'सी. For the formation of these words, see P.5.3.98 above. Here संज्ञायामुपमानम् = a nick-name based on similarity,—Counter-examples: अग्नि: (in the expression अग्नि'मंग्णवक:); here अग्नि' is only a (figurative) description of the boy, and not his name (appelative or nick-name); देवदत्त: —this is a name, but not a nick-name based on similarity.

82. निष्ठा च द्वचजनात्। 205

A dissyllabic form ending in the suffix called niṣthā (= -Kta P.1.1.26; i.e. a past passive participle), when a samjñā, is accented on the initial

syllable, provided it has a vowel other than a.

Examples: द'त्त:, गु'प्त:, बु'द्धः. The words देव':, भीम': etc. are also samjñās but they are not past passive participles; similarly words likes चिन्तितः, रक्षित': are past passive participles and are used as samjñās, but they are not dissylabic. So both kinds of samjñās are not accented initially.—Counter-examples: क्वत'म् 'done'; ह्वतंम् 'carried off'. These are not samjñās.

83. मतोः पूर्वमात् संज्ञायां स्त्रियाम् । 6.1.219

The vowel \tilde{a} preceding (the possessive suffix) -mat U has an udatta accent, if it occurs in a feminine samiña.

Examples: उदुम्बरा'वती, पुष्करा'वती, वीरणा'वती, शरा'वती—all names of rivers¹³. इक्षुम'ती and दुमव'ती are similarly names of rivers, but there is no \bar{a} in them which would be udatta.—Counter-example: खंट्वाबती 'having a bed': this is not a name, so not accented on \bar{a} . (For $a > \bar{a}$, see P.6.3.119–20 below).

^{13.} For identification of these, see AGRAWALA, India, 43 ff.

84. अन्तोऽवत्याः । 220

A feminine samjña ending in -avati is accented on the final syllable.

Examples: अजिरवती', खदिरवती', हंसवती', कारण्डवती' —again names of rivers. 13

85. ईवत्याः। 221

Also one ending in -ivati.

Examples: अहीवती', कृषीवती', मुनीवती' —similar names (may be, at least partly, of localities).

86. संज्ञायां च। 6.2.77

Of a compound, the second member of which is formed with the suffix -aN—but not from \sqrt{kr} —the first member is accented on the first syllable, if the whole compound is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: त'न्तुनाय: (नाम कीट:) 'a spider'; बा'लवाय: (नाम पर्वत:) 'N. of a mountain'. -रथकार': though a samjñā, is however not so initially accented owing to the prohibition akṛñaḥ (P. 62.76).

87. संज्ञायां गिरिनिकाययोः 1 6.2.94

In the matter of a $samj\tilde{n}a$ a compound ending in -giri and -nikaya is accented on the final syllable of the first member.

Examples: अजना'गिरि:, भजना'गिरि:—names of hills; शापिण्ड'निकाय:, मौण्ड'निकाय:, चिखिल्लि' (चिखिल्लि' P 3.3.41 Kās)निकाय:, all names of localities, literally meaning 'dwelling-place of the Sapindis' etc. On these words AGRAWALA, India, p. 136 observes: 'These (i. e. nikāyya and nikāya) are synonymous of nivāsa, a dwelling place (III.1.129; III.3 (41)), and are in this sense peculiarly Pāṇinian words. It is not certain whether they were used to denote only the residence of monks."—Counter-examples: परमगिरि': 'a high hill', ब्राह्मणनिकाय': 'a dwelling-place of the Brāhmaṇas."

88. बहन्रीही विश्वं संज्ञायाम्। 6.2.106

In the matter of a samjña, the word viśva- when leading in a bahuvrihi compound is accented finally.

Examples: विश्व'देव:, विश्व'यशाः, विश्व'महान्, all names literally meaning 'regarding everything as divine (?)' etc. When used in the literal sense, and not as names, the accent would be different: विश्वदेव: etc.—For the absence of the samāsānta suffix -kaP, see P. 5.4.155 above.

89. उदराश्रेष्षु । 107

In the matter of a samjña, the first member of a bahuvrihi compound is accented finally when udara- asva- and isu- are second members.

Examples: वृको'दर:, दामो दर:, ह्यं'श्वः, यौवना'श्वः, सुवर्णपुङ्गले'षुः, महे षुः. These are all names (the first two being of Bhimasena and Viṣṇu-Kṛṣṇa respectively).

The Kāsikā brings down the word samjñāyām in the next rule (kṣepe 6.2.108) also. But as all the examples given there contain the same uttarapadas, viz. udara- etc. this seems unnecessary.

90. संज्ञीपम्ययोश्च। 6.2.113

The word karṇa- is accented initially when it is the final member of a bahuvrihi compound, provided that the word formed is a samjña or that it denotes similarity.

Examples (of saṁjña): कुञ्चिक'णं:, मणिक'णं: Both are names. Examples of similarity are: गोक'णं:, 'having ears like those of a cow'; खरक'णं:. These are not saṁjñas, but words used in their adjectival sense.

91. कण्ठपष्ठग्रीवाजङ्घं च। 114

Also the words kantha- 'neck', prṣṭha- 'back', grīvā 'neck' and janghā 'shank'.

Examples: शितिक'ण्ठः, नीलक'ण्ठः (both names of Śiva), काण्डपृ'ष्ठः, नाक-पृ'ष्ठः, सुग्री'वः 'N. of a monkey chief', नीलग्री'वः 'N. of Siva', दशग्री'वः 'N. of Rāvaṇa', नाडीज'ड्रघः, तालज'ङ्गः. Words like खरक'ण्ठः, गोपृ'ष्ठः are not samjñās but words used adjectivally, meaning 'having a neck like that of an ass' etc.

92. शृङ्गमवस्थायां च। 115

Besides in connection with samjña and resemblance, the word singa-'a horn' when the final member of a bahuvrihi compound is accented initially.

Example: ऋष्यशृंडगः 'N. of a celebrated Rsi'. It is to be noted that there is nothing to prevent the compounds formed in order to denote similarity from developing into samjñās. Some have actually developed so, e. g. गोत्रणे: which is the name of a form of Siva.

93. कन्थाच। 6.2.124

When the word kanthā is final in a tatpuruṣa compound of neuter gender, it is accented initially.

Examples: सौशमिक'न्थम्, आह्ररक'न्थम्, चर्मक'न्थम्. These words are formed 'samjñāyām', vide P. 2. 4. 20 above.

94. कूलसूदस्थलकर्षाः संज्ञायाम्। 6.2.129

In the matter of a samjñā, the words kūla-, sūda-, sthala- and karṣa-, when they form the second member of a tatpuruṣa compound, are accented initially.

Examples : दाक्षिक् लम्, माहिकक् लम्, देवस् दम्, भाजीस् दम्, दाण्डायनस्थ ली, माहिकस्थ ली, दाक्षिक पः. As the Kāśikā tells us, these are all names of villages (grāmanāmadheyāni). —Counter-example : परमक्ल म् where -kūlam is not accented initially because no samjñā is involved.

95. संज्ञायामनाचितादीनाम् । 6.2.146

In the matter of a samjña, past passive participles other than acitaetc. are accented on the final syllable, when in a compound they are preceded by words designated as gati (P. 1.4.60 ff), by a noun related with the verb (P. 1.4.23 ff), or by words designated as upapada (P. 3.1.92). Examples: संभूत': (scil. रामायण:) 'Sambhūta, having the patronymic Rāmāyaṇa (?)'; उपहूत': (scil. शांकल्य:) 'Upahūta, having the patr. Sākalya'; परिजग्ध': (scil. कौण्डिन्य:) 'Parijagdha, having the patr. Kauṇḍinya'; धनुष्वाता (नदी) 'N. of a river' (lit. 'dug by a bow'); कुद्दालखात'म् (नगरम्) 'N. of a city' (lit. 'dug by a spade); हस्तिमृदिता' (भूमिः) 'trampled down by an elephant (?)'.—Upapada is really speaking not applicable in the present sūtra.

Ācita-, etc. though samjñā-words, have a different accent.

96. कारकाद्दतश्रुतयोरेवाशिषि । 6.2.148

The words datta- and sruta- are accented on the final syllable when in a compound they follow a $k\bar{a}raka$ (a noun related with the verb), only if a benediction is implied, and the word is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: देवदत्त':, विष्णुश्रुत':. These are names (literally meaning 'given by the gods [after being sought for from them]' and 'heard by Viṣṇu [who i.e. Viṣṇu was prayed for hearing him i.e. the person so designated]').— For the formation of these words, see P. 3.3.174 above.

97. संज्ञायाम्। 6.2.159

In a compound beginning with the negative particle, the second member, if a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$, is accented on the final syllable when deprecation is implied.

Examples: अदेवदत्त': 'unworthy of the name Devadatta'; अयज्ञदत्त':, अविष्ण्मित्र':.

98. संज्ञायां मित्राजिनयोः । 6.2.165

The words *mitra*- and *ajina*-, when final in a bahuvrīhi compound, are accented on the final syllable, if the compound is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: देविमत्र':, ब्रह्ममित्र':, वृकाजिन':, कूलाजिन':, कृष्णाजिन':. These are names (literally meaning 'having divine friends' etc.).—Counter-examples: प्रिय'मित्र: 'fond of friends', महा'जिन: 'having a large skin'. These are not names, but words used in their adjectival sense.

99. प्रादस्वाङ्गं संज्ञायाम् । 6.2.183

In a compound beginning with pra- the second member, when not expressive of a part of the body, is accented on the final syllable, if the compound is a $sani\tilde{p}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: प्रकोड्ट'म् 'a court in a house'; प्रगृह'म्, प्रदार'म् (meaning?). प्र'हस्तम् 'the open hand with the fingers extended' and प्र'पदम् 'tip of the toes' are also samijnā-words, but they are accented differently because they are names of parts of body. —Counter-example: प्र'पीठम् (?).

100. (अलुगुत्तरपदे 1 6.3.1) मनसः संज्ञायाम् । 6.3.4

Before the second member of a compound, the Instrumental after manasis not elided, if the compound is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: मनसादत्ता, मनसागुप्ता, मनसासंगता. These are names (lit. meaning 'mentally given' etc.). —Counter-examples: मनोदत्ता 'mentally given'; मनोगुप्ता 'cherished in or protected by the mind'. These are not names, but words used in adjectival senses.

MW gives manodattā with the meaning '(prob.) N. of a woman' on the authority of the present rule. This is evidently questionable.

101. हलदन्तात् सप्तम्याः संज्ञायाम् । 6.3.9

Before the second member of a compound, the Locative coming after a stem ending in a consonant or in a is not elided, if the compound is a samijna.

Examples: युधिष्टरः 'N. of the eldest Pandava prince'; त्विचसारः 'a bamboo'; अरण्येतिलकाः 'wild sesamum'; अरण्येमाषकाः 'wild beans'; वनेकिशुकाः 'wild kimsuka'; वनेहिरद्रिकाः 'wild yellow sandal'; वनेबल्वजकाः 'wild balvajaka (Eleusine Indica)'; पूर्विह्नेस्फोटकाः 'boils-in-the-forenoon'; कूपेपिशाचकाः 'fiends in a well' (the reading under 2.1.44 is क्षुपेपि")—Counter-example: अक्षशौण्डः 'skilled in (playing with) dice'. This is not a samjñā. For the formation of the compounds अरण्येतिलकाः etc., see P. 2.1.44 above.

102. संज्ञापूरण्योश्व। 6.3.38

A feminine samjña and an ordinal do not change to the corresponding masculine stem (required by P 6.3.34-36)

Examples (of samjñā): दत्ताभार्य:, गुप्ताभार्यः (meaning 'one whose wife's name is Dattā' etc.); दत्तापाशा, गुप्तापाशा (meaning 'a bad Dattā' etc.); दत्तायत्ते, गुप्तायते (meaning 'behaving like a Dattā' etc.); दत्तामानिनी, गुप्तामानिनी (meaning 'considering oneself a Dattā' etc.).

103. उदकस्योदः संज्ञायाम् । 6.3.57

Before a second member of a compound, udaka- is replaced by uda-, if the whole word is a samijāa.

Example: उदमेघ:. A person, dark as a water-cloud would be so nick-named (cf. Tb.)

104. ङ्यापोः संज्ञाछन्दसोर्बहुलम् । 6.3.63

Before a second member of a compound, the final vowel of stems ending in the (feminine) suffixes $-\dot{N}i$ and $-\bar{a}P$ is shortened 'generally' in the case of a $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$; (also) if the word occurs in the Veda (without being a $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}\bar{a}$).

Examples (of $samij\tilde{n}a$): (stems in \tilde{i}) रेवतिपुत्रः, रोहिणिपुत्रः, भरणिपुत्रः. These are names (lit. meaning 'son of Revati' etc). (Stems in \tilde{a}) शिलवहम्, शिलप्रस्थम्. These must have been place-names since -vaha and -prastha are two of the common suffixes forming place-names in Pāṇini (cf. AGRAWALA, India, p. 69).

105. सहस्य सः संज्ञायाम् । 6.3.78

Before a second member of a compound which is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$, saha is replaced by sa.

Examples: साश्वत्थम्, सपलाशम्, सिश्चापम्. These are apparently names of particular forests, to judge by *Bm's* remark on सपलाशम्: 'वनविशेषस्य संज्ञेयम्'। —Counter-examples: सहयुष्ट्या 'fighting together'; सहकृत्वा 'working together'.

106, वनगिर्योः संज्ञायां कोटर्राकशुलकादीनाम् । 6.3.117

Words of the kotara list and those of the Kimsulaka list lengthen their final vowel before -vana- and -giri- respectively in the matter of a samjñā.

Examples: कोटरावणम्, मिश्रकावणम्, [पुरगावणम्,] सिध्यकावणम्, सारिकावणम्
These are names of particular forests. किंशुलकागिरिः, अञ्जनागिरिः etc., names of mountains. For the change of -vana- to -vana-, see P.8.4.4 below. For the identification of these, see AGRAWALA, India, pp. 40-43.

107. वले। 118

The final vowel of the first member of a compound is lengthened before -vala.

Examples: आसुतीवल: 'a distiller; a priest who prepares the soma'; कृषीवल: 'a farmer'; दन्तावल: 'an elephant'. For the suffix -valaC, see 5.2.113 above.

The $Ka\dot{s}$ does not expressly bring down the expression $sa\dot{m}j\tilde{n}ay\bar{a}m$ in this rule (but the SK does it). It is, however, done so in the next rule.

108. मतौ बह्वचोऽनजिरादीनाम्। 119

Stems consisting of more than two syllables, excepting those like ajira-, lengthen their final vowel when the suffix -matU follows, if the whole word is a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples: उदुम्बरा'वती, मशका'वती, वीरणा'वती, पुष्करा'वती, अमरा'वती. All are names of rivers (the last one is the name of the city of the gods also). For the accent, see P. 6.1.219 above; for the change m > v, P. 8.2.11 below.

Counter-example : वलयवती 'having a ring or a circle'; not a name.

109. शरादीनां च। 120

Also in the case of words like sara-.

Examples: शरा वती, वंशा वती etc. (Similarly names of rivers). For the accent, see P. 6.1.219 above.

110. अष्टनः संज्ञायाम् । 6.3.125

Before a second member of a compound which is a samjñā, the final vowel in the word aṣṭan- 'eight' is lengthened.

Examples: अष्टावकः 'N. of a celebrated एडां'; अष्टावन्ध्रः (prob.) 'N. of a particular kind of chariot having eight seats'; अष्टापदम् 'the fabulous animal sarabha'.—Counter-examples: अष्टपुत्रः 'having eight sons'; अष्टभार्यः 'having eight wives'. These are not names, but adjectives.

^{14.} The Bm. finds it difficult to regard this word as a samjāā: 'samjāātvam anveṣaṇī-yam,' it says.

111. नरे संज्ञायाम् । 6.3.129

In the matter of a samjñā, the final vowel of viśva- is lengthened when -nara- follows as a second member of a compound. So বিশ্বান্য: 'N. of a man' (also applied to Indra, Savitr etc.). —Counter-example: বিশ্বন্য: 'connected with all men (?)', in the adjectival sense.

112. परिमाणान्तस्यासंज्ञाशाणयोः । 7.3.17

After a numeral, the initial vowel of a word expressive of a measure is viddhied but not of \hat{sana}^{-15} , before a taddhita suffix furnished with the codeletter \hat{N} , \hat{N} or K, provided no $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ is involved. Thus, दिसौर्वाणकम् 'bought for two suvarnas'. But the rule does not operate in the case of the $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ -words, e. g. पाञ्चलोहितिकम्, पाञ्चकपालिकम् (which are names of particular measures, cf. P. 5.1.28 above).

113. वचोऽशब्दसंज्ञायाम् । 7.3.67

Before the suffix -NyaT, there is no velarization (of the palatal) in \sqrt{vac} , unless a grammatical term is implied. Thus वाच्यम् 'to be said'; but वाक्यम् 'a sentence', as this is a शब्दसंज्ञा.

BÖHTLINGK translates aśabdasamjñāyām by 'wohl aber in der Bedeutung "Wort, Rede". The expression śabdasamjñāyām occurs in P. 8.3.86 below where he translates it thus: 'wenn das abgeleitete Wort der Name eines bestimmten Lautes ist'. The expression aśabdasamjñā occurs in svam rūpam śabdasyāśabdasamjñā P.1.1.68 where it is translated as 'ein grammatisch-technisches (Wort)'. For the sake of consistency I throughout translate śabdasamjñā by 'a grammatical technical term' (corresponding to Bhattoji's paraphrase śabdaśāstre yā samjñā under p. 1.1.68).

114. संज्ञायाम् । 8.2.11

The m in -mat U is changed to v in a samj $n\bar{\alpha}$.

Examples: अहीवती', क्षीवती', क्षीवती', मुनीवती'. Probably names of rivers. For the lengthening, see P. 6.3.120 above. For the accent, see P. 6.1.221 above.

115. आसन्दीवदष्ठीवच्चक्रीवत्-कक्षीवद्रुमण्वच्चमंण्वती । 8.2 12

The $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ -words $\tilde{a}sand\tilde{i}vat$ - etc. (are correct in the form in which they are read).

आसन्दीवान् (= अहिस्थलम् kāś.) 'N. of a city' (lit. 'possessing a royal throne'); अष्ठीवान् 'the knee'; चक्रीवान् 'N. of a king' (but cakrīvat- also means 'wheeled'); कक्षीवान् 'N. of a vedic Rṣi'; रुमण्वान् 'N. of a minister of Udayana; (also) of a mountain'; चर्मण्वती 'N. of a celebrated river'.—Counter-example: आसनवान् 'having a seat'; अस्थिमान् 'bony'; चक्रवान् 'having a wheel or a discus'; कक्ष्यावान् 'having a girdle'; लवणवान् 'having salt'; चर्मवती 'having hide'.

^{15.} The net result of prohibiting vrddhi in the case of the word $\delta \bar{n}na$ - (where the prohibition is really ineffective, because this word already contains it) is to re-allow it in the first member of the compound, where otherwise it would have been barred. So $dvai\delta \bar{n}nam$, $trai\delta \bar{n}nam$, 'bought for two $\delta \bar{n}nas$, for three $\delta \bar{n}nas$ '.

116. उदन्वानदघी च। 8.2.13

The word udanvat- (m) (is correct) in the matter of $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$, and also when equivalent to udadhi.

Thus उदन्वान् 'N. of a Rṣi '; also (= उदिधः) 'ocean '.— The counter-example of $Ka\dot{s}$. is उदकवान् 'having water'.

This is an interesting case. The express mention of *udadhi*- shows that *udanvat*-, when meaning 'ocean' is not a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ word. Why? If, on the other hand, one still regards it as a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ -word, then the wording of the sūtra becomes faulty. $Udanv\tilde{a}m\dot{s}$ ca would have been sufficient (as the Bm. suggests).

117. अभिनिसः स्तनः शब्दसंज्ञायाम् । 8.3.86

The s of \sqrt{stan} - following abhinis- is cerebralized optionally in the case of a grammatical term. Thus अभिनिष्टान: or अभिनिस्तान: 'the sound visarga'.

The word is formed with $-GHa\tilde{N}$ according to P 3.3.19 (quoted above). The present rule accounts for the change s > s.

118. एति संज्ञायामगात्। 8.3.99

In the case of a $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$, an s followed by e is cerebralized after vowels (except \tilde{a}), semi-vowels, h, and (the consonants belonging to) the K-series except g.

Examples: हरिषेण:, वारिषेण:, जानुषेणी (all are names of men and women). हरिसक्यम्, विष्ववसेन:, सर्वसेन: are also similarly names, but there is no cerebralization, because they do not fulfil one or the other condition.—Counter-example: पृथ्सेन: 'having a large army', when not intended to be a name.

119. नक्षत्राद्वा। 100

Optionally after a name of a constellation.

Examples: रोहिणीषेण: and °सेन:, भरणीषेण: and °सेन:.

120. पूर्वपदात् संज्ञायामगः। 8.4.3

After the first member of a compound (containing an r or s), an n is changed to n, but not after (a final) g, if the compound is a $samij\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$.

Examples : द्रुणसा, वद्भीणसः, खरणसः, भूर्पणखाः All are names, literally meaning 'tree-nosed' etc.

For the formation of the first three words (with $n\bar{a}sik\bar{a} > nas + aC$), see P. 5.4.118 above. For the feminine formation of the last example, P. 4.1.58 above.

121. वनं पुरगामिश्रकासिध्यकाशारिकाकोटराग्रेभ्यः। 4

After puragā etc. as the first members of a compound, (n in) vana is changed to n in the case of a samjñā.

Examples: पुरगावणम्, मिश्रकावणम्, सिध्यकावणम्, शारिकावणम्, कोटरावणम्, अग्रेवणम्. Vide P. 6.3.117 above. According to some, अग्रेवणम् is not a samjñā-word (cf. Bm.).

122. प्रतिरन्तः शरेक्षुप्लक्षाम्प्रकार्ण्यं खदिरपीयूक्षाभ्योऽसंज्ञायामपि। 5 After pra- etc, even if no samiñã is involved.

Examples: प्रवणम् 'slope' (in प्रवणे यष्टव्यम्), निर्वणम् 'an open country' (in निर्वणे प्रतिधीयते), अन्तर्वणम् 'within a forest'. The words शरवणम्, इक्षुवणम् etc. may simply denote the literal meaning 'a clump of reeds', 'a sugarcane wood' etc. or they may be names of particular clumps or woods.

4

The examples, as already hinted at the beginning, fall into two major categories: A. the nouns or substantives and B. the adjectives. The nouns are, of course, originally adjectives, later substantivised and used as names. They are of all kinds, proper, common, material etc. In the second category, the adjectives have remained on the adjectival plane. They do not seem to have developed into substantives. I give below instances showing different types. The list is not exhaustive, but sufficiently representative of the various types.

A. Names:

- (of persons) Śamkara- (3.2.14), Sutamgama- (3.2.47), Vibhū- (3.2.179), Devadatta- (3.3.174), Śūrpaṇakhā (4.1.58), Bhadrabāhū (4.1.67), Kadrū (4.1.72), Sominī (5.2.137), Śatadhanvan- and °dhanus- (5.4.133), Ayodatī (5.4.143), Pāraskara- (6.1.157), Dattā (6.1.205), Vrkódara- and Haryásva- (6.2.107), Kuñcikárṇa- (6.2.113), Šitikánṭha-, Sugriva- and Nādījāngha- (6.2.114), Rṣyaśrṇga- (6.2.115), Manasādattā (6.3.4), Yudhiṣṭhira- (6.3.9), Udamegha- (6.3.57), Revatiputra- (6.3.63), Aṣṭāvakra- (6.3.125), Cakrīvat- and Rumanvat- (8.2.12), Hariṣṇa- (8.3.99) etc. Nicknames: Cáncā, Dasī etc. (5.3.98)
- (of countries, villages and towns) Unmattaganga-(2.1.21), Pūrveṣu-kāmasamī (2.1.50), Sausamikantha-(2.4.20), Surājñī (4.1.29), Brāhma-naka-(5.2.71), Dākṣikūla-, Devasūda-, Dākṣikarṣa-, and Māhakisthalī (6.2.129), Ahīvatī (6.1.721), Kuddālakhāta-(6.2.146), Šilavaha-(6.3.63), Āsandīvat-(8.2.12) etc.
- (of rivers) Udumbaravati, Pușkaravati etc. (6.1.219), Ajiravati and Khadiravati etc. (6.1.220), Dhanușkhātā (6.2.146), Carmanvati (8.2.12) etc.
- (of hills and mountains) Balavaya- (6.2.77), Añjanágiri- and Bhañja-nágiri- (6.2.94), Rumanvat- (8.2.12)
- (of plants) Araņyetilaka- and Vanekimsuka- (2.1.44), Apāmārga- (3.3.121), Šāradaka- (4.3.27), Vamsaka- and Veņuka- (5.3.87), Tvacisāra- (6.3.9) etc.
- (of forests) Sāsyattha- (6.3.78), Koṭarāvaṇa-, Misrakāvaṇa- etc. (6.3.117)
- (of animals) dantāvala- (5.2.113), śringina- (5.2.114), gonasa- (5.4. 118), tántuvāya- (6.2.77), aṣṭāpada- (6.3.125).

(Material nouns) $m\bar{a}k\bar{s}ika$ - etc. (4.3.117), $k\bar{s}audra$ - etc. (4.3.119), taila- (4.3.149), $haiyangav\bar{i}na$ - (5.2.23), $visvambhar\bar{a}$ (3.2.46), $u\bar{s}nik\bar{a}$ (5.2.71), $tamisr\bar{a}$ and $jyotsn\bar{a}$ (5.2.114) etc.

(Names of particular divisions of time) śravaņā and aśvattha- (4.2.5), pauṣa- (4.2.21), guḍāpūpikā (5.2.82), kaulmāṣi (5.2.83).

Technical terms and other names: (in law) pratibhū- 'a surety', (3.2.179), sākṣin- 'a witness' (5.2.91), dhenuṣyā 'a pledged cow' (4.4.89); (in grammar) vākya- 'a sentence' (7.3.67), abhiniṣṭāna- 'the visarga' (8.3.86); (N. of coins and measures) kambalya- (5.1.3), vimsatika- and trimsatka- (5.1.24), pāñcalohitika- and pāñcakalāpika- (5.1.28); (N. of lifters) krauñcabandha- and atṭālikābandha- etc. (3.4.42); (N. of games) uddālakapuṣpabhañjikā, varanapuṣpapravāhikā, sālabhañjikā etc. (all in 3.3.109); (N. of texts) Traimsa- and Cātvārimsa- (5.1.62); (professions) āsutīvala- 'a distiller' and kṛṣīvala- 'a farmer' (6.3.118).

That these words which have been given by the commentators as the illustrations of the samjñāyām-rules are names is quite evident. It is made further clear by the counter-examples which palpably convey just the etymological meaning. These latter have remained as adjectives. Thus Viśvambhara'N. of Viṣnu' or Viśvambharā 'the earth' is a samjñā-word, but not kuṭumbabhāra- 'maintaining the family'; Sūrpaṇakhā 'N. of a demoness' is a samjñā-word, but not sūrpaṇakhī 'having nails like winnowing fans'; Bhadra-bāhū-'N. of a woman (lit. 'having beautiful arms)' is a samjñā-word, but not vṛṭṭabāhu- 'having tapering arms'; kambalya- 'a hundred palas of wool' is a samjñā-word, but not kambalīya- 'fit for making a blanket'; taila- 'oil' is a samjñā-word, but not tilamaya- 'made of sesamum' etc.

Having given the examples the commentators often say that these are names of such and such things. Here though sometimes the commentators use the expression nāmadheya- 'name', they often use the word samjñā itself in this sense. Cf. the Kāsikā: janapadādīnām samjñā etāḥ (1.2.53) 'these are names of countries', darbhaviseṣasya mudgaviseṣasya ceyam samjñā (4.3 27) 'this is the name of a kind of Darbha grass and also of a kind of beans', madhunaḥ samjñā etāḥ (4.3.117) 'these are names of honey' etc., also under P.5.2.23, 5.3.97, 6.1. 204, 6.2.146 etc.

Not only the commentators, even Pāṇini seems to have used the expression as denoting a kind of words, the samjñā-words in general and proper names in particular. As a rule the expression samjñāyām occurs in the Aṣṭādhyāyī for laying down a condition (upādhi). But there are at least four rules in the Aṣṭādhyāyī, where samjñāyām is a sort of logical subject (uddesya), because the samjñā-words are made there the subject of some operation. The gaṇa-sūtra pūrvaparāvara° etc. (= P. 1.1.34) gives the nomenclature sarvanāman- to the words pūrva-, para- etc. provided they are not samjñā-words. P. 4.2.107 teaches suffixion of $-\tilde{N}a$ to certain compounds provided they are not samjñā-words. P. 6.2.159 describes the accent of certain

compounds where the second member is a samjñā-word. Lastly, P. 6.3.38 teaches the retention of a feminine stem in certain compound formations when they are samjñā-words. In all these cases samjñāyām is equivalent to samjñāyām vartamānam padam, i.e. a samjñā-word=a name. A point to note further is that in all these cases the names (as quoted by the commentators) are proper names.

B. Samjñā-words which are not names:

Kevalī, māmakī etc. (4.1.30); nāvya- 'fordable by a boat', dharmya- 'obtainable through Dharma', tulya- 'equal' etc. (4.4.91); dharmya- 'just, lawful', pathya- 'wholesome', nyāyya- 'proper' etc. (4.4.92); chandasya- 'made at will' (4.4.93); urasya- 'produced from the breast' (4.4.94); hrdya- 'agreeable to the heart' (4.4.95); avaṭīṭa-, avanāṭa- and avabhraṭa- 'flatnosed' (5.2.31); nibiḍa- and nibirīsa- 'id' (5.2.32); cikina- and cipiṭa- 'id' (5.2.33); ūrjasvin- 'mighty', gomin- 'possessing cows', malina- and malīmasa- 'dirty' (5.2.114).

These words, as said above, are obviously adjectives. Their use as names is not attested. The number of the sutras which teach these formations is rather small as compared with the number of the sutras belonging to the other group. It is 9 whereas the sutras of the other group number 109. But in spite of this disparity of proportion the fact does remain that words formed under the two groups of samjñāyām-rules fall into two different categories.

Here, then, is a problem. There are two sets of samjñāyām rules in the Aṣṭādhyāyī: one in which the words so formed are substantives and have been used as names of various kinds: samjñā in these rules therefore could be an equivalent of nāmadheya 'a name'; The other, where words so formed have remained as adjectives. They have not been used as names. Samjñā could not mean a name in these cases. They are not samjñā-words in the usually accepted sense. Samjñā in these rules must have some different meaning. If so, what is it? And why does Pāṇini use one and the same expression with two different meanings in two different sets of rules? Or is it possible that samjñā has some other meaning, more or less a broad or general meaning, which is applicable in both sets of rules?

In order to be able to answer these questions, let us look into the nature of the names a bit closely. When a name has some etymological meaning (and such indeed are most of the names in Sanskrit), it is reasonable to suppose that when that particular name came into being for the first time, the object so named actually possessed the attribute connoted by the name. That later on the object may lose that attribute and still continue bearing that name, or that some other object (or person) not possessing that attribute may come to get that name is a different thing. It is reasonable to suppose that at the time a name (i. e a significant name) originates, it is based on some property of the object named. Let us consider for instance Udumbarāvatī, which is a name of a river (P.6.1.219). The river in all probability had

Udumbara (Ficus Glomerata) trees in some abundance on its banks from which it got its name. Now the river may have some other prominent characteristics. It may have been full of rocks, be zigzag, have sweet water etc. characteristics each of which could equally supply a name. But somehow the Udumbara trees caught the imagination of the society and the river was named *Udumbarāvati*. What will influence the selection of the people, you cannot say. But what is really important is that having once made this selection, the society will probably refrain from giving this same name to another object even if it possessed that particular attribute. Supposing another river with Udumbara trees is found, the society will probably select some other attribute of the river to serve as the basis of its name. This second river, though possessing the attribute connoted by the name *Udumbarāvatī*, will not be denoted by that name. There is thus a restriction on the denotative power of a name, a restriction imposed by the social convention.

Let us take some other examples. The word taila- (p. 4.3.149) literally means 'a product of sesamum' (cf. P. 4.3.134), but in practice it means a particular product of sesamum, viz. 'oil'. (That the word has been further extended to denote oil extracted from seeds other than sesamum is a different thing.) Similarly tvacisāra- (P.6.3.9) literally meaning 'strong in skin' or 'having a tough skin' denotes by usage only a bamboo tree. Upatyakā (P.5.2.34) literally meaning 'adjoining' conveys by usage only the land adjoining a hill. In all these cases the role played by social convention or usage in restricting the denotation of a naming word is quite evident.

If we bear in mind this essential feature of a name (a samjñā), viz. the restriction of the etymological meaning by social convention, it will not be very difficult to find out why Pāṇini has used the word samjñā with reference to those words also, where the formations have not developed into names. The reason is that though they have fallen short of developing into names, they still exhibit the important feature of a name: their denotation is also restricted by social convention. It is not possible to illustrate this in all cases, but the commentators have supplied clues in some cases at least. Here are some instances:

The word gārhapatya- is not applicable to the other fires like āhavanīya- even though they are connected with the householder (samjñāyām ity anuvrtter āhavanīyādau nātiprasangah Tb. under P. 4.4.90). Hrdya- is used only with reference to certain substantives like deśa- 'a country', vana- 'forest'; it is not used. e.g. with reference to putra- 'a son' (...hrdyam vanam iha na bhavati: hrdayasya priyah putra iti Kāś. under P. 4.4.95). Tulya- just means 'similar, equal' and not necessarily 'weighing equal' (tulyam iti sadršamātre prayujyate, na tulāyām āgrahah kriyate, Tb. under P 4.4.91). Pathya- does not mean one who stickes to the ordinary way (which would cover even a thief), but one who does not deviate from the

^{16.} The hypothetical names would be something like $a\pm m\bar{a}vat\bar{\imath}$, $kutilik\bar{u}$ and $madh\bar{u}dak\bar{u}$, corresponding to the qualities mentioned.

path laid down by the śāstras (... śāstrīyāt patho'napetam eva pathyam, na tu mārgād anapetaś cauro 'pi, Tb. under P.4.4.92). In this section the Kāśikā is never tired of pointing out again and again that the purpose of reading the expression samjñāyām is to suggest the restriction of the denotation (samjñāgrahaṇam abhidheyaniyamārtham under P. 4.4.46; 4.4.89; 5.2.91; samjñādhikārād abhidheyaniyamh under P. 4.4.92 (also Tb.), 94-95; samjñādhikāro 'bhidheyaniyamārthah under 4.4.91). In other words the expression samjñāyām serves the purpose of preventing over-extension (atiprasanganivṛtti of Kāś. and Tb. under P. 4.4.90) of a name to objects that possess the connotation of that name, but are not denoted by it in the actual usage.

Here then is the key to Panini's use of the expression samjñāyām with reference to two different kinds of words taught in two different sets of rules. To whichever of these two classes a word may belong, one feature is common to both, viz. restriction of the derivational meaning (abhidheyaniyama-) by social convention And what we have named as the social convention was given the name of samjñā by the ancient Hindu grammarians. Samjñā literally means 'common understanding', or in other words 'social convention'. Its paraphrase by samketa-(Tb. under P. 1.1.34) makes this meaning clear.

The basic meaning of $samj\tilde{n}a$, then, is (1) social convention. In the field of linguistics, i.e. in the matter of words and their meaning, the term means (2) convention about a word, i.e. the conventional use of a word, or the use of a word in a conventional meaning. The next stage would be (3) a word so used (i.e. in a conventional way or meaning). A further specialisation would be the meaning (4) 'name' (in its various kinds, the proper names being the $samj\tilde{n}a$ -words par excellence). This seems to be the logical development of the meanings of the word $samj\tilde{n}a$. Though the majority of words formed under the $samj\tilde{n}ay\bar{a}m$ -rules are names (and a large part of them are proper names), from the preceding survey of the whole material I have come to the conclusion that Panini has used the term in the meaning No. 3 i.e. a conventionally used word. That represents the highest common factor of the significances of the term as used by Panini.

Rudhi- and prayoga-:

The commentators use two expressions in this connection, viz. $r\bar{u}dhi$ and prayoga. Both of them mean practically the samething: the practice, usage, i.e. in our context, the linguistic usage. We have seen above that the actual usage of an expression is determined by the social convention. But a grammar cannot always state the full significance, all the associations of a word. Information supplied by the grammar has to be supplemented by a reference to the actual usage. The commentators therefore often remark that $samijn\bar{a}$ in such cases is a synonym of $r\bar{u}dhi$ -('samijna $r\bar{u}dhih$ ' Bm. under P. 4.4.96), or that by the word samijna it is $r\bar{u}dhih$ - that is intended ('samijnasabdena $r\bar{u}dhir$ vivakṣitā' Bm. under P. 3.3.19 and samijna hi $r\bar{u}dhir$ vivakṣitā' under P. 4.4.89). Under P. 4.2.21 the Kāsikā remarks

that the purpose of reading the word *iti* and the word *samjnā* is the same viz. keeping the track of the actual usage (*itikaranasya samjnākaranasya ca tulyam eva phalam prayogānusaranam*).

Twofold derivation:

The earliest passage where Panini's samijna has been interpreted as meaning $r\bar{u}dhi$ is perhaps the Bhasya under P. 3.1.112. It runs thus: Atha vā ya ete samiñāyām vidhīyante, teşu naivam vijnāyate samjñāyām abhidhevavam iti. Kim tarhi? Pratyayantena cet samiña gamyate, the case of these (suffixes) which are prescribed in the matter of a samiña, in their case (the expression samiña yam) is not to be understood as (equivalent to) samijnayam abhidheyayam 17 'when a name is to be expressed (i.e. formed)'. How then? (It means) if a convention (or usage) is understood by (the whole expression) ending in the suffix (which is prescribed)". Here in the expression pratyayantena cet samiña gamyate, Kaiyata paraphrases samjñā by rūdhi 'convention, usage'. He says: samjñāsabdah karmasadhano na gṛhyate. Kim tarhi? Bhavasadhanah. Tena pratyayantena yadi rūdhir gamyate tatah pratyayah, '(Here) the word samijna does not express an object. What then? (It expresses) a verbal abstract. So (the meaning is that) the suffix (comes in) if a convention is understood by (the whole expression) ending in the suffix'. Nagesa comments on this thus: Bhavasadhanena dhatunam anekarthatvad rudhir ucyate. Tad aha 'rudhir' iti. Tadvişayo 'rthah. Tena rūdhyartho gamyata iti yavat. Namadheyavaci samjñāśabdas tu karaṇavyutpanna iti jñeyam, 'The word samjña when expressive of a verbal abstract means convention or usage, because verbal roots are capable of conveying more than one meaning. So he (i.e. Kaiyata) says: (samjñā means) rūdhi. (To be precise it means) the meaning connected with it (the convention). In other words (the ultimate meaning is that the suffix concerned comes in) if some conventional meaning is understood. The word sanijña which is a synonym of namadheya 'name' should, however, be understood as expressive of a means'. It will be seen that the word samjñā is derived in two ways by the commentators according to its meaning. When it means 'a convention or usage' it is a bhavasadhana- word, and when it means 'a name' it is karmasādhana- according to Kaiyata, but karaṇasādhanaaccording to Nagesa. The latter view seems preferable.

It is true that the Bhasya does not expressly paraphrase samjña by rūdhi. But both the commentators agree on this point. Instances from other commentators have been cited above.

A secondary meaning of Samjñā:

There remains a small residue of samjñāyām-rules which attempt to explain words which are of an obscure nature. Such are e.g. P. 5.2.31-33. The words like avaṭṭṭa-, avanāṭā-, nibiḍa-, cipiṭa-, whose formation is taught

^{17.} The translation '(the expression samjnāyām) is not to be understood as (equivalent to) samjnāyām abhidheyāyām' can be replaced by 'it is not to be understood (that the suffixes come in)'. In this case the following quotation marks are to be deleted.

in these rules, are obscure. They do not fit in the pattern of samjñā-words which we have seen so far, viz. the restriction of an etymological meaning. Indeed these words don't seem to have any etymological meaning. Some of them have all the make-up of borrowed words (words of some non-Aryan source) and it is somewhat strange that Panini should have gone out of his way in explaining such words. Be it as it may, the words samijna and rūdhiin these cases assume a somewhat different meaning. Apart from applying to words where an etymological meaning has been restricted by convention, they in these cases apply to words which have no etymological meaning, but a purely conventional one. Under P. 3.2.46 the Nyāsa remarks that samjñāwords are of two kinds, one in which the meaning of the component elements (i.e. the etymological meaning) is preserved, e.g. words like saptaparna-'seven-leaved' (the plant Alstonia Scholaris); and the other where no such meaning is perceptible, e.g. words like tailapāyika- 'a cockroach' ('samjñāśabda hi dvividha bhavanti. Kecid avayavarthanugata, yatha saptaparna iti. Kecit tu viparītā, yathā tailapāyikā iti'). On the words like pāraskara-(P. 6.1.57), the Tb. remarks that these are really speaking conventional words, and though an attempt is made to analyse them there is no stress on the meaning of the components (the etymological meaning) ('vastutas tu rūdhisabdā ete kathamcid vyutpādyante, ity avayavārthe nāgrahah kāryah')

Samjñā and the unādi words:

A culmination of this meaning of the word $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$, viz. absence of a perceptible connection with the etymological meaning or, in many cases, the difficulty of analysis itself, is found in what are called the $un\bar{a}di$ words (P. 3.3.1). These words also have been traditionally regarded as $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ -words. This is clear from the following couplet which enunciates broad principles to be followed in the explanation of the $un\bar{a}di$ words and incidentally identifies them with the $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ -words:

Samjñāsu dhāturūpāņi pratyayās ca tataḥ pare | Kāryād vidyād anūbandham etac chāstram uṇādiṣu ||

'The forms of roots, the suffixes following them, and the code-letters (indicative of incidental operations) should be known (i. e. reconstructed) from the effects (i. e. the facts which are to be explained) in the case of the $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}s$. This is the doctrine (or the technique) about the unadi words (i. e. the words formed with uN and similar suffixes)'.

Apparently to justify the use of the term samjñā with reference to the unādi words, the commentators bring down into the present rule (P. 3.3.1) the expression samjñāyām from the rule puvah samjñāyām (P. 3.2.185). Thus the Kāsikā remarks: vartamāna ity eva, samjñāyām iti ca. Unādayah pratyayā vartamāne 'rthe samjñāyām' visaye bahulam bhavanti, "The expression vartamāne (P. 3.2.123) (is) of course (valid here), and similarly samjñāyām (P. 3.2.185). The suffixes like uN come in variously in connection with a present action in the case of a conventional word". The Bm. says that samjñā here is a synonym of rūdha- (samjñāsabdas cātra rūdhasabdaparah) By

 $r\bar{u}dha$ - of course, is here meant a purely $r\bar{u}dha$ -word, i.e. a word whose analysis is not possible, or whose current meaning is not derivable from the meaning of (what are believed to be) its component elements.

Evidence in Yaska:

Samjñā = Yogarūdha-:

Though derivatives of $sa\dot{m}\sqrt{j\tilde{n}a}$ - seem already 18 to have become synonymous with $r\bar{u}dha$ - (as opposed to yaugika- words of the later grammarians), and though the commentators style the unadi words as samiñas (by bringing down the expression samiñayam in the rule 3.3.1), I do not think that this was meant by Panini. There is no anuvetti of samjñayam in rules 3.2.186 ff. But my main reason for this contention is that as a rule Paṇini has explained in the Astādhyāyi only such words as are analysable. The words whose formation is not clear, such unanalysable words have been relegated by him to the unadi section. The division is more or less clear. True, there are border cases both ways. Words like avatita-, cikina-, cipita- etc. mentioned above should have found a better place in the unādi section. On the other hand, some clearly analysable formations, like agent nouns formed with the suffix -ira (madirá, khadirá, ajirá, timira, badhirá, sthávira etc.) have not been analysed in the Astādhvāvi. But these are after all exceptions, and the boundary line is clear. I therefore do not think that Paṇini's samijna-words are purely rūdha- words; they are rather, with exception of words like avatita-, to use an expression of the later grammarians, yoga-rūdha- words, words whose etymological meaning is restricted by convention. Panini has given the yaugika- words without mentioning any condition, while samiñavam is one of the means used to indicate what are later called yogarudha-19 words.

Samjñā, nāman- and ākhyā:

Two more terms used in the $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{i}$ have to be studied here, viz. $n\bar{a}man$ - and $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$. The reason for this is that the word $n\bar{a}man$ - has been

^{18.} That is, on the assumption, that Yaska preceded Panini.

^{19.} The comments of the Tb. and the Bm. on the word śūrpaṇakhā may be quoted here as bringing out the contrast between the yaugika- (or the kevalayaugika-) words on the one hand and the samjāā (= yogarāḍha-) words on the other. Thus the Tb. says: Śūrpaṇakheti...yadā tu śūrpavan nakhāni yasyā iti yogamātram vivakṣyate, na tu samjāā, tato 'samijāātvān na nāṣniṣedho na vā ṇatvam, tena rākṣasy api yogavṛttyā śūrpanakhā bhavatīty āhuḥ. Similarly Bm.: kevalayaugikatve tu nīṣ bhavaty eva. Natvam tu na.

almost always, and ākhyā (ākhya- when final of a bahuvrīhi compound) sometimes has been used in the sense of 'a name'. The instances of nāmanthus used are: din-nāman- 'a name of a point of compass' (P. 2.2.36), chandonāman- 'a name of a metre' (P. 3.3.34; 8.3.94), vyākhyātavyanāman- 'a name of (a text) to be explained' (P. 4.3.66), manuṣya-nāman- 'a name of a man' (P. 5.3.78), jāti-nāman- 'a class name, a common noun' (P. 5.3.81), sīra-nāman- 'a synonym of sīra-; a word meaning a plough' (P. 6.2.187), kāra-nāman- 'a name of a tax' (P. 6.3.10), kāla-nāman- 'a word expressive of (a division of) time', and deśa-nāman- (in tad asmin nastīti deśe tannāmni P. 4.2.67) 'a place-name'. The uncompounded form occurs in 3.4.58; 4.3.72; and 6.2.187.

Cases of ākhyā (f.) and ākhya- (mfn) are: agnyākhyā 'a synonym of agni; a name of fire' (P. 3.292); yajñākhya- 'a name of a sacrifice' (P. 5.1.95; 6.2.133), parimānākhyā, 'a name of a measure' (P. 3.3.20), rogākhyā- 'a name of a disease' (P. 3.3.108), vaiyākaraṇākhyā 'a grammatical technical term' ²⁰ (P. 6.3.7) etc. But the word ākhyā has not always developed into 'a name'. Quite often it preserves its original general meaning, viz. 'expressive of, denoting, meaning' etc. Thus, tulyākhya- 'meaning equal' (P. 2.1.68), alpākhyā 'denotation of (the meaning) a little' (P. 4.1.51; 5.4.136).

There is, then, not much difference between $n\bar{a}man$ - and $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$. Both of them are practically synonymous in the $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{i}$. The only difference between the two is that $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$ is used sometimes in the basic sense 'expressive of', and sometimes in the restricted sense of 'a name'; $n\bar{a}man$ -, on the other hand, almost always means 'a name'. That the two are mutually convertible is exemplified by the occurrence of both $j\bar{a}tin\bar{a}man$ - (P. 5.3.81) and $j\bar{a}ty\bar{a}ky\bar{a}$ (P. 1.2.58).

But the case of samjñā is different. The expression samjñā on the one hand, and the expressions nāman- and ākhyā on the other, do not stand on the same level. True, they are related in a way, since in the capacity of a naming word they do not convey the purely etymological meaning; they are influenced by the convention (cf. the remarks of Kāśikā like nāmagrahaṇām rūḍhyartham under P. 2.2.26 and ākhyāgrahaṇām rūḍhisampratyayārtham under P. 3.2.92, similar to those under the samjñāyām-rules). But there is a difference of emphasis. Perhaps it is not without significance that the words nāman- and ākhyā never occur in the Aṣṭāḍhyāyī alone; they always occur as the second member of a compound, the first member being the object named. Samjñāyām, on the other hand, with the sole exception of śabdasamjñā, everywhere occurs by itself; no samjñīn is mentioned. I believe this formal difference is significant. The expression nāman- (and ākhyā when meaning 'a name') is relative. It expects the object named. There is thus an emphasis on the signifiant—signifié relationship in the case of the expression nāman- (and ākhyā) in the

^{20.} Literally meaning 'a term (belonging to the jargon) of the grammarians', the term is, to all intents and purposes, synonymous with $\hat{s}abdasa\dot{m}j\bar{n}\bar{a}$.

Aṣṭādhyāyī. But this is not the case with the expression samjñāyām. There is no stress there on this relationship. Hence no mention of the signifié in the samjñāyām rules. Instead what is emphasized there is the modification of the purely etymological meaning (by convention: samjñā). The nāman-(or ākhyā) primarily denotes a certain kind of words, the naming words, while samjñā primarily denotes an outside factor, viz. the social convention which modifies the primary meaning of words and may make names out of such words.

This explains why not all the words formed samjñāyām are names. This interpretation is also in agreement with the contrast between samjñā and yoga- as seen in P. 1.2.53-55.

The wording of two rules in the Aṣṭādhyāyī is significant in this respect. They are: trimsaccatvārimsator brāhmane samijnāyām DaN 5.1.62, and striyām samijnāyām 4.4.32. Here brāhmane samijnāyām in the former rule is practically equivalent to brāhmanasamijnāyām in (forming) the name of a Brāhmana text, and the latter rule to strīsamijnāyām in (forming) the name of a woman. This will be clear from the corresponding rules of Candra which read as trimsaccatvārimsato brāhmanākhyāyām DaN C. 4.1.65 and strīnāmni. C. 4.4.132 (cf. also the comment of the Tb. quoted above under P. 5.1.62). Panini could compound the expression samijnāyām if he had wanted it as his successors have done. That he does not do so is to be explained on the hypothesis that samijnāyām in Pāṇini does not so much indicate the use of a particular word as a name, as the restriction of the primary meaning of that word by convention.

Other ways of indicating yogarudha formations:

The use of the expression $samj\tilde{n}ay\bar{a}m$ is not the only way resorted to in the $Ast\bar{a}dhy\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ for indicating the $yogar\bar{u}dha$ formations. Sometimes this has been done by specifically mentioning the meaning. P. 3.1.144-150 may be adduced by way of example. All the words whose formation is taught in these rules are agent nouns. They, however, do not denote purely the agent of the activity concerned, but an agent in some specified sense. Thus $grha-(\sqrt{grah}-+-Ka)$ is not anything that takes something but only a house (and house-wife) (P. 3.1.144). Words like $nartaka-(\sqrt{nrt}-+-SVUN)$, $gathaka-(\sqrt{gai}-+-thakaN)$ and $gayana-(\sqrt{gai}-+-NYUT)$ do not denote anyone who dances or sings, but a professional (silpin-) dancer and singer (P. 3.1. 145-147). Similarly $hayana-(\sqrt{ha}-+NYUT)$ means only a particular kind of paddy (vrihi-) and also a year (P. 3.1.148).

Sometimes instead of analysing such words, Panini has given these words in the finished form (nipātana-), either with or without the meaning. Most of these words also are, of course, different kinds of names. Thus bhidyoddhyau nade P. 3.1.115 teaches the words Bhidya- and Uddhya- which

^{21.} So C too uses $\bar{a}khy\bar{a}$ and $n\bar{a}man$ - promiscuously!

are names of two rivers.²² Pusya- and Sidhya- are names of a constellation (P. 3.1.116). P. 3.1.102-104 teach the formations (rather the finished words) vahya- 'a cart', arya- 'a master, a merchant' and upasaryā 'a female due for impregnation'.

The commentators point out the part played by convention in such words also. On ānāyya- (lit. 'to be caused to be brought') the Kāsikā remarks: rūdhir eṣā. Tasmād anityaviseṣe Dakṣiṇāgnāu evāvatiṣṭhate, 'This is a convention. So (the word anitya-) denotes only a particular temporary object, viz. the Dakṣiṇa fire'. Similarly dhāyyā (P. 3.1.129) does not stand for all the Sāmidhenī res, but only for a particular one, it being a conventionalized word (dhāyyeti na sarvā sāmadheny ucyate. Kim tarhi? Kācid eva. Rūdhaśabdo hy ayam). This sūtra also reads the word sāmnāyya- which means a particular sacrificial offering (rūdhitvāc ca havirviseṣa evāvatiṣṭhate). Although meaning is furnished in the case of many nipātita words, in many other cases it is not so given. And theoretically it is neither necessary. It is the doctrine of the Sanskrit grammarians that whatever is not provided for by the ordinary rules, is achieved by the process of nipātana- ('yad iha lakṣaṇenānupapannam, tat sarvam nipātanāt siddham' Kās. under P. 3.1.123).

It may be mentioned in passing that the number of the sutras which deal with the yogarūdha- words, either by analysing them and stating the specific meaning or by resorting to the process of nipātana- (with or without meaning) is quite large. Indeed they are more numerous than the rules which perform the same function by using the expression samjñāyām.

There are, then, a variety of ways by which the use of a word in a conventionalized sense is indicated in the Astādhyāyī. Generally this is done by mentioning the specific meaning. Another way of doing this is to use an expression like samjñāyām, or nāman- or ākhyā. Yet a third way is that of nipātana- (either with or without meaning). And we even find nipātanas in the samjñāyām- rules, to make doubly sure, so to say (cf. P. 4.4.82, 4.4.89, 5.2.23, 5.2.71, etc.). Still a fourth device is to explain the word iti as being synonymous with samjñāyām (cf. the remarks of Kāśikā quoted under P. 4.2.21 above 23). This variety of means to perform one and the same function is rather bewildering. One rather expected uniformity of method in a scientific work like the Aṣṭādhāyī. It is not within the scope of this monograph to go into this matter deeper. Suffice it to say that this may indicate at the composite nature of the structure of the Aṣṭādhyāyī.

The results of the whole discussion may be summed up thus:

1. Although a large part of the words formed under the $sainj\tilde{n}ay\bar{a}m$ -rules function as names, that is not the basic or the theoretical meaning of the term $sainj\tilde{n}a$ in Paṇini. There it means 'a word whose etymolological meaning is restricted by convention'. In other words it is equivalent to the term yogarudha- of the later grammarians.

^{22.} Nade is equivalent to nadaviśese. This applies elsewhere also.

^{23.} Also the Nyāsa under P. 5.2.110.

- 2. In the grammatical literature the word $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ has been used in the meaning of a purely $r\tilde{u}dha$ word also. This meaning is also found in a few cases in the $Ast\tilde{a}dhy\tilde{a}y\tilde{i}$. Such cases are however only marginal on the whole (as said above), the expression being equivalent to $yogar\tilde{u}dha$ -.
- 3. The use of the term $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ is differentiated from that of the terms $n\tilde{a}man$ and $\tilde{a}khy\tilde{a}$ by the fact that these latter expressions primarily mean a name, whereas $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ primarily means a convention, and then a conventional word. In Pāṇini there is an emphasis on the signifiant-signifié relationship in the case of $n\tilde{a}man$ (and $\tilde{a}khy\tilde{a}$); in $samj\tilde{n}\tilde{a}$ the emphasis is on the part played by convention.
- 4. The use of the expression samjñāyām is only one out of the many ways in which Pāṇini draws attention to the yogarūdha- character of a word.

APPENDIX

An Index of the Samjñā-words

Agrevaṇam	8.4.4	așthivăn	8.2.12
Ajagavam	5.2.110	Ahivati	6.1.221
Ajiravati	6.1.220	,,	8.2.11
Añjanāgiriḥ	6.2.94	ākarah	3.3.118
,,	6.3.117	ācoşakhādikā	3.3.109
attālikābandha-	3.4.72	ādhāraḥ	3.3.122
Atirajñi	4.1 29	àpaṇaḥ	3.3.119
adhityakā	5.2.34	Āmravaņam	8.4.5
adhyāyaḥ	3.3.122	aryakrti	4.1.30
apari	4.1.30	ālayaḥ	3.3.118
Apareșukamaśami	2.1.50,	āvāyaḥ	3.3.122
- ·	4.2.107	Āsandīvān	8.2.12
apāmārgaḥ	3 3.121	āsutivalah	6.3.118
abhiniṣṭ(also °st)ānaḥ	8.3.86	āhārah	3.3.19
abhyūṣakhādikā	3.3.109	Ähvarakántham	2.4.20
Amaravati	6.3.119		6.2.124
Ayodati	5.4.143	Ikșumáti	6.1.219
aranyetilakah	2.1.44	Ikṣuvaṇam	8.4.5
ara, yearan	6.3.9	ityā	3.3.99
aranyemāṣāḥ (v. 1. °ṣakāḥ)	2.1.44	Uttarāḥ Kuravaḥ	1.1.34
aranyemaşaş (v. 11 şanaş)	6.3.9	udankah	3.3.123
Arokadan	5.4.144	Udanvān	8.2.13
Arokadantah		Udameghaḥ	6.3.57
arthyam	4.4.92	Udumbarāvatī	6.1.219
avatitah	5.2.31	Odumbaravati	6.3.119
avaţiţam	,,	uddālakapuspabhañjikā	3.3.109
avațiță	**	udyāvaḥ	3.3.122
avatāraḥ	3.3.120	Unmattagangam	2.1.21
avanāṭaḥ	5.2.31	upatyakā	5.2.34
avanātam	,,	Upahūtáh (Sakalyah)	6.2.146
(avanāṭā)		uraśchadah	3.3.118
avabhratalı	"	urasyaḥ	4.4.94
avabhratam	,,	ustrakah	5.3.97
(avabhratā)	,,	ușnikă	5.2.71
avastāraḥ	3.3.120	ūrjasvalaḥ	5.2.114
aśvakah	5.3.97	ūrjasvī	,,
aśvatthah	4.2.5	Rşivati	6.3.120
astāpadam	6.3.125	Ŗṣyaśrṅgaḥ	6.2.115
astabandhuraḥ	,,	aurasah	4.4.94
Astāvakraḥ	"	Kaksivan	8.2.12
1 Point . seres		•	

'SAMJÑĀYĀM' IN PĀŅINI

		•	
Kadrūḥ	4.1.72	ghatah	3.3.118
Kapivati	6.3.120		8.2.12
Kamandalūh	4.1.72	Cáñca	5.3.98
kambalyam	5.1.3	,,	6.1.204
kaşah	3.3.119	~	6.2.124
Kandaprsthah	6.2.114		8.2.12
Karandavati	6.1.22	Cātvārimsani (Brāhmaņan	ni) 5.1.62
kārmuk(t)am	4.3.117	-11.1	5.2.33
Karşyavanam	8.4.5	Cikhi(v. l. kha)llínikāyaḥ	6.2.94
Kālamukhā	4.1.58	Cintitah	6.1.205
Kimsulakagirih	6.3.117	cipitah	5.2.33
Kişkindha	6.1.157		4.4.93
kişkuḥ		janyah	4.4.97
Kuñcikárnah	6.2.113		4.4.82
Kuddalakhatam	6.2.146	T-1	5.4.94
kūpepiśācakāh	2.1.44,	Jānuṣeṇi	8.3.99
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	6.3.9	jyotsnā	5.2.114
Kūlājináḥ	6.2.165	Takṣaśilāhāraḥ	3.3.19
Kṛṣivati	6.1.222	Tantiḥ	3.3.174
kṛṣivalaḥ	6.3.118	tántuvāyaḥ	6.2.77
Kṛṣṇagaṅgam	2.1.21	tamisrā	5.2.114
Kṛṣṇājináḥ	6.2.165	taskaraḥ	6.1.157
kevali	4.1.30	Tālajánghaḥ	6.2.114
Koṭarāvaṇam	6 3.117	tālabhañjikā	3.3.109
, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	8.4.4	Tilāpūpikā	5.2.82
kaukkutikah	4.4.46	tulyam	4.4.91
kaulālakam	4.3.118	tailam	4.3.149
Kaulmāṣi		trimsatkah	5.1.24
krauncabandha-	5 2.83	Traimsani (Brahmanani)	5.1.62
ksaudram	3.4.72 4.3.119	tvacisāraḥ	6.3.9
Khadiravanam	8.4.5	daṇḍakaḥ	5.3.87
Khadiravati	6.1.220	Dáttaḥ	6.1.205
Khárakuţi	5.3.98	Dattā	6.3.38
**	6.1.204	dantacchadaḥ	3.3.118
Kharanasah	8.4.3	dantāvalaḥ	5.2.113
gardabhakah	5.3.97	,, ,	6.3.118
Gandivam	5.3.97	Daśagrivah	6.2.114
Garhapatyah	4.4.90	Dākṣikanthā	2.4.20
Guḍāpūpikā		Dakşikárşah	6.2.129
Gúptaḥ	5.2.82 6.1.205	Dakşikulam	
Guptā		Dandayanastháli	"
gocarah	6.3.38 3.3.119	Daniquayanastnan Damini	5.2.137
Gonasaḥ	5.4.118	Dāmódaraḥ	6.2.107
gomi		Dási	5.3.98
Gauramukhā	4.1.58	,,	6.1.204
		**	

	1 10 1 10 1		
Dirghajihvi	4.1.59	pāñcalohitikam	7.3.17
Dṛḍhadhanuḥ	5.4.133	pādapam	4.3.119
Dṛḍhadhanvā	,,,	pāpi	4.1.30
Deváḥ	6.1.205	Pāraskaraḥ	6.1.157
Devadattáh	3.3.174	piṇḍāśmaḥ	5.4.94
**	6.2.148	pistakah	4.3.147
,,	6.2.159	Piyūkṣāvaṇam	8.4.5
Devamitráh	6.2.165	Puragāvaņam	[6.3.117]
Devasudam	6.2.129	,,	8.4.4
Drunasah	5.4.118	Pușkarāvati	6.1.219
Druņasā	8.4.3		6.3.119
Drumaváti	6.1.219	Pūrņakaḥ	5.3.75
Dhanuşkhātā	6.2.146	pūrvāhņesphotakāh	6.3.9
1 dharmyam	4.4.91	Pūrvesukāmašami	2.1.50
2 dharmyam	4.4.92	,,	4.2.107
Dhārakaḥ	5.3.75	pauttikam	4.3.107
dhenuşyā	4.4.89	Pauṣaḥ	4.2.21
Nākapṛṣṭhaḥ	6.2.114	prakosthám	6.2.183
Nādījáṅghaḥ		pragṛhám	99
navyam	4.4.9 1	prajah	3.2.99
nāvyā	,,	pratibhūḥ	3.2.179
nikaṣaḥ	3.3.119	Prathimini	5.2.137
nigamaḥ	,,	pradvārám	6.2,183
nipatyā	3,3,99	prápadam	,,
n ibiḍaḥ	5.2.32	prasādhanam	3.3.118
nibidam	,,	prasevaḥ	3.3.19
nibidā	"	prastum pati	6.1.157
nibirisaḥ	"	práhastam	6.2.183
nibirisam	, ,,	prāyaścittam (also °ttiḥ)	6.1.157
nibirisā	"	prāsah	3.3.19
niṣadyā	3.3.99	Plakṣavaṇam	8.4.5
Nilakáņthah	6.2.114	Phāladati	5.4.143
Nīlagrīvaḥ	,,	bandhaḥ	3.3.121
nyāyaḥ	3,3,122	-Balavayaḥ	6.2.77
nyāyyam	4.4.92	Búddhaḥ	6.1.205
pañcakāḥ	5.1.58	Bṛhaspatiḥ	6.1.157
pañcāmrāḥ	2.1.50	Brahmamitráh	6.2.165
patimvarā	3.2.46	Brāhmaṇakaḥ	5.2.71
pathyam	4.4.92	Bhañjanagirih	6.2.94
Parijagdháh (Kaundinyah)	6.2.146	Bhadrabāhūḥ	4.1.67
pavitram	3.2.185	Bharaṇiputraḥ	6.3.63
pāñcakalāpikam	5.1.28	Bharanişenah	8.3.100
,,	7.3.17	Bharaṇisenaḥ	,,
pañcalohitikam	5.1.28	bhagadheyi	4.1.30
•			

'SAMJÑĀYĀM' IN PĀŅINI

		-	
Bhajisudam	6.2.129	Rumaņvān	8.2.12
bhāryā	3.1.112	Revatiputraḥ	6.3.63
Bhāryāḥ	"	Rohiniputrah	,,
Bhimáḥ	6.1.205	Rohinişenah	8.3.100
bhṛtyā	3.3.99	Rohinisenah	,,
bhesaji	4.1.30	lalatikah	4.4.46
bhrāmaram	4.3.119	lekhah	3.3.121
Manikárnah	6.2.113	Lohitagangam	2.1.21
matyam	4.4.97	lohitāyasam	5.4.94
Madhuraharaḥ	3.3.19	vamsakah	5.3.87
Manasäguptä	6.3.4	Vamśavati	6.3.120
Manasadatta	,,	Vajranakhā	4.1.58
Manasasamgata	"	Váddhrikā	6.1.204
Mantiḥ	3.3.174	Vaddhrinasah	5.4.118
manyā	3.3.99	0 ESE	8.4.3
mayūrikābandha-	3.4.72	vanaspatiḥ	6.1.157
malinaḥ	5,2.114	vanekimsukah	2.1.44
malimasaḥ	,,	•	6.3.9
Maśakāvati	6.3.119	vanebalvajakāḥ	,,
mahānasam	5.4.94	vaneharidrakāḥ	,,
Mahéşuḥ	6.2.107	vayasyaḥ	4.4.91
mākṣikam	4.3.117	varanapuspapravāhi (v.1.	2 2 100
māmaki	4.1.30	cayı)ka	3.3.109 3.3.119
Māhakikūlam	6.2.129	vahaḥ	400 000000
Māhakistháli		vākyam	7.3.67 4.3.119
	,; 6.3.117	vāṭaram	
Miśrakavanam		Varisenah	8.3.99
- ",	8.4.4	vārudakam	4.3.118
Munivati	6.1.222	vimsatikah	5.1.24
22	8.2.11	vidyā	3.3.99
mūlyaḥ	4.4.91	Vibhuh	3.2.179 3.3.121
mûlyam	,,	vimārgaḥ (SK)	3.2.46
meşah	3.3.19	Viśvambharah (SK)	
Maundinikāyaḥ	6.2.94	Viśvambharā	5.4 . 155
Yajñadattáḥ	6.2.159	Viśvádevaḥ	6.2.106
yāvakaḥ	4.3.149	,,	0.2.100
Yugaṁdharaḥ	3.2.46	Viśvámahan	,, c 4 155
Yudhisthirah	6.3.9	Viśváyaśaḥ	5.4.155
Yauvanáśvah	6.2.107	,,	6.2.106
Rakșitáḥ	6.1.205	Viśvānaraḥ	6.3.129
Rathakāráḥ	6.2.77	Vișnumitráh	6.2.159
Rathamtaram	3.2.46	Vișnuśrutáh	6.2.148
Rathasthà (v. 1. °spà)	6.1.157	vişyaḥ	4.4.91
rātrī	4.1.31	Visvaksenah	8.3.99
Radhakah (SK)	5.3.75	Viranavati	6.1.219
Ramah (SK)	3.3.121	,,	6.3.119

74 JOURNAL OF THE UNIVERSITY OF POONA: HUMANITIES SECTION

Vṛkājináḥ		6.2.165	samcaraḥ	3.3.119
Vṛkódaraḥ		6.2.107	Sambhūtáḥ (Rāmāyaṇaḥ)	
veņukaḥ		5.3.87	samhāraḥ	3.3.122
Vedaḥ		3.3.121	Sapalāśam	6.3.78
vestah (v.1. °sah)		,,	Saptarṣayaḥ	2.1.50
yrajaḥ		3.3.119	samajyā	3.3.99
Samkarah		3.2.14	samānī	4.1.30
Śambhavah		,,	Sarvasenaḥ	8.3.99
Śamvadah		,,	Sasimsapam	6.3.78
Śatadhanuh		5.4.133	sākṣi	5.2.91
Śatadhanvā		,,	Sātiḥ	3.3.174
Satrumjayaḥ		3.2.46	sāragham	4.3.117
Satrumtapah		,,	Sārikāvaṇam	6.3.117
Satrumdamah		,,	Sāśvattham	6.3.78
Śatrumsahah	,	,,	Sidhrakāvaṇam	6.3.117
Śanairgangam		2.1.21	,,	8.4.4
śayyā		3.3.99	sityam	4.4.91
Saravaṇam		8.4.5	Sugrivah	6.2.114
Sarāvatī		6.1.219	Sutamgamaḥ	3.2.47
		6.3.120	sutyā	3.3.99
Sapindinikayah		6.2.94	sumangali	4.1.30
śāradakāḥ		4.3.27	Surājñi	4.1.29
Śarikavanam		8.4.4	Suvarnapunkhésuh	6.2.107
śalabhañjika		3.3.109	Somini	5.2.137
śikhāvalaḥ		5.2.113	Sausamikantham	2.4.20
Sitikánthah		6.2.114	••	6.2.124
Śilaprastham		6.3.63	Hamsavati	6.1.220
Śilavaham		,,	Harişenah	8.3.99
Śūdrakaḥ		5.3.75	Harisaktham	
Śūrpaṇakhā		4.1.58	Haryásvah	6.2.107
,,		8.4.3	halyah	4.4.97
śŗnginah		5.2.114		6.2.146
Syavadan		,,	Hastimṛditā	
Syavadantah		5.4.144	hṛdyaḥ	4.4.95–96
Śravana		4.2.5	haiyaṅgavinam	5.2.23



Abbreviations

Bh. = Bhāṣya

 $Bm. = B\bar{a}lamanoram\bar{a}$

C = Candra

India = V. S. AGRAWALA, India as known to Pāṇini, 2nd ed., 1963.

Kāś. = Kāśikā

MW = Monier Williams

P. Pāṇini

SK = Siddhānta-Kaumudī

Tb. = Tattvabodhini

Vā. = Vārttika