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## PREFACE

The European student who aspires to anything more than the most superficial understanding of the Qur'ān will naturally want to know what traditional Islam itself has to say about the meanings of God's Book. Generations of Muslim scholars have devoted an immense amount of effort to the study of it, and have evolved an extensive literature of tafsir, the science of Qur'ān interpretation. Among the many writers on this subject, three names stand out above all: TTabari, the first to codify the scattered interpretative traditions into a systematic commentary on the whole Book; Zamakhsharī in his Kashshäf; and Baiḍāwī in the Anwär al-Tanzīl. Others have their own individual contribution to make, but it is of these three that one thinks first when tafsir is mentioned. There is no doubt that Zamakhsharī was a considerably more acute thinker than Baidaawī, yet it is on the whole Baidaāwi's commentary that has enjoyed the greatest measure of popularity. For this there are, I think, two reasons. One is that Zamakhsharī is, in the eyes of orthodox Muslims, tainted with the Mu'tazilite heresy. The other is that, while he expatiates at some length on selected passages in the sacred text, there are many others on which he has nothing to say; Baiḍāwī, however, has on the one hand summarized Zamakhshari's commentary, but on the other hand has expanded it by inserting comment on the passages not dealt with by Zamakhsharī, in such a way as to make the Anwär al-Tanzīl practically a line-for-line interpretation of the whole text. If therefore one is seeking the interpretation of a particular Quranic phrase, one has somewhat more chance of finding what one wants in Baidāwī than in Zamakhsharī. For these reasons, Baidāwī has generally been regarded as the best introduction to tafsir literature.
For the European student, however, the initial approach to this literature is beset with difficulty. It has developed its own style, a style of great conciseness full of technical terms and allusive, abbreviated expressions. Once one has mastered the idiosyncrasies of the style, it will not be difficult to make use of any of the classical tafsir works (which is no doubt why no full translation of a tafsir work into a European language has ever been
attempted); but the difficulty is the initial step of mastering the style and technique.
It was as an introduction for students to this literature that D. S. Margoliouth planned his translation of the commentary on Sūrah 3, published in 1894. The drawbacks to this are, (a) that the book has now been long out of print; (b) Sūrah 3 is somewhat over-lengthy to form the students' first 'bite' at this type of writing; (c) the notes, though extremely scholarly, are a little too advanced for the beginner, who needs rather a simpler sort of notes, explaining some of the more elementary problems; (d) the work is designed as a straightforward translation, whereas, in my estimation, the concise and abbreviated style of tafsir demands, for the beginner, to be expanded into a rendering fairly liberally provided with explanatory interpolations.

It is under these last two headings that the translation of Sūrah 12 by Bishop and Kaddal, published in 1957, also fails to be a satisfactory students' tool. Here, practically no explanatory notes at all are given; the scanty footnotes are almost wholly concerned with citing parallels from the versions of the Joseph story as presented by Kisä̀̄̀, Tha'labī, and Jāmī, interesting in themselves but irrelevant to the problem of understanding what Baiḍāwī has to say. Further, the translation is of so literal a character as to become (in view of the inimitable conciseness of the Arabic) in many places quite unintelligible in English. Add to this, that the translators have occasionally seriously misunderstood Baidāwī; it would be ungracious to labour this point, but one is obliged to admit that, for instance, their rendering of Baidāwi's words (in the comment on verse 6) kaläm musta'naf $k h a \bar{r} i j$ 'an al-tashbīh as 'the predicate of a subject outside the range of ambiguity' can only lead to baffled bewilderment on the part of a student endeavouring to comprehend Baiḍāwī. For these reasons, I have been emboldened to make this fresh attempt, bearing in mind the beginner's requirements as mentioned above.

The text reproduced here, and used as the basis of the translation, is that of Fleischer (Leipzig, 1846). The Cairo editions contain a few unimportant extra sentences, but do not differ substantially from it. The following technical details should be noticed.
I. Editions of Baidāwī normally incorporate the entire Quranic text; in Fleischer's edition this is distinguished by overlining. But since Baidaawi's
comments often deal only with a particular word or phrase in a Quranic passage, I have thought it probably helpful not to reproduce, in the translation, the full text but, as far as practicable, only those words and phrases on which Baidāwī is actually commenting.
2. In a work of this character, it seems to me unsuitable to translate the Quranic text as such. One can with propriety say that 'Arabic X may mean English A or English B', but it would be absurd to say 'English A may mean English A or English B'. Previous translators have attempted to surmount this difficulty by trying to find a neutral English term C, and say 'English C may mean English A or English B'; but the ingenuity expended in this attempt seems ill-repaid. It is surely better to treat this work straightforwardly as what for European readers it is: a commentary on a foreign-language text.
3. This work is intended for those who know sufficient Arabic to read an ordinary Arabic text, and who are acquainted with the basic notions and technicalities of Arabic grammar (without which, tafsir, which devotes considerable attention to grammatical points, must in any case be unintelligible). Hence it seems best to retain certain characteristic Arabic grammatical terms such as mubtada', hāl, and damir al-sha'n, which have no satisfactory European equivalent, rather than attempt to translate them.
4. Translations and paraphrases of the Quranic text are enclosed in double-quotes, " ". Half-brackets, 「 7 , enclose explanatory additions of my own which are not in Baidāwi’s text; though in a few cases where I have simply expanded an Arabic pronoun into the explicit noun to which it refers, it has not seemed worth while using brackets.
5. In Quranic references, I have followed the practice of Blachère in his translation: namely, that where the verse-numbering of Flügel's edition differs from that of the Egyptian edition, both numbers are given, separated by /, with Flügel's numeration first.
Occasional use has been made of the glosses to Baidāwi’s text by Abū alFadl al-Kāzarūnī, printed on the margin of the Cairo, 1330, edition of Baidāwi. W. Wright's Arabic Grammar is cited in the 3rd edition (repr., 1951). The following English and French translations of the Qur'ān are from time to time referred to:
A. J. Arberry, The Koran Interpreted, Cambridge, 1955.
R. Bell, The Qur'än, Edinburgh, 1937.
R. Blachère, Le Coran, Paris, 1957.
N. J. Dawood, The Koran, a new translation, London (Penguin Classics), 1956.
M. Pickthall, The Meaning of the Glorious Koran, London, 1930.

The student will do well, before embarking on this work, to familiarize himself with some of the general problems of the Qur'ān, and particularly the question of the canonical readings and readers, from some such work as R. Bell's Introduction to the Qur'ān (Edinburgh, 1953; see pp. 49-50 there for a summary account of the 'readers').
A. F. L. B.

## BAIḌĀWĪ＇S COMMENTARY ON SŪRAH 12

1 tilka āyātu 1－kitābi 1－mubini．tilka is a demonstrative referring to the verses of the sūrah，and the sūrah itself is what is meant here by al－kitäb． The meaning therefore is＂those verses are the verses of the sūrah of which the matter is obvious in incomparability＂，or alternatively＂$\Gamma$ is ＂plain in meaning＂，or alternatively＂「 the sūrah＂which makes plain，to anyone who ponders over it，that it is from God＂，or alternatively＂「the sürah which makes plain＇to the Jews that which they asked＂．For＇in regard to this last explanation ${ }^{7}$ it is recorded that their learned men said to the chiefs of the polytheists，＇Ask Muhammad why Jacob＇s family moved from Syria to Egypt，and about the story of Joseph＇，whereupon ‘this sūrah ${ }^{\top}$ was revealed．
2 innā anzalnāhu．「The pronoun－hul means al－kitāb．
qur＇anan ‘arabiyan．${ }^{`}$ This $\urcorner$ portion $\ulcorner$ of the total revelation $\urcorner$ is $\lceil$ here $\urcorner$ called $q u r ' a n$ ，for $「$ this word ${ }^{7}$ is in origin a generic noun and thus 「equally ${ }^{7}$ applicable to the whole or the part．${ }^{1}$ It then developed into a proper name for the whole 「revelation，which is＂the recitation＂＂par excellence．It is in the accusative of the haal．$\left\ulcorner\right.$ But ${ }^{2}$ in itself it may be either $\ulcorner\mathrm{i}\urcorner$ introductory to the $h \bar{a} l$ proper which is the word＇arabīyan；or 「ii｀itself a $h a \bar{a} l$ ，inasmuch as it is an infinitive having the meaning of a passive participle，${ }^{2}$ with ＇arabīyan ${ }^{\text {in }}$ in that case ${ }^{7}$ being either a qualifying adjective to it，or a $\left\ulcorner\right.$ subsidiary ${ }^{〔} h a \bar{l}$ dependent on the pronoun $\ulcorner$ implied $\urcorner$ in it；${ }^{3}$ or $\left\ulcorner\right.$ iii ${ }^{`}$ the two accusatives may be two parallel $h \bar{a} \bar{l} l$ ．There is a difference of opinion on all these 「alternatives ${ }^{7} .{ }^{4}$
la＇allakum ta＇qilūna．「＇This phrase adduces ${ }^{`}$ the reason for its revelation in this particular form，「and by＇form＇is to be understood either＇＇a col－ lected discourse 「in your own tongue ${ }^{7}$＇or＇a thing recited in your own tongue＇in order that you may understand it and comprehend its meanings， or exercise your wits on it so as to come to realize that its relation like this by a person who has never learnt storytelling is a miracle which cannot be supposed to have occurred otherwise than by divine inspiration．
3 ahsana 1－qaṣaṣi．「Either｀＂the best sort of storytelling＂because it is related in the finest of styles；or＂the best kind of thing related＂because it
includes marvels and aphorisms and signs and instructive examples．${ }^{\circ}$ In the latter hypothesis qasas is an example of the form ${ }^{7}$ fa$a^{\circ} a l$ used in the sense of a passive participle，as it is in naqad ${ }^{5}$ and salab．${ }^{6}{ }^{r}$ In either case ${ }^{7}$ the derivation of qasas is from ${ }^{「}$ the verb qassa as used in the expression ${ }^{`}$ qassa atharahu＇he followed him＇．
bimā auhainā ilaika．＂The $m \bar{a}$ is here maṣdarīyah so that the whole expression is equivalent to＂by Our inspiring you with＂．
hādhā l－qur＇āna meaning the sūrah．However，a possible alternative ${ }^{7}$ analysis is that hädhā l－qur＇ $\bar{a} n a$ is the direct concrete object of naqusṣu，in which case ahsana would be 「not the direct object of it，but ${ }^{\text { }}$ a cognate infinitival accusative．${ }^{8}$
wa＇in kunta min qablihi la－mina l－ghāfilīna．「ghäfilīn，i．e．＂un－ aware ${ }^{7}$ of this story，inasmuch as it had never occurred to your mind nor struck your hearing＂．The phrase adduces the reason for the thing being the particle which distinguishes ${ }^{\ulcorner }$the predicate of this in $\urcorner .9$

4 idh qāla yūsufu．「This expression can be taken as either ${ }^{7}$ a badal of ahsana l－qasasi，provided that the latter is made a direct object accusative，${ }^{10}$ the badal being＇inclusive＇；${ }^{1 I}$ or alternatively as direct object of the verb ＇recall＇understood．Yusuf is a Hebrew word；if it were Arabic it would be fully declined．There are alternative readings $Y \bar{u} s a f u$ and $Y u \bar{u} i f u$ ，but these variations are arbitrary and not dictated by any theory of the form being based on the imperfect passive or active of the 「IVth stem verb āsafa； because the generally accepted reading，$\ulcorner$ with $u$ as second vowel，$\urcorner$ testifies to its foreignness．
li－abīhi．「His father was ${ }^{7}$ Jacob son of Isaac son of Abraham．A tradition of the Prophet refers to＇the four generations of noble ones，Joseph son of Jacob son of Isaac son of Abraham＇．
yā abati．This form has its origin in $y \bar{a} a b \bar{\imath}$, but the $y$ termination has been changed into the feminine $-t$ ending，${ }^{12}$ because $t$ and $y$ resemble each other in being letters of augmentation．${ }^{13}$ For this reason ${ }^{14} \mathrm{Ibn}$ Kathīr，Abu＇Amr， and Ya＇qūb change the $t$ into $h$ in pause．The vocalization of the $t$ with kasrah is because the $t$ has been put in place of a letter，「 namely $y, 7$ which has a phonetic affinity with kasrah．But Ibn＂Āmir vocalizes the $t$ with fathah throughout the Qur＇ān；「this vocalization is based on the view ${ }^{7}$ that $y \bar{a}$
abata is ${ }$ either ${ }^{\urcorner}$the original form of this expression，or derived from $y \bar{a}$ $a b a t \bar{a}$ by shortening the $\bar{a}$ to $\check{a}$ ．And $y \bar{a} a b a t \bar{a}$ could be regarded as legitimate， but not $y \bar{a}$ abatī，because 「this last form’ would constitute as it were a simultaneous use of both the substituted $t$ and the $i$ for which it is a sub－ stitution．${ }^{15}$ There is also a reading abatu，treating the word like 「ordinary 7 nouns made feminine by the $t$ termination，without regard to ${ }^{\text {the }}$ occur－ rence of $\urcorner$ the substitution．But the $t$ is never unvowelled like the final $y$ of the original form $\left.{ }^{`} a b \imath\right\urcorner$ ，because $t$ is a strong letter being used in place of a personal pronoun，so that it needs to be vocalized just as much as the $k$ of the second person pronoun affix．${ }^{16}$
innī ra＇aitu．The verb is used in the sense of mental vision not of physical sight，$\left\ulcorner\right.$ as is evident ${ }^{\text {l }}$ because of the words $\left\ulcorner\right.$ later on ${ }^{\prime}$ ，＂Do not relate your vision＂$\upharpoonright$ verse 5$\urcorner$ and＂This is the interpretation of my vision＂「verse 100＂．
ahada＇ashara kaukaban，\＆c．Jäbir tells a story to the effect that a Jew came to the Prophet and said，＇Tell me，Muhammad，about the stars which Joseph saw＇．The Prophet was silent，then Gabriel descended and gave him this information，so he said，＇If I tell you，will you become a Muslim？＇＇Yes＇，replied the Jew．So he said，‘「They were｀．．．${ }^{17} \ldots$ Joseph saw these and the sun and moon descending from heaven and bowing down to him＇．And the Jew said，＇Yes indeed，these are their names＇．
ra＇aituhum lī sājidina．A new sentence describing their state in which he saw them．There is consequently no tautology 「in the use of the second ra＇aitu $\urcorner$ ．The stars are here treated as rational beings $\ulcorner$ in being referred to by the pronoun hum rather than $h \bar{a}\urcorner$ because they have attributed to them here characteristics of rational beings，「namely＇bowing down’’．
5 yā bunayyi．Diminutive of $i b n$ ，used affectionately or because of his actual youth，for he was twelve years old．Hafṣ reads it here and in sūrah xxxvii ${ }^{10} 101 / \mathrm{IO}^{2} 7$ with fathah on the $y .{ }^{18}$
$1 \overline{1}$ taqṣus＂$\ulcorner$ do not relate your vision to your brethren＂so that they should contrive a device for your destruction＂．Jacob understood from Joseph＇s vision that God would choose him out for his apostolate，and would raise him up above his brethren，and therefore he feared on Joseph＇s account their envy and hatred．ru＇y $\bar{a}$ is like ru＇yatun except that the former is specially appropriate to what occurs in sleep．The distinction between the two forms is effected by means of the two 「alternative ${ }^{7}$ feminine afformatives，as in qurbatun and qurba．ru＇y $\bar{a}$ ，＇vision＇，is the
impression of an image which is communicated from the realm of the imagination to the sensus communis．${ }^{19}$ Truthful visions occur only by means of a contact of the soul with the supernatural world due to the mutual affinity which exists between the two when the soul is to any extent freed from preoccupation with the control of the body，so that it is impressed with an image of concepts which exist there in the supernatural world， in so far as these are adapted to that soul＇s capacity．Thereafter，the imagina－ tive faculty embodies the concept in an image appropriate to it，and com－ municates this image to the sensus communis，and the image becomes $\ulcorner$ as it were＇observed＇．Moreover，if the image bears so strong a resemblance to the concept that they are differentiated from each other by no more than the general and the particular，the vision needs no interpretation． Otherwise，it will need it．kāda，although it is 「normally’ a verb governing a direct accusative，is here made to govern with the preposition $l i$ because it contains the sense of a verb which governs with that preposition．${ }^{20}$ r This is done ${ }^{7}$ in order to heighten its meaning．For the same reason，ri．e．to heighten the meaning，$\urcorner$ it has been strengthened by the infinitive ${ }^{\ulcorner }$kaidan $\urcorner$． The cause 「of their plotting $\urcorner$ is given in the following words，$\ulcorner$ namely， ＂The Devil is ．．．，\＆c．＂${ }^{\prime}$ ．
＇adūwun mubinun＂obviously inimical＂，by reason of what he did with Adam and Eve，so that he would not spare any pains in deluding Joseph＇s brethren and stirring up envy among them so as to incite them to treachery．

6 wa－ka－dhālika，i．e．＂in the same way as He singled you out by a vision of this sort＂which points to honour and glory and perfection of soul．
yajtabika rabbuka．「＂Does your Lord single you out＂＂for prophethood and kingship；or，for mighty destinies．The verb－form ijtaba is derived from the usage jabaita $l$－shai＇a meaning＇you acquired the thing for your－ self＇．
wa－yu＇allimuka．This is an independent proposition，not included in the comparison 「implied by the＇thus＇？．It is as if the sentence had run voa－huwa
min ta＇wili l－aḥādīthi＂somewhat of dream－interpretation＇；${ }^{22}$ for a dream is angelic narratives if it is true，and human or satanic ones if false．Alternatively，＂somewhat of the interpretation of the obscurities in God＇s books and 「in 7 the practices of the prophets and $\ulcorner$ in $\urcorner$ the sayings
of wise men＂．alāadith is a plural of hadìth similar to abaṭtil the plural of bätil．
wa－yutimmu ni＇matahu＇alaika r＂and He perfects His grace upon you＂$\urcorner$ by means of prophethood，or by His joining grace in this world to grace in the next．
wa－＇alā āli ya＇qūba，meaning either the rest of Jacob＇s sons，「in which case？Jacob possibly inferred their prophethood from the light of the stars； or alternatively it means his descendants．
atammahā $\Gamma$＂perfected it＂］by means of apostleship．Some say that ${ }^{「}$ the grace was perfected＇on Abraham by his being called to be＇Friend of God＇ and by his salvation from the fire；${ }^{23}$ and on Isaac by his deliverance from the sacrifice and his ransoming by a mighty victim．
min qablu＂before your time＂or＂before this moment＂．
ibrāhīma wa－isḥāqa．Explanatory apposition to 「the word＂abawaika．
＇alimun r＂knows well＂${ }^{\prime}$ who is worthy to be singled out．
hakimun，i．e．＂doing things as is fitting＂．
7 fi yūsufa wa－ikhwatihi，i．e．in the story about them．
āyātun＂evidences of God＇s power and wisdom＂；or else，＂signs of your「Muḥammad＇s ${ }^{7}$ prophethood＂．${ }^{24}$
lil－sā＇ilina，i．e．for anyone who inquires about their story．
By ikhwatihi is meant his ten ${ }^{25}$ half－brothers，who were Judah，Reuben， Simeon，Levi，Zabulon，Issachar，and Dinah，born of his maternal cousin Leah，who was Jacob＇s first wife，and after whose death he married her sister Rachel，and she bore him Benjamin and Joseph，「though ${ }^{7}$ according to another account both sisters were Jacob＇s wives simultaneously，for this practice had not at that time been prohibited ${ }^{26}$－and four other sons， namely Dan，Naphthali，Gad，and Asser，who were born from two con－ cubines named Zilphah and Bilhah．

8 la－yūsufu wa－akhūhu．＂His brother＂means Benjamin．The reason why he is specially termed＇his＇「i．e．Joseph＇s＇brother is that Joseph was specially related to Benjamin by the fact of full brotherhood．
ahabbu．「The text ${ }^{7}$ has put this in the singular form because the elative followed by 「comparative｀ $\min$ carries no distinction in form between the singular and higher numbers，or between the masculine and its contrary，
unlike $\left\ulcorner\right.$ e．g．${ }^{7}$ akhawaihi．To distinguish $\lceil$ between singular，dual，and plural， and between masculine and feminine，${ }^{\urcorner}$is obligatory in the form ${ }^{r}$ of the elative ${ }^{7}$ endowed with the definite article，and permissive in the construct．
wa－naḥnu＇uṣbatun 「implying？＂whereas the situation is that we are numerous and strong，and more entitled to love than two young lads with no manly skill in them＇．「The noun＇＇uṣbah，as well as＇iṣābah，is applicable to groups of ten or more．Such groups are so named because affairs are ＇tied up＇by means of them．
inna abānā la－fī dalālin mubīnin ${ }^{\text {r＂in manifest error＂＇}}$ because of his preferring that which is inferior；or because of his unfairness in loving． There is a tradition that Joseph was dearer to Jacob because of the tokens which Jacob saw in him and his brothers envied him；so when Joseph had seen the vision，Jacob＇s love for him increased to such an extent that he could not bear to be parted from him，and their envy was intensified until it impelled them to make an attempt on him．

9 uqtulū yūsufa．Part of the reported speech following the words $i d h q \bar{a} l \bar{u}$ ， as though they $\ulcorner$ all $\urcorner$ made an agreement on this matter $\ulcorner$ and so addressed each other with these words＇，except for the one who said＇Don＇t kill＇ （verse Io）${ }^{7}$ ．But according to another tradition，the only one who actually said＇Kill Joseph＇was Simeon or Dan，while the rest simply assented．
ardan＂rsomewhere＂unknown，remote from human habitation＂．This is the point of the noun being undetermined and mubham，${ }^{27}$ in view of which fact it is in the accusative as is the case with other adverbial expressions of place which are mubham．
yakhlu lakum．Apodosis to the $\ulcorner$ preceding $\urcorner$ imperative．The meaning is ＂your father＇s face will shine upon you，and he will incline towards you with his whole being，and will not turn aside from you to anyone clse，and nobody will be able to rival you in his affection＂．
takūnū．Jussive by reason of being co－ordinate with yakhlu，or sub－ junctive with an understood．${ }^{28}$
min ba＇dihi＂after Joseph＂，or 「＂after it＂，i．e．after＂disposing of him or killing him or casting him away．
qauman ṣāliḥina＂penitents towards God for what you have done＂； or＂honest 「in your relations＂with your father＂inasmuch as relations between you and him will be repaired by means of some fair excuse which
you will have prepared beforehand；29 or＂honest in the eyes of the world＂， since your worldly status will be set right for you after Joseph 「＇s removal ${ }^{7}$ by the restoration of your father＇s countenance．

10 qāilun minhum，that is，Judah，who was the best disposed of them to Joseph；but others say it was Reuben．
lā taqtulū＂rDo not kill＂，for murder is a serious thing＂．
ghayābati 1－jubbi＂in the bottom of the pit＂．「ghayābah＂is so termed from its remoteness from the beholder＇s eye．Nāf＇reads the plural ghayābāt in both places，「here and in verse 15 below，${ }^{7}$ as though that particular pit had several bottoms．There are other alternative readings ghaibat and ghayyäbāt．
yaltaqiṭhu＂will take him up＂．
ba＇ḍu 1－sayyārati＂some of those who travel about the earth＂．
in kuntum fā＇ilina＂「if you act＇by my advice＂；or，＂if you are disposed to do what will separate him from his father＂．

11 mā laka lā ta＇mannā＇alā yūsufa＂why do you fear us over Joseph？＂ wa－innā lahu la－nāṣiḥūna＂when we are anxious for him and desire for him good？＂They wished by this to make Jacob abandon his opinion about $\ulcorner$ the necessity of $\urcorner$ guarding Joseph from them because of their envy which he sensed．

The generally accepted reading is ta＇mann $\bar{a}$ with coalescence $\ulcorner$ of the two $n ' s{ }^{7}$ accompanied by lip－rounding．${ }^{30}$ Omission of the lip－rounding is「allowed｀by the authority of Nāfi，but it is a solecism to omit the coales－ cence of the two $n$＇s on the ground of their belonging to two separate words；${ }^{31}$ and 「there is also a reading ${ }^{\text {tin }}$ mann $\bar{a} .{ }^{32}$

12 arsilhu ma＇anā ghadan 「＂Send him with us tomorrow＂into the wilderness＂．
narta＇＂and we shall be well－off＂in eating fruits and the like．「The verb is ${ }^{7}$ from rat＇$a$ which means＇fertility＇．
wa－nal＇ab 「＂and we shall play＂at running races and archery＂．
Ibn Kathïr reads the 「previous’ verb as narta‘i，assuming it to be derived from the VIII form of $r a^{\top} \bar{a}$ ．Nāfic also reads it as the VIII form of $r a^{`} \bar{a}$ ，but with both verbs in the third person singular．The Kufans and Ya＇qūb have

the third person singular ${ }^{7}$ the verb has Joseph as subject．Other readings are nurti＇，the IV form of rata＇a in the sense＇he pastured his flocks＇； and yarta＇i followed by the indicative yal＇abu，taking the ${ }^{\ulcorner }$latter ${ }^{\top}$ as the beginning of an independent sentence．
wa－innā lahu la－hāfizūna 「＂and we will be his protectors＂${ }^{7}$ against the occurrence to him of anything untoward．

13 innī la－yahzununī an tadhhabū bihi＂rit grieves me that you should take him away ${ }^{7}$ because it bears hard on me to be parted from him，and I can ill endure his absence＂．
wa－akhāfu an ya＇kulahu 1－dhi＇bu r＂and I fear that the wolf may eat him＂${ }^{\prime}$ ，because the land was infested with wolves．It is said that he had a dream in which the wolf seized on Joseph，and used to warn Joseph about it．$\left.{ }^{\ulcorner } d h i^{\prime} b u\right\urcorner$ is pronounced with full hamzah according to its original form ${ }^{33}$ by Ibn Kathīr and Nāfi in the tradition of Qālūn and in that of Yazīdī；Abu
 have the context form $\left\ulcorner d h i^{\prime} b u\right\urcorner$ ．The word is derived from ${ }^{34}\ulcorner$ the verb－form of the expression＇＇the wind tadha＂abat＇，i．e．＇blew from every direction＇．
wa－antum＇anhu ghāfilina＂rwhile you are neglectful of him＂because of your preoccupation with eating and sporting＂；or，＂because of your paying little attention to looking after him＂．
14 la－in akalahu 1－dhi＇bu．la is the＇läm preparatory to the oath＇，${ }^{35}$ and the apodosis $\ulcorner$ of the oath $\urcorner$ is $\left\ulcorner\right.$ in the words which follow，namely－${ }^{7}$
innā idhan la－khāsirūna．「The last word means｀＂weak and deluded＂，or alternatively，＂deserving to be cursed with loss＂．The woa－preceding nahnu is the waw of the hall．

15 ajma＇ū an yaj＇alūhu fī ghayābati 1 －jubbi＂resolved to throw him into「the bottom of the well ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ．The well in question was that of Jerusalem，or a well in the land of Jordan，or one between Egypt and Midian，or one three leagues from Jacob＇s dwelling．The main clause 「which would ordinarily follow＇＇when＇is here omitted，「but would be＇something like＇they did to him such harm as they did do＇．For it is related that when they got out with him into the desert they began hurting and beating him until they well－nigh killed him，and he began crying out and calling for help，and Judah said ＇Did you not covenant with me that you would not kill him？＇So they took
him to the well and lowered him down into it, and since he clung on to the lip of the well they tied his hands; and they stripped off his shirt in order to smear it with blood and therewith practice a deceit on his father; and he said, 'Brothers, give me back my shirt, to cover myself with', but they answered, 'Call on the eleven stars and sun and moon to clothe you and befriend you'. When he was half-way down, they let him go. There was water in the well, and he sank down, but then scrambled on to a rock which was there, and stood on it weeping. But Gabriel brought him an inspiration, as is stated in the following words-
wa-auhainā ilaihi. He was seventeen years old; or according to other accounts, just approaching puberty, and had been receiving inspiration during his boyhood as was the case with John 「the Baptist ${ }^{\text { }}$ and Jesus. There is a legend that when Abraham was thrown into the fire, ${ }^{36}$ he was stripped of his clothes, but Gabriel brought him a shirt of paradise-silk and put it on him. Abraham handed this on to Isaac, and Isaac to Jacob, who put it into an amulet which he hung on Joseph, and Gabriel brought it out and clothed Joseph with it.
la-tunabbi'annahum bi-amrihim hādhā "you will surely tell them what they did to you". ${ }^{37}$
wa-hum lā yash'urūna "'they being unaware' that you are Joseph, because of your exalted rank, and of the difference between that rank and anything they would have expected, and because of the lapse of time which alters personal appearances and shapes". The expression is an allusion to what he said to them in Egypt when they came into his presence to buy corn, and he recognized them while they failed to recognize him. God gave Joseph good tidings ${ }^{\ulcorner }$beforehand ${ }^{7}$ of what the outcome of his affair would be, in order to console him and comfort his heart. According to another interpretation, "they being unaware" goes closely with "we inspired", so that the meaning would be "we consoled him with inspiration, while the brothers were unaware of this fact".

16 'ishä'an "at the end of the day". Also read 'ushayyan which is the diminutive of 'ashìyun 「in the same meaning? ; also, 'ushan with alif maqsūrah, being the plural of $a$ 'sh $\bar{a}$, i.e. "near-blinded" with weeping.
yabkūna "pretending to weep". The tradition is that when he heard their weeping he was alarmed and said, 'What is the matter with you, my sons? Where is Joseph?'

17 nastabiqu＂competing with each other＂in running and shooting．For the VI and VIII verb－stems may share the same meaning，as is the case with intadala and tanädala＇compete in archery＇．
bi－mu＇minina lanā＂thinking us to be telling the truth＂．
wa－lau kunnā ṣādiqīna＂＇however truthful we were，you would not be inclined to credit us，？because of your bad opinion of us and your excessive love for Joseph＂．

18 kadhibin 「equivalent to＂＂that which is possessed of lying＂，in the sense of＇a thing about which a lie is told＇．It is legitimate to take it as an adjectival ridea ${ }^{7}$ expressed by means of the verbal noun for rhetorical emphasis．${ }^{38}$ It is also read in the accusative as a $h a \bar{a} l$ dependent on the subject－pronoun rof the sentence＂，i．e．＂they came lying＂．Also as kadibin with undotted $d$ meaning either＇muddied＇or＇fresh＇；and this is said to be originally the white $\left\lceil\right.$ membrane ${ }^{7}$ coming out over the nails of the young，to which is com－ pared the blood sticking on to the shirt．＇alä qamīisihi is a virtual accusative「either ${ }^{`}$ by way of being an adverbial expression equivalent to fauq $a$ qamīisihi，or by way of being a haäl dependent on＇blood＇，${ }^{39}$ provided that it is regarded as legitimate to place the $h a \bar{l}$ in front of its noun when the latter is governed by a preposition．${ }^{40}$
The tradition is that when Jacob heard the news about Joseph，he cried out aloud，and asked for his shirt，and threw it over his face and wept until his face was stained by the blood from the shirt；and he said，＇Never yet have I seen a wolf so clever as this one ${ }^{4 \mathrm{I}}$－he has eaten my son but has not rent his shirt upon him＇．For which reason＂he spoke＂＇the following words，namely－7
bal sawwalat lakum anfusukum amran＂「your souls＂have made a monstrous affair easy and negligible in your eyes＂．The verb is from sawal equivalent to＇slackness＇．
fa－şabrun jamilun．Either＂my duty is fair patience＂，or＂fair patience is best＂．${ }^{42}$ There is a tradition ${ }^{43}$ that＇Fair patience is that in which there is no complaint made to any created being＇．
wa－llahu 1－musta＇ānu＇alā mā taṣifūna＂r ${ }^{\text {God }}$ od is He whose aid is sought against the supposition of Joseph＇s being destroyed as you describe＂．

This offence took place before their elevation to prophetic status，if $\ulcorner$ this elevation ${ }^{7}$ did in fact take place．${ }^{44}$

19 sayyāratun．＂A company travelling＂from Midian to Egypt．They halted near the pit，and this was three days after his being thrown into it．
wāridahum＂the person who used to go down to water and draw water for them＂．He was Mālik b．Dhu＇r al－Khuzā̄i．
adlā dalwahu＂he let down his bucket into the well in order to fill it＂， and Joseph clung on to it，and when he saw Joseph－
qāla yā bushrā＂he cried out＇Good luck＇＂，「either＇as congratulation to himself（or to his folk，）as much as to say，＇Come along，here is your opportunity＇．${ }^{45}$ According to another interpretation，Bushrā is the name of a companion of his whom he called to help in getting Joseph out．Readers other than the Kufans read bushräya＂my good luck＂with genitive 「pro－ noun ${ }^{\prime}$ attached．Hamza and Kisā’ī pronounce imāalah $^{46}$ of the $\bar{a}$ ；Warsh reads a pronunciation intermediate ${ }^{「}$ between imālah and tafkhīm｀．There is also a reading bushrayya with assimilation 「of the alif of prolongation into the following $y\urcorner$ ，but this is dialectal．A further reading is bushray，with the intention of producing a pausal form．
asarrūhu．「They，i．e．＇the water－drawer and his companions，＇hid him from the rest of the caravan＂．An alternative interpretation is that they concealed the fact of 「finding him，and said to the others，＇The owners of the well handed him over to us，in order that we might sell him on their behalf in Egypt＇．${ }^{47}$ Another interpretation is that the pronoun＇they＇refers to Joseph＇s brethren，because Judah used to bring him food each day，and came to him on that occasion and did not find him in the well，and told his brothers，and they approached the caravan and said，＇This is our servant who has run away from us，so buy him＇，and Joseph kept silent for fear they would kill him．
biḍāatan．Accusative of the hāl，i．e．they hid him to serve as trade goods． The derivation is from the verbal notion bada＇a＇cut ${ }^{`}$ a piece off a thing ${ }^{7}$＇，be－ cause＇bid $\bar{a}^{‘} a$＇is what is＇cut off＇from＇one＇s＇property for trading purposes．
wa－llahu＇alīmun bimā ya＇malūna＂their secrets are not concealed from God＂；or alternatively，＂the act perpetrated by Joseph＇s brethren against their father and brother $\left\ulcorner\right.$ is not concealed ${ }^{\prime}$＂．
20 wa－sharauhu＂they bartered him＂．Both the parties 「to the transaction＂ are visualized in the reference of the pronoun＇they＇．Or，＂they，「i．e．the travellers，${ }^{7}$ purchased him from his brothers＂．
bi－thamanin bakhsin＂rfor a price which was＂defective＂，either on account of it being counterfeit coin，or on account of the inadequacy of it．
darāhima．badal of the word＇price＇．
ma＇dūdatin＂＂counted out＂$\urcorner$ ，i．e．＂few＂，because it was the practice to weigh sums amounting to an $\bar{u} q \bar{\imath} y a h$ ，but to count out the coins for sums less than that．The price is said to have been twenty dirhams，or alternatively twenty－two．${ }^{48}$
fihi＂in respect of Joseph＂．
mina l－zāhidina＂indifferent to him＂．If the pronoun in＂they were＂ refers to the brethren，the point is obvious．If it refers to the travellers and they were intending to sell Joseph，then their indifference about him was due to their having picked him up by chance，and anyone who picks up a thing by chance sets little store by it and fears to have it snatched away from him ${ }^{\ulcorner }$again $\urcorner$，and ${ }^{\ulcorner }$so $^{7}$ is in a hurry to sell it．While if they bought him for themselves，their indifference was because they regarded him as a run－ away．${ }^{49}$ The expression＂in respect of him＂depends on al－zähidin $n$ ，if the article in the latter word is taken as used for specification，but if it is used in the sense of＇he who 「is indifferent＂＇then＂in respect of him＂ depends on something to be understood which is subsequently explained by al－zähidīn，because anything dependent on a relative clause may not be put in front of the relative copula．${ }^{50}$
21 wa－qāla lladhī shtarāhu．He was al－${ }^{\wedge} \mathrm{Aziz}^{51}$ who was in charge of the treasuries of Egypt，and his name was Qiṭfir or Itffir．The king at that time was Rayyān ibn al－Walīd the Amalekite．He believed in Joseph and died during Joseph＇s life．But according to another account he was the Pharaoh of Moses，and lived 400 years；this is based on the Quranic statement ${ }^{52}$「about Moses＇Pharaoh ${ }^{\text { }}$ ，＂Joseph came to you previously with clear signs＂． The commonly accepted view is that Moses＇Pharaoh was one of the descendants of Joseph＇s Pharaoh，and 「that ${ }^{\top}$ the verse ${ }^{\text {「just cited }\urcorner}$ is an example of descendants being addressed in 「terms appropriate to ${ }^{7}$ their ancestors＇circumstances．It is related that al－＇Azīz bought Joseph when the latter was seventeen years old，and he stayed in his house thirteen years， and Rayyān made him his vizier at the age of thirty－three，and he died at the age of 120 ．Various opinions are held about the price for which he bought Joseph，by those who hold his sale ${ }^{「}$ on this occasion ${ }^{7}$ to be different from the earlier sale ${ }^{53}$ ；some say it was twenty dinars and two pairs of
sandals and two white robes，others that it was his bulk in gold or ${ }^{\text {「alter－}}$ natively ${ }^{7}$ in silver．
li－mra＇atihi．Rā＇īl or Zulaikhā．
akrimī mathwāhu＂make his place of lodging with us honourable＂，i．e． ＂fine＂．The implication is，＂give him a good reception＂．
＇asā an yanfa＇anā＂rhe may profit us＂in our estates and property，and we will enlist his help in our interests＂．
au nattakhidhahu waladan＂or adopt him＂（for Qiṭfir was childless） because of the probity he detected in Joseph．It is said that three people showed themselves pre－eminently skilled in judging men：＇Azīz of Egypt， Shu＇aib＇s daughter who said＇Father，hire him＇，${ }^{54}$ and Abū Bakr when he appointed＇Umar as his successor．
wa－kadhālika＂like as We have established the love of Joseph in the heart of al－＇Azīz＂「or＂like as We have established Joseph in the house of al－＇Azīz＂，or＂like as We have delivered Joseph and made al－＇Azīz to favour him so We have established him in the earth＂．
wa－li－nu＇allimahu．The＇and＇joins this sentence on to something which has to be understood，of which the implication is，＂in order that he might conduct himself on the earth with justice，and in order that We might teach him＇．That is to say，the object of delivering and establishing him was that he should promote justice and direct men＇s affairs and know the meanings of God＇s books and laws so as to put them into effect．Alterna－ tively，＂「teach him＂the interpretation of dreams which give notice of events to come＂so that he might make preparation for such events and occupy himself with planning for them before they actually occur；as Joseph did for his years $\ulcorner$ of dearth $\urcorner$ ．
wa－llāhu ghālibun＇alā amrihi＂nothing can turn Him back or strive against Him in what He wills＂；or the pronoun of amrihi refers to Joseph， ＂God is omnipotent over Joseph＇s affair＂in which his brothers willed one thing but God another，and only that which God willed befell．
lā ya＇lamūna＂rdo not understand＂that everything rests in His hand＂； or alternatively，＂rdo not understand ${ }^{7}$ the subtleties of His providence and the secrets of His grace＂．

22 ashuddahu＂the extreme point of his physical development and strength＂，which is the age of maturity ${ }^{55}$ between thirty and forty；or，
according to others, the age of adolescence which begins with the attainment of puberty.
hakman "wisdom" which is knowledge backed up by action; or, "authority" among men.
wa-'ilman "knowledge" of the interpretation of dreams.
ka-dhālika. An indication that God only gave him those gifts as a reward for his probity in conduct and his godfearingness in the first flower of his career.
23 wa-rāwadathu "she asked him and intrigued that he should lie with her". From räda yarūdu 'go to and fro in search of a thing', from which also comes $r a \vec{a}$ 'id 'scout'.
wa-ghallaqati 1 -abwāba. It is said that there were seven doors. The II form is used either to denote repeated action, or for rhetorical emphasis on the idea of 'making fast'.
haita laka, i.e. "come on and make haste", or "I am ready". In either case, haita is a verbal noun, with an indeclinable ending in $-a$ as in aina. The $l a\ulcorner$ of $l a k a$ ' is for clarification, like the one in suqyā laka 'Good watering! to you'. ${ }^{56}$ Ibn Kathïr reads haitu, thus making the form resemble haithu. Nāf' and Ibn 'Āmir read hīta like 'ìta. ${ }^{57}$ Hishām the same, but with hamza, hi'ta; and in a reading attributed to him by another tradition, $h_{i}{ }^{\prime} t u$. There is another reading haiti like jairi 'yea, truly'; and hi'tu, like $j i{ }^{\prime} t u$, from the verb $h \vec{a}^{\prime} a$ yahì $u$ in the same meaning as tahayya'a 'be prepared'; and a further reading huyyi'tu 'I am made ready'. On this basis, ${ }^{58}$ the $l a\ulcorner k a\urcorner$ is a genuine dependent expression to the verb. ${ }^{59}$
ma'ādha llahi "I take refuge in God".
innahu "verily the fact is". 60
mathwāya "my lord Qitfir treated me well, since he said to you about me, 'Give him honourable lodging', and what sort of a recompense would it be that I should betray him with his wife?". Others take the pronoun「which is the subject of ahsana" as referring to God, i.e. "My Creator has made for me a fine dwelling by inclining Qiṭfir's heart towards me, so I will not disobey Him ‘by sinning'".
al-zālimūna "those who requite good with evil"; or alternatively, "adulterers", for adultery is a wrong both against the partner in adultery and against the cuckold.

24 la－qad hammat bihi wa－hamma bihā＂She desired to have intercourse with him and he desired it with her＂．hamma bi－means＇to aim at and resolve on＇a thing．From it comes humām $\Gamma$＇hero＇$\urcorner$ ，one who，when he plans a thing， carries it out．What is meant by Joseph＇s desiring her is natural propensity and the struggling of carnal feelings，not a rationally chosen purpose． Such feelings do not fall within ${ }^{61}$ the sphere of moral responsibility．But the person who truly deserves praise and the heavenly reward is the one who restrains himself from acting when this sort of impulse arises or is about to arise；as when you say＇I was on the verge of killing him，had I not feared God＇．${ }^{62}$
laulā an ra＇ā burhāna rabbihi＂rthe evidence of His Lord＂about the vileness of adultery and its ill consequences＂．「Were it not for that ${ }^{7}$ he would have had intercourse with her，by reason of the lustfulness of a youth＇s sensual desire and the excess of immoderate behaviour．It is not permissible to take hamma bih $\bar{a}$ as the apodosis of laul $\bar{a}\ulcorner$ and thus to render ＂he would have desired her＂ 7 because laula has the same status as condi－ tional particles，which may not be preceded by the apodosis．Rather，the apodosis of laula is left to be understood，but can be inferred from the expression wa－hamma biha．${ }^{63}$ Some say that he saw Gabriel，others that a mental picture of his father biting his fingers came before him，others「a mental picture of $\urcorner$ Qiṭīr，others that a voice cried to him，＇Joseph，you are written down among the prophets，and $\left\ulcorner\right.$ yet ${ }^{\prime}$ you are doing the deed of fools＇．
ka－dhālika＂in that manner We made you steadfast＂；or alternatively， ＂the situation is thus＂．
al－sū＇a＂treachery towards his lord＂．
wa－lfaḥshā＇a＂adultery＂．
al－mukhlaṣina＂those whom God has caused to be sincerely devoted to obeying Him＂．But Ibn Kathīr，Abū＇Amr，Ibn＇Āmir，and Ya＇qūb read the word throughout the Qur＇ān as mukhlis wherever it is preceded by the article，meaning＂those who have rendered their faith sincere towards God＂．
25 wa－stabaqā 1－bāba＂they raced each other towards the door＂，with the preposition＇towards＇omitted．Or the verb has been made to include the sense of ibtadara＇hasten towards＇「which governs an accusative＇．The point here is that Joseph fled from her to get out，and she hastened after him to prevent him from getting out．
wa－qaddat＂she grasped at him from behind and his shirt was torn＂． qadd is to rend a thing lengthwise，and qatt is to rend it breadthwise．
wa－alfayā sayyidahā＂and they came unexpectedly on her husband＂．
qālat mā jazā’u ．．．．「She said this｀in order to create the impression that she had fled from Joseph，so as to clear her honour in her husband＇s opinion and make him jealous of Joseph，and incite him against Joseph，by way of getting her revenge on Joseph．$m \bar{a}$ can be taken either as negative，「i．e． ＂nothing but imprisonment is his requital＂？；or as interrogative with the sense＂what thing save imprisonment is his requital？＂

26 rāwadatnī＇an nafsī＂she demanded compliance of me＂．He only said this to avert ${ }^{`}$ the threat of＇imprisonment or painful punishment to which she had exposed him．If she had not lied against him，he would not have said it．
wa－shahida shāhidun．Some say it was her paternal cousin，others her maternal cousin，he being then a child in the cradle．There is a tradition that the Prophet said，＇Four people spoke as infants－the son of Pharaoh＇s「daughter＇s ${ }^{7}$ hairdresser，Joseph＇s witness，the companion of Juraij，and Jesus＇．${ }^{64}$ God put the testifying into the mouth of ${ }^{5}$ one of ${ }^{7}$ her family in order that it might be more binding on her．
in kāna qamīsuhu qudda min qubulin fa－ṣadaqat．Because this would prove that she had torn his shirt at the front in self－defence；or alterna－ tively that he had hurried after her and stumbled over the hem of the shirt so that the breast of it tore．

27 wa－in kāna qamiș̣uhu qudda min duburin fa－kadhabat．Because this would prove that she had followed him and grasped at his garment and torn it．

The conditional sentence $\left\ulcorner\right.$ in each case ${ }^{7}$ is reported speech，on the basis that either the verb of speaking is to be understood，or that the action of ＇testifying＇forms part of 「the general category of 7 speech．It is here called ＇testifying＇because it had the same effect as giving 「legal＇testification．The use of in plus kana is based on the implication＇if it is known that this was so＇or something similar．${ }^{65}$ A parallel case is your saying＇If you do good to me，I have done good to you previously＇，of which the meaning is＇If you boast to me of your beneficence，I will boast to you of my previous bene－ ficence＇．${ }^{66}$ min qubulu and min duburu are 「also ${ }^{\circ}$ read，with $u$ at the end，
because they have been deprived of their dependent genitive; the case is similar to qablu and ba'du. ${ }^{67}$ They are also read qubula, dubura as though they were treated as proper names denoting the two directions ${ }^{68}$ and made diptote. $\ulcorner$ There is $\urcorner$ also a reading with no vowel on the middle radical.
28 innahu r"it is", the 'it'" meaning either "your ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{Ra}^{-} \mathrm{i} 1 \mathrm{l}{ }^{\prime}{ }^{7}$ ' saying 'What is the requital of one who desired to do evil with your family?" "; or else "evil"; or else "this affair".
min kaidikunna "of your craftiness". The plural pronoun is addressed to her and those like her, or to women as a whole.
inna kaidakunna 'azimun. Because the craftiness of women is subtler, more insinuating and with greater effect on the mind, and because by it they outface men and by it Satan whispers stealthily.
29 yūsufu. The vocative particle is omitted because he was nearby and already paying attention to the conversation. ${ }^{69}$
a'rị̆ 'an hādhā "conceal it and do not mention it".
wa-staghfirì. Addressed to $\mathrm{Ra}^{-} \mathrm{i} \mathrm{i}$.
mina 1-khāti'ina "of the folk who commit sins". The verb is khati'a
 masculine form $\urcorner$ is given the preference ${ }$ whenever there is a comprehensive reference to both males and females ${ }^{7}$.
30 niswatun. A noun denoting the plurality of imra'atun. Viewed in this light its feminine status is not intrinsic, which is why the $\ulcorner$ preceding $\urcorner$ verb has the form without the feminine termination. ${ }^{70}$ nuswatun is a dialectal variation thereof.
fì l-madinati. An adverbial expression of place dependent on 'said', i.e. "the women disseminated the tale in Egypt"; or else an attributive expression dependent on 'women'. There were five of the women, the wives of the chamberlain, butler, baker, prison-keeper, and head groom.
imra'atu l-'azīzi turāwidu fatāhā 'an nafsihi "desires her servant to lie with her'. 'Azīz in Bedouin language means 'king'. The origin of fatan is
 anomalous. ${ }^{71}$
qad shaghafahā hubban "he has pierced the shaghaf of her heart, which is its membrane, until he has penetrated to her inmost heart with love ${ }^{\text {「 for }}$
him ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ．「hubbban is accusative of specification，used because the verb has been diverted away from 「its logical subject，which is＇＇love＇． 72 There is also a reading sha＇afa from the verb as applied to a camel meaning＇he smeared the beast with tar＇and 「thereby｀caused it a burning pain．
fī dalālin mubīnin．In straying from the right way and remoteness from proper conduct．
31 bi－makrihinna＂their backbiting＇．He has called it＇wile＇because they concealed it as a wily person ${ }^{〔}$ normally $\urcorner$ conceals his wile；or alternatively「because’ they said it with the object of her showing Joseph to them；or because she had asked them to keep her secret hidden，but they spread it abroad against her．
arsalat ilaihinna＂rshe sent to them＂to invite them＂．It is said that she invited forty women，including the five．
muttaka＇an＂cushions on which they would recline＂．
wa－atat ．．．．In order that they might recline with the knives in their hands and when Joseph came out to them they would be astonished and forget themselves，so that their hands 「holding the knives｀would fall on each other＇s hands and cut them，and they would stand rebuked by this proof 「of the irresistible nature of Joseph’s charms＇；or else Joseph would be alarmed at her guile when he came out alone before forty women with knives in their hands．It is also said that muttaka＇an here means＇food＇or ＇feast＇，${ }^{73}$ since people used to recline to eat and drink，out of luxuriousness， which is why this practice has been forbidden．Jamil ${ }^{74}$ writes，＇we remained ever in comfort，and reclined and drank permitted liquor from cups thereof＇．It is also said that muttaka＇is＇food which is cut＇，because the carver＇leans over＇it with the knife．There is a reading muttakkan with suppression of the hamzah；and muttaka＇an with lengthening of the $a$ as in muntazäh 「for muntazah＇remote＇ๆ；and mutkan which means either ＇oranges＇，75 or＇that which is cut＇from the verb mataka＇he cut a thing＇ equivalent to bataka；and matka＇an from the verb taki＇a yatka＇u meaning ＇recline＇．
akbarnahu＂they thought him marvellous＂and were awed at his super－ lative beauty．There is a tradition of the Prophet，saying，＇I saw Joseph on the night of the Ascension 「looking｀like the moon at its full＇．It is also said that the effulgence of his face could be seen on the walls．Another interpretation is that akbarna has the sense here of＇menstruated＇，since
one says of a woman akbarat meaning＇she menstruated＇because a woman enters on adulthood with $\ulcorner$ the beginning of $\urcorner$ menstruation．${ }^{\text {I In }}$ this case ${ }^{7}$ the $-h u{ }^{「}$ attached to the verb ${ }^{7}$ is a pronoun representing a verbal noun，${ }^{76}$ or refers to Joseph with omission of the preposition＇for＇，i．e．they menstruated because of him out of the violence of lust．Compare Mutanabbì，＇Fear God and cover that beauty with a veil，for if you appear plainly the maidens in their chambers will menstruate＇．${ }^{77}$
waqaṭa＇na＂they wounded their hands with the knives by excess of astonishment＂．
wa－qulna hāasha lillāhi．By way of declaring Him free of the attributes of weakness，and of admiring His power to create such a one as Joseph． Originally it is $h \bar{a} s h \bar{a}$（as Abu＇Amr reads it）in context－position，but the final alif has been omitted to lighten the pronunciation．It is a particle which conveys the meaning of＇regarding as immune＇，among the exceptive particles，and is used in place of $\left\ulcorner\right.$ an expression of ${ }^{\text {＇}}$ regarding as immune＇${ }^{7}{ }^{78}$ The preposition in lillāh is for clarification as in the phrase suqyā laka．${ }^{79}$ It is also read $h \bar{a} s h a$ llāhi without the preposition，in the meaning of＇God＇s faultlessness＇；${ }^{80}$ and $h \bar{a} s h a n ~ l i l l a ̄ h i ~ 「$ with tanwīn $\urcorner$ ，on the basis of treating the first word as an ordinary verbal noun．Another hypothesis is that $h \bar{a} s h \bar{a}$ is a III－form 「finite ${ }^{7}$ verb derived from al－hash $\bar{a}$ which is＇the direction＇，and in this case the subject of the verb is a pronoun referring to Joseph，so that the implication of the phrase is＂He turned in a Godward direction from the 「crime ${ }^{7}$ of which he was being accused＂．
mā hādhā basharan．Because this perfection is not a customary attribute of mankind．It is a Hijazi dialectal feature to make the negative $m \vec{a}$ have the same government，「i．e．，here，the accusative，${ }^{7}$ as laisa because of their both sharing in the property of negating a present－tense statement．It is also read basharun which is the Tamimi usage．Also，bi－shiran 「with pre－ position $b i$－plus shiran meaning a＇contemptible bought slave＇．
in hādhā illā malakun．For to possess at the same time marvellous beauty， superlative perfection and extreme sinlessness is a quality of the angels； or because his beauty was superior to mortal beauty and only an angel could surpass him in it．
32 fa－dhālikunna lladhi lumtunnani fïhi＂he is that Canaanite slave in respect of whom you blamed me with being infatuated before you had any true conception of him，and if you had visualized him as you now have
seen him to be，you would have pardoned me＂．Or alternatively，＂This is the person in respect of whom you blamed me＂，with 「however＇＇that＇ substituted for＇this＇in order to enhance the dignity of the person indicated by the demonstrative．${ }^{81}$
fa－＇taṣama＂he refrained out of a desire for sinlessness＂．She confesses to them ${ }^{\ulcorner }$her guilty conduct ${ }^{7}$ now that she realizes that they pardon her ${ }^{\ulcorner }$as shown by their exclamation＇he is an angel＇$\urcorner$ ，in order that they may assist her in softening his attitude．
mā āmuruhu＂that which I command＂，rthe－hu in this case being the referential pronoun to the $m \bar{a}\urcorner$ with omission of the preposition $\ulcorner b i-\urcorner .{ }^{82}$ Or alternatively＂my command to him＂，i．e．＂the requirement of my command＂，in which case the pronoun $\ulcorner h u\urcorner$ refers to Joseph．
al－ṣāghirīna＂the ignoble ones＂，from ṣaghira with verbal nouns sughrun and ṣaghārun；whereas ṣaghīr 「in the sense＇small，young＇${ }^{\text {is }}$ from ṣaghura with verbal noun sigharun．There is also a reading wa－la－yakūnan with the final $n$ expressed in writing 「as in normal orthography ${ }^{\text { }}$ ，although this contradicts the traditional Quranic orthography in which the $n{ }^{\ulcorner }$sound ${ }^{\urcorner}$is in this passage written with alif，like nasfacan，${ }^{83}$ according to the rule for pause－form $\ulcorner$ in the accusative of undefined triptote nouns $7 ; 84$ this phenome－ non occurs in the short form of the energetic owing to its similarity to the「accusative ${ }^{\text {t }}$ tanwīn in nouns．

33 al－sijnu 「＂the prison＂${ }^{\prime}$ ；but Ya＇qūb reads sajnu＇imprisonment＇．
ahabbu，i．e．＂preferable in my eyes to complying with her in adultery＂， in view of the outcome $\ulcorner$ in the future life $\urcorner$ ，even in a case where the latter is what one＇s 「natural ${ }^{`}$ spirit desires and the former is what it hates． The verb＇invite＇has＇them＇collectively as subject because they tried to intimidate him out of opposing her ${ }^{\ulcorner }$wishes ${ }^{\urcorner}$，and to make obedience to her attractive to him．Or alternatively they invited him to themselves．It is said that he was subjected 「by God $\urcorner$ to the trial of imprisonment because of his saying this，for it would have been more proper for him to ask God for safety 「from trials ${ }^{\text { }}$ ；and for this reason the Prophet objected to a person who had been asking for＇strength to endure＇．${ }^{85}$
wa－illā tașrif＇anni＂if You do not avert from me＂．
kaidahunna＂rtheir guile ${ }^{7}$ in trying to render that act delightful to me and glorifying it in my eyes，by $\left\ulcorner\right.$ Your ${ }^{\urcorner}$strengthening $\left\ulcorner\mathrm{me}^{\urcorner}\right.$in innocence＂．
assbu ilaihinna＂I will incline to their side＂（or，＂to their persons＂）＂by reason of my natural feelings and the demands of my physical desire＂．The root sbw means＇incline towards passion＇，and from it is derived the noun $s a b \bar{a}$. For $^{86}$ the hearts of men 「by nature ${ }^{7}$ regard passion as good and incline towards it．There is also a reading asabba from 「the verb which has as its $\urcorner$ verbalnoun ssabābah＇yearning＇，equivalent to＇love＇．
al－jāhilina＂the fools，by committing that to which they invite me＂；for the wise man does not commit a vile action．Alternatively，＂those who do not act according to what they know＂；‘for＇both kinds of person are equally ＇ignorant＇．
34 fa－stajāba．God answered his prayer，of which the contents were 「indi－ cated by the words＇＇If you do not avert，‘\＆c．7＇．
fa－sarafa．．．＂and strengthened him in innocence，so that he acclimatized himself to the rigours of prison，and preferred those rigours to pleasure involving disobedience 「to God ${ }^{\prime}$＂．
al－sami＇u＂rhearkening＂to the prayer of those who have recourse to Him＇．
al－＇alimu＂$\left\ulcorner\right.$ aware ${ }^{7}$ of their circumstances and of what will correct them＂．
35 thumma ．．＂then it appeared good to al－＇Azīz and his household， after they had seen the evidences proving Joseph＇s innocence＂，such as the testimony of the infant and the rending of the shirt and the women＇s cutting their hands and his keeping himself aloof from them．The subject of＇appeared＇is a pronoun of which the reference is explained by 「what follows，namely’ la－yasjununnahu．This was because she beguiled her husband and persuaded him to imprison Joseph for a time，in order that she might see what became of him，or $\left\lceil\right.$ in order that ${ }^{7}$ people might suppose him to be the guilty party．He remained in prison seven years．It is also read in the second person 「as tasjununnahu｀on the supposition that some of them thus addressed al－A＇zīz $\left\ulcorner\right.$ using the plural ${ }^{\top}$ out of respectfulness ${ }^{`}$ to him’，or 「because they were addressing $\urcorner$ al－‘Azīz and his entourage． $\ulcorner h a t t \bar{a}$ is’ also read as＇attā according to the Hudhail dialect form．
36 wa－dakhala．Joseph was placed in prison，and it so happened that at that time two others were placed there from among the king＇s servants，namely his butler and his baker，on suspicion of their desiring to poison the king．
ahaduhumā，namely the butler．
arānī "rI beheld myself 7 in a dream". It is a narrative present tense describing a past event.
khamran, i.e. "grapes", which are here alluded to by what results from them.
al-ākharu, i.e. the baker.
ta'kulu minhu "pecking at it".
min al-muhesinina "of those who are good at the interpretation of visions". Or, "of those who know"; they said this because they had seen him in the prison exhorting people and interpreting their visions. Or, "of those who do good to the prison-folk, so do good to us by interpreting what we have seen if you can understand it".

37 bi-ta'wilihi "the interpretation of what you have related to me"; or, "the interpretation of the food", that is to say an explanation of its essence and modality, since the explanation of that resembles the solving of a problematical point. This is as if he wanted to call them to a monotheistic outlook ${ }^{87}$ and guide them into the right path before coming on to the question they had asked him; as is the method of prophets and such learned men as have the same status as prophets in respect of giving spiritual guidance and direction. He has therefore put first a thing which is a miracle vouchsafed on his account, ${ }^{88}$ consisting in the giving of information about the supernatural, in order to lead them to have confidence in him in the matter of the call ${ }^{\circ}$ to monotheism 7 and the interpretation.
dhālikumā "that interpretation". 89
'allamani. By inspiration and revelation, not by such methods as divination and astrology.
innī . . . kāfirūna. All this cites the cause for what has preceded, i.e. 'He taught me that thing because I forsook the religion of those folk'.
38 wa-ttaba'tu. rThis is either part of the citation of the cause for God's teaching Joseph $\urcorner$ or a fresh sentence paving the way for the call $\ulcorner$ to monotheism ${ }^{7}$ and the demonstration of the fact that he belonged to the family of the prophets, in order to strengthen their willingness to listen to him and rely on him. For this reason it was made legitimate for the humble man to praise himself, so that he might be known and his example imitated. The repetition of the pronoun hum is to indicate that it is they pre-eminently ${ }^{\circ}$ who are unbelievers ${ }^{7}$ and $\left\ulcorner\right.$ thus ${ }^{7}$ emphasize their unbelief in the next world. ${ }^{90}$
mā kāna lanā＂it was not suitable for us，the company of the prophets＂． min shai＇in，i．e．＂anything that has ever existed＂．
dhālika，i．e．＂belief in monotheism＂．
＇alainā＂「unto us｀by inspiration＂．
wa－＇alā 1 －nāsi＂rand＂unto the rest of mankind by means of our being sent to guide them and confirm them in it＂．
akthara 1－nāsi＂$r$ most＇of those to whom the message is sent＂．
lā yashkurūna＂「are not thankful＂for this grace，but turn away from it and pay no attention＇．Alternatively，the words min fadli llāhi＇alaina wa－＇alaihim 「imply＂＂by means of the establishment of proofs and the revelation of signs，yet people will not look at the signs or draw inference from the proofs，but neglect them like one who slights a favour and is not grateful for it＂＇．

39 yā sạahibayi 1－sijni＂you two dwellers in the prison＂；or＂my two companions in it＂，with＇the prison＇made the genitive per extensionem， as when one says＇Oh night－robber of the housefolk＇．${ }^{91}$
mutafarriqūna＂various and numerous，「but＇all of like status＂．
al－wāhidu＂unique in His godhead＂．
al－qahhāru＂the Victorious，whom no other can counterbalance or withstand＂．

40 mā ta＇budūna．Addressed to the two prisoners together with the other Egyptians belonging to their faith．
illā asmā＇an＂save things tantamount to 「mere＂names，which you have applied to those things without any proof indicating the entitlement of the bearers of the names to those names．Thus it is just as if you only worshipped the mere names＂．The implication is that＂you have called ＇gods＇that whereof neither reason nor authority indicates the entitlement to divinity；and then you have begun worshipping them on the score of the designation which you apply to them＂．
ini l－ḥukmu＂authority in the matter of worship does not belong＂．
illā lillāhi r＂except to God＂$\urcorner$ because He is the one essentially entitled to it，inasmuch as He is by His own essence necessarily existent and the prime cause of all $\left\lceil\right.$ else ${ }^{7}$ ，and possessor of His command．${ }^{92}$ amara＂$r \mathrm{He}$ has commanded＂by the moutl＇of His prophets＂．
illā iyyāhu＂rsave Him＂to whom the proofs point＂．
al－qayyimu＂rstraightforward，i．e．$\urcorner$ true；but you do not distinguish between the crooked and the straight＂．This is ‘an example of 7 rhetorical climax in the call ${ }^{\ulcorner }$to monotheism ${ }^{\urcorner}$and cogency of argument．For first he has explained to them the preferability of monotheism over polytheism by way of oratorical address．Next he has shown that the things they call gods and worship are not entitled to be regarded as divine；for entitlement to worship depends either on the essential being 「of the object of worship ${ }^{7}$ or on something else，and both these alternatives are excluded in the case of those things．${ }^{93}$ Finally he prescribes what is the straightforward truth and upright faith：reason demands nothing else than that，nor can knowledge be satisfied with anything short of it．
walākinna akthara ．．＇＂but stumble on in their ignorant ways＂．
41 ammā aḥadukumā，namely the butler．
fa－yasqī rabbahu khamran＂rhe will pour wine for his master ${ }^{7}$ as he used to pour it previously，and will return to his old position＂．
al－ākharu＂the baker＂．
fa－yuṣlabu ．．．But 「on hearing this＇they both said＇He has lied to us＇ ；$^{9+}$ so ${ }^{\ulcorner }$Joseph ${ }^{7}$ spoke ${ }^{「}$ as follows－$>$
quadiya 1 －amru ．．．＇the matter about which you two were seeking a decision has been settled＇；the＇matter＇being the fate of both of you，which is why＇＇the matter＇］is put in the singular，for although they asked for a decision about two matters，what they desired was an explanation of the ultimate result of the situation in which both were involved．
42 zanna．The person who＇supposed＇is Joseph，if he mentioned this detail as a result of his private judgement．If he mentioned it as a result of inspiration，then the person who＇supposed＇must be the one who would escape．Unless indeed zanna is here interpreted in the sense of＇know for＇ certain＇．
udhkurni＂$m$ ention my condition to the king in order that he may release me＂．
fa－ansāhu＂the Devil caused the butler to forget to mention him to his lord＇．＇His lord＇is made the genitive to the verbal noun because of the intimate connection between the two ；95 or else on the basis that the expression implies＇the recollection of notifying his lord＇${ }^{2}$ with＇notifying＇
omitted but to be understood $\urcorner$ ．Alternatively，it may mean that the Devil made Joseph forget to make mention of God，with the result that he sought aid from someone else than God．The latter hypothesis is supported by the Prophet＇s saying，＇God have mercy on my brother Joseph：had he not said ＂remember me to your lord＂， 96 he would not have stayed in prison seven years over and above the five＇．To seek help from mortal men for the relief of troubles，though commendable in general，is not appropriate to the status of prophets．
bid＇a means any number between three and ten．It is derived from the verb bada＇a＇cut＇．

43 wa－qāla l－maliku．When 「Joseph＇s deliverance was at hand，the king saw seven fat cows which had emerged from a dry river－bed and seven lean ones；and the lean ones ate up the fat ones．
khuḍrin＂rgreen，i．e．？whereof the grain had just formed＂．
wa－ukhara yābisātin＂seven other dry ones which had reached full maturity＂，and the dry ones twined over the green ones until they had gained the upper hand over them．The full situation is not described here since it has been considered sufficient to relate what happened in the case of the cows．The 「adjective＇＇fat＇is grammatically attached to the specifying term ${ }^{「 ' c o w s ' ~} 7$ and not to the numeral ${ }^{97}$ because specifying force resides in r＇fat＇ ；but the second＇seven＇has＇lean＇attached to it as a qualifying term「and not as a specifying term because it is impossible to specify the numeral by＇lean＇in the absence of the qualified noun r＇cows＇from this second phrase ${ }^{7}$ ，for it is the qualified noun which would properly have the function of explaining the category $\ulcorner$ to which the objects numbered belong，and so being a proper＇specificative＇${ }^{998}$ ．According to ordinary ana－ logical formation，the word＇lean＇would have been＇ujf，since it is the plural of＇ajf $\vec{a}$＇；but because it here stands in rhetorical antithesis to simān，its structure has been modified to accord with the structure of simän．
aftūni fī ru＇yāya＂interpret it＂．
in kuntum ．．．＂if you are knowledgeable in dream－interpretation＂， which is the transition from the figures of the imagination back to the spiritual meanings of which those figures are representations．${ }^{99}$ The verb is derived from the root＇br which means＇going over＇．With＇vision＇as object，the ground－stem＇abara is more correct than the stem＇abbara．${ }^{100}$ The $l i$－is either explanatory，「i．e．＂if in respect of dreams you are
interpreters＂${ }^{\prime}$ ；or to strengthen the governing force of the verb，because when the verb is postponed after its direct object its governing force is weakened and it has to be strengthened with $l i$－in the same way as the active participle is；${ }^{101}$ or ${ }^{「}$ as a third alternative ${ }^{7}$ on account of ta＇burūna including the meaning of some verb which normally governs with $l i$－，as if it had been said，＂if you are accredited for the interpretation of dreams＂．
44 aḍghāthu ahlāmin，i．e．＂these are phantasies of dreams＂，that is to say， ＇confused ones＇．adghäth is the plural of dighth，which originally means＇that which is gathered of all sorts of various plants and bundled up together＇， and hence it comes to be used metaphorically for＇a lying dream＇．The plural is for rhetorical emphasis when applied $\ulcorner$ as here $\urcorner$ to the single dream which is being characterized as false，so that it is like when you say＇so－and－so rides horses＇．${ }^{102}$ Or alternatively，the plural is used because the dream ${ }^{\text {rin }}$ question ${ }^{7}$ included various matters．
wa－mā naḥnu bi－ta＇wīli 1 －aḥlāmi bi－＇ālimina．By＇dreams＇here they imply the specialized meaning＇irrelevant sleep－phantasies＇，i．e．＂we have no interpretation to offer for those＂，for＇interpretation＇is applicable only to truthful dreams．This is as it were a second ground advanced in excuse for their ignorance of its interpretation．
45 minhumà＂of the two prison－companions＂，he being the butler．
wa－ddakara ba＇da ummatin＂he bethought himself of Joseph after a comprehensive gathering of time＂，i．e．＇after a long while＇．There is also a reading immatin＇favour＇，i．e．＂after the favour of deliverance had been bestowed on him＇＇；and a reading amahin＇forgetfulness＇，for amiha ya＇mahu amahan means＇forget＇．This clause is parenthetical，and the content of the actual speech 「begins with the following words－$>$
fa－arsilūni 「＂send me＂${ }^{7}$ ，i．e．to the person who knows about it，「i．e． dream－interpretation ${ }^{7}$ ；or，to the prison．
46 yūsufu so he was sent to Joseph，and came to him and said to him＇Oh Joseph，＇\＆c．＇．＇The adjective s siddìq，which is an intensive form connoting truthfulness，is used here because he had tested Joseph＇s qualities and come to recognize his truthfulness in the interpretation of his own dream and that of his companion 「the baker｀．
aftinā ．．．，i．e．＂「give us a decision ${ }^{\text { }}$ about the dream concerning all that「subject－matter｀＂．
arji＇u ilā 1－nāsi＂return to the king and those with him＂；or alternatively， ＂to the townsfolk＂，for it is said that the prison was not in the town．
la＇allahum ya＇lamūna＂rrecognize＂the interpretation of the dream＂；or else，＂rrecognize ${ }^{7}$ your superiority and your rank＂．The statement is put in the dubitative form 「＇perhaps ．．．＇in both clauses because he was not fully confident of returning（since he might possibly have been cut off＇by death $\urcorner$ before doing so）nor，「consequently，confident ${ }^{7}$ of their knowing．
47 da＇ban＂according to your constant custom＂．The accusative is of the $l_{l} \bar{a} l$ ，since $d a ' b a n$ is equivalent to $d \vec{a} ’ i b \bar{i} n$ ；or else an internal accusative to a suppressed finite verb，e．g．tad＇abüna da＇ban，and 「in that full form ${ }^{7}$ the clause 「consisting of these two words ${ }^{\urcorner}$would have been a hāal．Hafṣ reads $d a^{\prime} a b a n$ ．Both $d a^{\prime} b$ and $d a^{\prime} a b$ are verbal nouns of 「the verb as used in the expression $d a^{\prime} a b a f_{\bar{\imath}} l$－＇amali $\urcorner$＇he was assiduous in work＇．It has been suggested that tazra＇üna is an imperative cast in the form of a statement for rhetorical effect；${ }^{103}$ 「this suggestion being 7 due to the following phrase－
fa－mā haṣadtum fa－dharūhu fī sunbulihi．「This was｀in order that weevils might not eat it．According to the first hypothesis mentioned above ${ }^{〔}$ whereby tazra${ }^{〔} \bar{u} n a$ is a plain future statement ${ }^{7}$ this would be a piece of advice not forming part of the dream－interpretation．
ta＇kulūna 「＂you shall eat＂＂during those years．
48 ya＇kulna mā qaddamtum lahunna，i．e．＂the people living in those ${ }^{\ulcorner }$years’ will eat what you have stored up for those 「years 7 ＂．The years have been made the subject of the verb $\left\ulcorner y a^{\top} k u \ln a^{\urcorner}\right.$metaphorically，by way of assimilat－ ing the thing symbolized ${ }^{「}$ by the dream ${ }^{7}$ to the dream－symbol．${ }^{104}$
tuḥṣinūna＂you will save up＂for seed－corn．
49 yughāthu means＂they will receive rain＂，from the root ghyth；or alternatively＂they will be delivered from famine＂，from the root ghwth．
ya＇ṣirūna 「＂they will press＂？such things as are pressed，e．g．grapes and olives，by reason of the plenteousness of the crops．Alternatively the verb ＇press＇means that they will milk the udders．Hamzah and al－Kisä＇ì read it in the second person，referring par excellence to the inquirer．${ }^{105}$ There is also a reading in the passive form，from the use of＇asara with accusative of person in the sense of＇succour＇；and it could be visualized as being in the active form in the same sense，meaning that God would succour them and
they would succour each other．Alternatively，it might be $\left\ulcorner\right.$ a passive ${ }^{7}$ from the expression a＇ṣarat al－sahābatu＇alaihim＇the clouds poured down $\left\ulcorner\right.$ rain ${ }^{\prime}$ upon them＇，with the verb made directly transitive either by the discarding of the preposition 「‘al $\bar{a}\urcorner,{ }^{106}$ or because it is equivalent in meaning to the「directly transitive7 verb matara．This is a piece of good news which he announced to them after he had interpreted the fat cows and the green ears as symbolizing fruitful years，and the lean cows and dry ears as years of dearth，and the swallowing up of the fat ones by the lean ones as the eating up，during the famine years，of what had been gathered in the fruitful years．He may have known this either by inspiration；or by reason of the fact that the termination of dearth comes about by ${ }^{\text {rthe }}$ advent of 7 fertility；or by the fact it is God＇s way to be lavish with His servants after He has been harsh towards them．

50 wa－qāla $\ulcorner$＂said＂$\urcorner$ after the messenger had brought him the interpreta－ tion．
jā’ahu r＂came to him＂${ }^{\text {T }}$ to release him．
qāla rji＇．．．He hesitated to come out of prison，and gave priority to the inquiry about the women and $\ulcorner$ a call for $\urcorner$ an investigation into their case， in order that his innocence ${ }^{107}$ might be apparent and that it might be known that he had been imprisoned unjustly，and the envious might not be able to use 「his imprisonment ${ }^{\text { }}$ as a means of blackening his reputation．This passage contains an indication that it is appropriate that one should employ one＇s best efforts to repel accusations，and that occasions for suspicion should be avoided．There is a saying of the Prophet，＇Had I been in his place，and remained in prison as long as he did，I would have lost no time in agreeing ‘to come out ${ }^{〔}$＇．It was in order to incite $\lceil$ the king $\urcorner$ to search out and check the facts that Joseph said，＇Ask him what was the matter with the women＇，although he did not 「in so many words＇say，＇Ask him to investigate the case in which they were involved＇．But out of generosity and courtesy he made no allusion to his mistress，in spite of the way she had treated him． For al－niswati there is an alternative reading al－nuswati．
kaidihinna＂「their guile＂when they said to me，＇Obey your mistress＇＂． The＇phrase ${ }^{\text { }}$ implies a sense of horror at their guile and an attestation of God＇s knowledge of it and of the fact that Joseph was innocent of the charge made against him，and a threat to the women on account of their guile．

51 qāla mā khaṭbukunna＂the king said to them，What is your case？＂The word khaṭbun means a matter on which it is proper that the person con－ cerned in it should be＇addressed＇．${ }^{108}$
hāsha lillāhi．「A phrase ${ }^{7}$ declaring 「God＇s ${ }^{\text {「 }}$＇immunity＇ı09 and 「an expression of $\urcorner$ wonder at His power to create a being so chaste as Joseph．
$\min \mathbf{s u}$＇in＂any crime＂．
haṣhaṣa＂is settled and confirmed＂．From the verb haṣhaṣa used of a camel，meaning that it dropped its knees in order to kneel．The poet ${ }^{110}$ says，＇He pressed his knee－pads down against the hard stones of the smooth rocks and staggered heavily up with Salma，then forged ahead＇．Alterna－ tively，＂has appeared＂，from ḥaṣ̣a sha＇rahu meaning＇he shaved his hair all off in such a way that his scalp appeared＇．Also read in the passive．

ṣādiqīna 「＂truthful＂ 7 in his saying，＇She seduced me＇．
52 dhālika li－ya＇lama．This is what Joseph said when the messenger returned to him and told him of the women＇s statement；the meaning is ＂that confirmation is in order that＇Aziz may recognize 「the truth of Joseph＇s account ${ }^{7}$＂．
bil－ghaibi 「either＂＂in absence＂，as a $h \bar{a} l$ referring to the subject or to the object，implying，「if it refers to the subject＂，＂I did not betray him while I was absent from him＂，or，「if referring to the object＂，＂while he was absent from me＂；or else it is an adverbial prepositional phrase implying＂in the unseen place behind the curtains with the doors locked＂．${ }^{\text {II }}$
lā yahdi kaida＂does not permit $「$ the guile of the deceitful＂to succeed and does not direct it＂；or，＂does not guide the deceitful in their guile＂， in which $\ulcorner$ latter $\urcorner$ case＇guile＇is made the ${ }^{\ulcorner\text {direct }\urcorner ~ o b j e c t ~ o f ~ t h e ~ v e r b, ~}\ulcorner$ instead of＇the deceitful＇，＇by a rhetorical trope．The passage contains an oblique reference to Rāīl in connexion with her having deceived her husband， and an affirmation of Joseph＇s own faithfulness；which is why it is followed up by the next words，viz．－

53 wa－mā ubarri＇u nafsī＂I do not clear myself＂，「said＂as an indication that he did not wish by that $\ulcorner$ preceding remark＇to clear himself or to be vain over his condition；but rather to show forth the innocency and grace with which God had favoured him．There is a tradition going back to Ibn＇Abbās that when Joseph said，＇In order that he may know that I did
not betray him＇，Gabriel said to him，＇Not ${ }^{\top} \mathrm{even}^{7}$ when you were minded to do so＇，${ }^{112}$ so Joseph added this ${ }^{「}$ further ${ }^{7}$ remark．
inna l－nafsa la－ammāratun bil－sū̉i ${ }^{\text {r }}$＂the soul is wont to command evil＂ inasmuch as it is naturally inclined to the lusts of the flesh，and pre－ occupies itself with them，and employs the faculties and bodily members in pursuit of them at all times．
illā mā raḥima rabbī＂except at the times of God＇s mercy＂，or＂except for such souls as God has mercy on and keeps them innocent of ${ }^{\text {revil }}$＂． Or，alternatively，it is said that the exception is dissociative，${ }^{113}$ implying ＇but it is God＇s mercy that dissuades from evil＇．According to some com－ mentators the phrase represents what Rā＇il said，and the thing－excepted is the soul of Joseph and those like him．Ibn Kathir and Nāfi have a reading bil－suwwi，with the hamzah changed into waw and assimilation 「of the two resulting $w$＇s ${ }$ ．
ghafūrun rahimun＂He forgives the intention of the soul，and mercifully bestows immunity from sin on whomsoever He wishes＂．Alternatively，＂He forgives anyone who prays for forgiveness for his crime and confesses his fault，and has mercy on him whenever he asks His forgiveness and mercy for what he has committed．＂
54 astakhliṣhu＂and I will make him 「a servant exclusively for myself＂． fa－lammā kallamahu＂when they had brought him and he had talked to him and observed in him ${ }^{\text {「the }}$ qualities of $\urcorner$ probity and sagacity＂．
makinun＂possessed of rank and dignity＂．
aminun＂trusted in everything＂．It is related that when he came out of the prison，he washed and cleaned himself and put on new clothes，and when he entered the king＇s presence，he said，＇Oh God，I ask Thee for somewhat of his good and I take refuge in Thy glory and power from his evil＇．Then he greeted the king and prayed for him in Hebrew．So the king said，＇What is this language＇？and he replied，＇The language of my fathers＇． Now the king was acquainted with seventy languages，and addressed Joseph in them，and Joseph replied to him in all of them so that the king was amazed at him and said，＇I wish to hear my vision from you＇．So Joseph related it and described to him the cows and ears of corn and their mean－ ings，${ }^{114}$ according as the king had seen them．So the king placed him on the throne and deputed his business to him．There is also a tradition that Qitfirir died about that time，and the king appointed Joseph to his office，and
married Joseph to Rāīl，and Joseph found her a virgin，and there were born to him from her Ephraim and Manasseh．
55 qāla j‘alnī＇alā khazā’ini l－arḍi＂appoint me as governor over ${ }^{〔}$ the store－ houses of the land＂＇，the＇land＇being the land of Egypt．
hafizuun＂a guardian＂of them from persons not entitled to them．
＇alimun＂＇knowledgeable＂＇of the proper methods of disposing of them． Perhaps Joseph，when he saw that the king was going to employ him on the royal business，necessarily chose a sphere of action of which the benefits would be general and the results substantial．The passage contains an indication that it is legitimate to seek appointment to office，or announce one＇s readiness to take it，and to accept appointment at the hand of an unbeliever，if it be known that there is no way to establish justice and control the populace except with the backing of the unbeliever．${ }^{「}$ Though ${ }^{7}$ there is a tradition going back to Mujāhid ${ }^{115}$ that the king accepted Islam through Joseph＇s agency．

56 al－arḍi＂the land of Egypt＂．
yatabawwa＇u minhā haithu yashā＇u＂settling wheresoever he desired in the country thereof＂．Ibn Kathir reads ${ }^{「}$ the last verb＂in the first person plural ${ }^{\prime}$ making God the subject，＂We desire＂$\urcorner$ ．
nuṣibu bi－raḥmatinā ${ }^{「 " W e}$ visit with Our mercy＂$\urcorner$ in this world and the next．
wa－lā nựịíu ajra l－muḥsinina＂．．．but rather do we pay their wages in full，both now and hereafter＂．

57 yattaqūna 「＂are fearful＂${ }^{\text {＂}}$ of polytheism and mortal sins．「The reward of the next world is better ${ }^{7}$ because of its splendour and permanence．

58 wa－jā’a ikhwatu Yūsufa．It is said that when the king had made Joseph his vizier，Joseph established justice and strove to make the harvests more abundant and regulate the revenues ${ }^{\lceil }$therefrom ${ }^{\urcorner}$，until the years of scarcity arrived，and famine was general throughout Egypt and Syria and the adjoining regions．The people had recourse to him and he sold the crops first for money，until they had none left；then for ornaments and jewellery； then for animals；then for estates and landed property；then for their personal freedom，until he had enslaved them all．Then he reported the matter to the king，who said，＇Do as you think fit＇．So Joseph freed them and
returned to them their property. Canaan was afflicted with the same disaster as other lands, and Jacob sent his sons, with the exception of Benjamin, to him to buy corn.
'arafahum wa-hum lahu munkirūna "Joseph recognized them, but they did not recognize him" because of the length of time that had elapsed, and their having parted from him when he was young, and their forgetting him and supposing him to have perished; and because of the divergence between the state in which they now saw him and his state when they parted from him; and because they did not observe his personal characteristics closely, on account of $\left\lceil\right.$ their ${ }^{\top}$ awe and respect.
59 jahhazahum bi-jahāzihim "put them straight with their provisions for the journey and loaded their beasts with that for which they had come". jahāz means all such gear as is prepared for transportation, like provisions for a journey, goods carried from one town to another, and a bride's paraphernalia with which she is conducted to her husband. Also read jihāz.
qāla. It is related that when they entered Joseph's presence, he said, 'Who are you and what is your business? Perhaps you are spies', but they replied, 'God forbid; we are the sons of a single father, who is an old man, truthful, and one of the prophets, whose name is Jacob'. He said, 'How many are you?' They replied, 'We were twelve, but one of us went into the desert and perished'. He said, 'How many are you here?' They said, 'Ten'. He asked, 'Then where is the eleventh?' They replied, 'With our father, for him to console himself with for the ‘loss of the ${ }^{7}$ one that perished'. He said, 'Who testifies for you?' They answered, 'Nobody here knows us who can testify for us'. He said, 'Then leave one of yourselves with me as a hostage and bring me your brother from your father in order that I may believe in your honesty'. So they cast lots, and the lot fell on Simeon. Another account is that Joseph was in the habit of giving each individual rof those who came for corn ${ }^{7}$ one load, but they asked him for an extra load for a brother of theirs born of their father. This he gave them, but stipulated to them that they should bring him their brother, that he might know their truthfulness.
ūfir ${ }^{116}$ " ${ }^{\text {tr }}$ that I give full $\left\ulcorner\right.$ measure ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ ".
al-munzilina "rthose who entertain' guests and show hospitality to them". For he had given them excellent entertainment and hospitality.

60 lā taqrabūni＂not come near me nor enter my dwellings＂．It is either a negative imperative，r＂do not ．．．＂，${ }^{7}$ or alternatively a negative statement， r＂you shall not ．．．＂，$\urcorner$ co－ordinate with the apodosis，${ }^{〔}$ la kaila lakum，${ }^{7}$ of the conditional clause．

61 sa－nurāwidu＇anhu abāhu＂we will strive to demand him from his father＇．
la－fá＇ilūna＂$\Gamma$ will surely do ${ }^{7}$ that without being remiss therein＂．
62 li－fityatihi，i．e．to his servants who did the measuring．${ }^{\ulcorner }$It is ${ }^{7}$ plural of fatan． It is read by Hamzah，al－Kisā＇i，and Hafṣ fityānihi in the＇plural of multi－ tude＇in order to suit the following words－${ }^{117}$
ij＇alū．For he had appointed one ${ }^{\ulcorner }$servant ${ }^{\top}$ for each load，to stow away in it their merchandise with which they had bought the corn，which was sandals and leather．Joseph did this out of liberality and generosity towards them，and out of disdain to take the price of the corn from them，and for fear that their father should have nothing left with which they could return again．
la＇allahum ya＇rifūnahā＂perhaps they will know the truth about the return of the merchandise＂；or，＂in order that they may know＇the truth＂＂．＂ 18
idhā nqalabū＂$\Gamma$ when they return to their folk＂and open their saddle bags＂．
la＇allahum yarji＇ūna＂perhaps this knowledge of theirs 「of the truth about the return of the merchandise ${ }^{7}$ will induce them to come back again＂． 63 muni＇a minnā 1－kailu＂it has been decided to withhold the quota here－ after if we do not produce Benjamin＂．
naktal＂we will get the embargo on our quota removed and receive what we need＂．Hamzah and al－Kisā＇ī read it in the third person sing．，the subject in that case being the brother ${ }^{\ulcorner }$Benjamin $\urcorner$，i．e．he will receive his quota for himself，so that his entitlement will be added to ours．
la－ḥāfizūna＂「guardians＂against the possibility of any ill befalling him＂． 64 amintukum ．．．min qablu＂rI trusted you previously＂when you had said about Joseph，＇We are guardians for him＇＂．
fa－llahu khairun hifzan＂so I rely on Him and entrust my affair to Him＂．
wa－huwa arḥamu 1－rāḥimina＂and I hope that He will have mercy on me by protecting Benjamin，and will not cause two disasters to befall me＂．
hifzuan is in the accusative of tamyiz．The reading hāfizan adopted by Hamzah，al－Kisā’ī，and Hafs is susceptible 「also｀of that explanation，or alternatively of being taken as a $h \bar{a} l$ ．The same is the case with the expres－ sion lillähi darruhu färisan＇how splendid is he as a horseman＇．There are also readings khairu haafiẓin and khairu l－häfiẓin．

65 ruddat．Also read as riddat，with the $i$ of the assimilated $d,\ulcorner$ i．e．the second root letter，${ }^{\urcorner}$thrown back on to the ${ }^{\ulcorner }$first radical letter $\urcorner r$ ，as happens in the case of $b_{i}^{-} a$ and qīla．
mā nabghi＂what do we seek？Is there something more than what we have experienced？He has honoured us and given us excellent lodging， and purchased 「our merchandise ${ }^{7}$ from us，and then returned our goods to us 「so what more could we ask ？＇＂Alternatively，＂we do not seek any beneficence beyond that＂；or，＂we do not exceed the bounds in what we say，and do not exaggerate in what we have related to you of his beneficence＂． It is also read in the second person singular，implying＂What do you wish for beyond this in the way of beneficence？＂or，＂．．．in the way of indica－ tion of our truthfulness＂．
hādhihi．A fresh sentence explaining $\ulcorner$ what is implied in $\urcorner$ mā nabghī．
wa－namiru．Co－ordinate with something omitted，e．g．＂rour merchandise＂ has been returned to us，and we can use it for our support and buy corn for our family by returning to the king＂．
naḥfazu＂$r$ safeguard our brother＂from dangers on our outward and return journeys＂．
kaila ba＇irin＂rwe will get in addition＂the load of a camel，by taking this brother of ours with us＂．「This interpretation is valid＂if $m \bar{a}$ 「in $m \bar{a}$ nabgh $\bar{\imath}\urcorner$ is interrogative．If it is negative，that ${ }^{\circ}$ interpretation ${ }^{7}$ is ${ }^{~}{ }^{\text {e equally }}{ }^{7}$ possible，or alternatively the 「three ${ }^{\text { clauses 「from wa－namīru down to }}$ $b a^{〔} \bar{i} r i n$ ？may be co－ordinate with $m \bar{a} n a b g h \bar{\imath}$ ，i．e．＂we are not exceeding the bounds in what we say，and we can get corn for our family，and we will safeguard our brother＂．
kailun yasirun＇a small measure，such as would not suffice us＂．They here speak deprecatingly of what was ${ }^{「}$ on the first occasion ${ }^{7}$ measured out to them，and they wished to double it by returning to the king，and $\ulcorner$ further－ more ${ }^{7}$ to add to it what would be measured out for their brother．There is an alternative possibility that the allusion is to a camel－load $\ulcorner$ as such，and
not the specific amount they had already received＂，i．e．＂that is a small thing over which the king will not be stingy，nor regard it as of much importance＂．According to another view，these words are part of what Jacob said，meaning that a camel－load is an insignificant thing for the like of which a child should not be risked．

66 lan ursilahu＂ I will not send him＂since I have experienced from you what I have＂．
hattā ．．．＂until you give me that on which I can rely from God＂，i．e． a pledge confirmed by the naming of God．
la－ta＇tunnani．Complement of the oath；${ }^{119}$ the meaning being，＂until you swear by God to the effect that you will surely bring him to me＂．
illā．．．＂unless you are constrained by force and have not the power to do so＂；or else，＂except in the event of your all perishing together＂．The exceptive is of the type called mufarragh $\ulcorner$＇voided’ $\urcorner$ ，「the exception being made ${ }^{7}$ from either the most general concept of circumstance，in which case the virtual sense is＂you will surely bring him to me under all circum－ stances except the circumstance of your being overwhelmed＂；${ }^{120}$ or from the most general concept of causation，on the assumption of the word lata＇tunnan $\bar{\imath}$ being taken in the sense of the negative 「of its antithesis ${ }^{7}$ ， i．e．＂you will not refrain from bringing him back，save by reason of your being overwhelmed＂；a similar 「negative implication’ is found in aqsamtu billähi illā fa＇alta meaning＇I do not ask anything save your doing 「so and so ${ }^{7}$ ．${ }^{121}$
mauthiqahum＂their guarantee＂．
mā naqūlu＂$\left\ulcorner\right.$ what we are saying ${ }^{\text {n }}$ in the way of ${ }{ }^{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{my}$＂demanding a guarantee and 「your｀producing it＂．
wakilun＂an informed watcher＂．
67 wa－dkhulū min abwābin．$\ulcorner\mathrm{He}$ advised this $\urcorner$ because they were men of fine and splendid appearance，and well known in Egypt for their intimacy with the king and honourable treatment by him，so Jacob feared，on their account，that they should enter in a single group ${ }^{122}$ and so be subject to the evil eye．He probably did not give them this advice on the former occasion because they were at that time unknown．Alternatively，the motive of this advice was his fear for Benjamin．Effects may be brought about by psycho－ logical causes，one of which is the evil eye．A proof of this lies in the

Prophet saying in his prayer for protection, 'O God, I take refuge in God's perfect words from every evil eye ${ }^{123}$ and from every Satan and creeping thing'. ${ }^{123}$
wa-mā ughnī . . " "rI cannot avail you against God in respect of anything 7 , i.e. in respect of what He has decreed for you, by means of ${ }^{124}$ what I suggest to you; for prudence cannot avert what is fated".
ini l-ḥukmu illā lillāhi "He will smite you, without possibility of evasion, if He has decreed evil for you, and that ${ }^{125}$ will not avail you 「by preventing it'".
wa-'alaihi falyatawakkal. The two particles ${ }^{\ulcorner } w a$ and $\left.f a\right\urcorner$ are here used simultaneously for joining the one sentence to the other, because of $\ulcorner$ the presence of $\urcorner$ the prepositional complement ${ }^{〔}$ alaihi $\urcorner$ preceding $\left\ulcorner\right.$ its verb ${ }^{\urcorner}$ for special emphasis; in effect, the wa serves to join the sentences, while the $f a$ conveys the notion of a cause-and-effect sequence, since the behaviour of the prophets is a reason for one's imitating them. ${ }^{126}$
68 min haithu amarahum, $\ulcorner$ i.e. 7 "by different gates in the city".
mā kāna yughní "rthere did not avail them" Jacob's opinion and their following it".
min allāhi min shai'in "ragainst God in any way, i.e." against His decree for them, just as Jacob had said". For they were in fact treated as thieves and Benjamin was taken because of the finding of the cup in his baggage, and the double misfortune ${ }^{127}$ befell ${ }^{128}$ Jacob.
illā hāajatan. An exceptive of the type called munqati $i^{\text {e }}$ 'dissociated’ ${ }^{7},{ }^{\text {r29 }}$ the implication being "but $\ulcorner$ it was to satisfy" a need in Jacob's soul", namely his solicitude for them and his anxiety lest they should be subjected to the evil eye.
qaḍāhā "which he evinced and for which he made his recommendation".
li-mā 'allamnāhu "rwhat We taught him' by inspiration and by the setting up of evidential proofs"; which is why Jacob said, 'I cannot avail you against God in any way' and was not led astray in his counselling. ${ }^{130}$
là ya'lamūna " $r$ do not know' the secret of $\ulcorner$ God's' decree and the fact that prudence cannot avail against it".
69 āwā ilaihi akhāhu "he annexed Benjamin to himself" at table, or in lodging. It is related that Joseph gave them a feast, and sat them two by two, so that Benjamin remained over by himself. At which Benjamin wept
and said，＇Were my brother Joseph alive，he would have sat with me＇．So Joseph caused Benjamin to sit with him at his own table．Later on，Joseph said，＇Let each pair of you occupy one room，and this one has no partner so he shall be with me＇．So Benjamin passed the night with Joseph．And Joseph said to him，＇Would you like me to be your brother in place of your brother who has perished？＇To which Benjamin replied，＇Who will find a brother like you？But you are not born of Jacob or Rachel．＇
fa－1ā tabta＇is＂so do not grieve＂：eighth form of root $b$＇s．
kānū ya＇malūna＂＇rhave done＂in respect of us＂．
70 al－siqāyata＂the drinking－cup＂．
fí rahli akhïhi．The tradition goes that the drinking－cup was used as a vessel to measure 「the corn’ with．Or according to another account，the camels were watered with it and it was also employed for the measuring． In one tradition it was of silver，in another of gold．A variant reading inserts wa before ja＇ala $\left\ulcorner\right.$ whereby ja＇ala becomes part of the lamm $\bar{a}$ clause and ${ }^{\prime}$ the main clause to which lamm $\bar{a}$ is subordinate is left unexpressed；the virtual sense of such an unexpressed main clause would have been＇he delayed 「doing anything to ${ }^{\text {＇}}$ them until they had departed＇．
adhdhana mu＇adhdhinun＂a crier proclaimed＂．
ayyatuhā ．．．．Possibly the crier did not say this by Joseph＇s order．${ }^{131}$ Or the stowing away of the cup and the hue－and－cry for it were done with the connivance of Benjamin．Other suggestions are that the phrase means＇You are the stealers of Joseph from his father＇，or that it is interrogative，＇Are you thieves？＇The word＇$i r$ means＇caravan＇．Properly speaking it is a term for＇camels which have loads on them＇，inasmuch as these perform the action denoted by the verbal root＇$y r$＇travel back and forth＇．The noun＇$i r$ is then transferred to meaning＇camelteers＇，just as the Prophet said，＇Oh horse 「sc．horsemen｀of God，mount＇．Another explanation is that it is the plural of＇air＇an ass＇，and that its original＇plural form＇would have been of the pattern fu＇ul like suquf，「plural of saqf，$\urcorner$ but has been modified in the same way as $b \bar{i} d\ulcorner$＇with change of damma to kasra by the influence of the $y$ ， since $b \bar{i} d$ is of the pattern $f u^{\bullet} l^{7}$ ；it is used by extension for a caravan of asses，and then tropically for any caravan．${ }^{132}$

71 mā dhā tafqidūna＂what thing have you lost？＂$f q d$ is the absence of a thing from physical perception，in such a way that its place is unknown．

The verb is also vocalized as a fourth form ${ }^{「}$ tufqidūna｀meaning ‘discover a thing to be missing＇．
72 ṣuwā＇a．Also read as scā and scau＇and $s, \bar{u}{ }^{\prime}$ ，and with either＇ain or ghain． șuwägh is from siyäghah＇goldsmith＇s work＇．
himlu ba＇irin＂$\ulcorner$ a camel＇s－load 7 ＇of grain，by way of reward for him＂．
za＇imun＂a guarantor who will pay 「the reward＂over to the person who brings the cup back＂．This passage contains a proof of the licitness of giving a reward，and of guaranteeing the reward before the actual perfor－ mance of the deed $\left\ulcorner\right.$ for which the reward is offered ${ }^{7}$ ．
73 tallāhi．An oath containing the notion of surprise．The $t a\ulcorner$ of swearing $\urcorner$ is a substitute for $b i$ ，employed exclusively with the name of God．
laqad＇alimtum ．．．．The brethren appealed to their $\left\ulcorner\right.$ interlocutors＇${ }^{\prime}$ knowledge of them as testimony to their own innocence，on account of what the Egyptians knew about them on the two occasions of their coming and having audience with the king：$\left\ulcorner\right.$ i．e．things which they knew ${ }^{7}$ such as were indicative of their perfect trustworthiness，like the returning of the goods which had been placed in their baggage，and the muzzling of their beasts so that these should not get at any crops or food belonging to anyone．
74 jazä＇uhu＂the penalty of the thief＂，or＂the penalty of the theft＂，or＂the penalty＇for the theft＇of the cup＂；in the last case with omission of the construct 「word，＇theft＇，which logically governs＇cup＇？．
kādhibina＂$\ulcorner$ lying $\urcorner$ in $\left\ulcorner\right.$ your ${ }^{7}$ claiming to be innocent＂．
75 jazā’uhu man wujida fī rahlihi fa－huwa jazā’uhu＂the penalty for the theft of the cup is the seizure of anyone in whose baggage it is found，and the selling of him as a slave＂．Thus it was in the law of Jacob．The last two words are a reaffirmation of the rule and in order to emphasize its validity．${ }^{133}$ Or alternatively，they are 「either｀the predicate of the man clause，with an introductory fa because the man contains a conditional meaning；or an apodosis to the man $\left\ulcorner\right.$ clause ${ }^{7}$ on the supposition that it is a ${ }^{〔}$ straightforward ${ }^{7}$ conditional．「Under both these latter hypotheses ${ }^{`}$ the ${ }^{\ulcorner }$whole ${ }^{\urcorner}$sentence as it stands＇from man onwards’ is a predicate of which the mubtada＇is the $j a z \vec{a} \cdot u h u\left\ulcorner\right.$ at the beginning of the statement ${ }^{\urcorner}$，while the ${ }^{\ulcorner }$normal referential ${ }^{\top}$ pronoun $\left\ulcorner\right.$ referring back to the mubtada ${ }^{\urcorner}$ๆ has been replaced by an explicit r repetition of the mubtada＇，in the shape of the second $j a z \vec{a} \cdot u h u$ ，so it is
as though one had said，「using the normal referential pronoun，${ }^{7}$＇（As for）the penalty：whoever＇s baggage the cup is found in，he is it＇．${ }^{134}$
al－zālimina 「＂those who do wrong＇＂by theft．
76 bada＇a＂the crier began＂；or according to another interpretation Joseph， since they had＇by now＇been brought back to Egypt．
qabla wi＇ā＇i akhīhi＂rbefore＂Benjamin＇s sack $\urcorner$＂，in order to avoid suspicion．${ }^{135}$
thumma stakhrajahā．The feminine pronoun refers to the word siqāyah ${ }^{\ulcorner } \mathrm{v} .70^{7}$ or to suwwár${ }^{\ulcorner } \mathrm{v} .72^{7}$ which admits of being treated either as mascu－ line or feminine．
wi＇a＇i＇Also read as $w u^{〔} \vec{a} \cdot i$ ，or ${ }^{‘}$ also ${ }^{`}$ with hamzah substituted for the $w$ ， $\left\ulcorner i{ }^{\top} \vec{a} i{ }^{\prime}\right.$ ．
ka－dhālika＂with a contrivance like this＂．
kidnā li－yūsufa＂$r$ did We contrive for Joseph＂by teaching him it and inspiring him with it＂．
al－maliki．The king of Egypt；for the Egyptian king＇s practice was to beat $\left\ulcorner\right.$ a thief ${ }^{7}$ and fine him double what was taken，and not enslavement．The words are an explanation for the contrivance．
illā an yashā＇a llāhu＂rexcept＂on the supposition of His making that practice the practice of the king＇，so that the exception is from the most general concept of circumstance．${ }^{136}$ Alternatively，the exceptive may be munqatic ${ }^{\text {＇}}$ dissociative＇ ，yielding the sense＂but he took him with God＇s will and permission＂．
narfa＇u＂$\ulcorner$ We exalt 7 by knowledge，as We exalted Joseph＇s status＂．
wa－fauqa ．．．＂rabove every possessor of knowledge is＂One more exalted in degree 「of knowledge ${ }^{7}$ than him＂．This passage is used as evidence by those who assert that God is a＇Knower＇in His own essence since ${ }^{\ulcorner }$they say ${ }^{7}$ if He were 「merely＇＇possessed of knowledge＇there would be 「according to this text ${ }^{`}$ above Him one more knowledgeable than Him．The answer ${ }^{「}$ to this ${ }^{7}$ is，that the meaning $\left\ulcorner\right.$ of $\left.d h \bar{u}{ }^{`} i l m i n\right\urcorner$ is＇every creature endowed with knowledge＇，because the context concerns created beings，and because al－＇alim is God，and the proper lexical sense of this form is＇He who has unlimited knowledge＇，and because there is no difference between this expression and one saying＇above all learned men there is a Knower who is pre－eminently so＇．${ }^{137}$

## 77 in yasriq＂$\ulcorner$ if $\urcorner$ Benjamin $\ulcorner$ is a thief $\urcorner$＂．

fa－qad saraqa akhun lahu．They mean Joseph．For it is said that his aunt inherited from her father Abraham＇s girdle；and she used to nurse Joseph and love him，and when he grew up to be a youth，Jacob wished to withdraw him from her influence，so she tied the girdle round his waist and then proclaimed that it had been lost；so a search was made for it，and it was found tied round Joseph，and thereby she became the most entitled to him according to their law．${ }^{138}$ Another story is that Joseph＇s mother＇s father had an idol which Joseph stole，broke，and threw among the carrion．Another， that there was in the house a young she－kid（or，a hen）which he gave away to a beggar．
fa－asarrahā＂hid it and did not disclose it to them＂．The pronoun＇it＇ refers to the reply，or to the speech 「of the brethren＇，or to the imputation of theft to him．Alternatively，it is a $\ulcorner$ forward $\urcorner$ alluding pronoun conditioned by a 「subsequent ${ }^{\urcorner}$explanation，and it is explained by the ${ }^{\text {rfollowing }}{ }^{\urcorner}$ phrase，qäla antum sharrun makānan，for this is a badal of asarrahā，the meaning of it being＂he said within himself，you are worse off in position＂， i．e．＂in position as regards theft，on account of your having stolen your brother＂，or alternatively＂「worse off in position 7 as regards evil behaviour than you were before＂．「According to this view，whereby the $-h \bar{a}$ is a forward－looking allusion，${ }^{7}$ the feminine gender of the pronoun is in reference to $\ulcorner$ the concept of $\urcorner$＇word＇or＇sentence＇．This，however，is disputable，since the only sort of pronoun explained 「subsequently ${ }^{\text { }}$ by a sentence is the damīr al－sha＇n．${ }^{139}$
wa－llāhu a＇lamu＂and He knows that the matter is not as they describe＂． 78 kabiran 「＂great＂ 7 in age or in rank．They here mention 「Jacob＇s＂ condition in order to win Joseph＇s sympathy for him．
makānahu＂instead of him，for his father is 「already＂bereaved of his dead brother，and deeply attached to him＂．
al－muḥsinina＂rthose who do good＂to us，so make your goodness complete＂；or，＂rthose who habitually do good＂，so do not alter your custom＂．

79 qāla ma‘ādha llāhi ．．．＂for the taking of another would be wrong， according to your own dictum，so that if we were to take $\left\ulcorner\right.$ another ${ }^{7}$ one of you in his place，then－＂
innā idhan la－zālimūna＂rwe would then indeed be wrongdoers＂by your code＂．Furthermore，「Joseph＇s＇implication is，＇God has permitted the taking of the one in whose baggage we found the cup，for the sake of his advantage and His own good－pleasure in him；so that if I were to take another，I would be a wrong－doer＇．
$\mathbf{8 0}$ fa－lammā stai＇asū＂$\left\ulcorner\right.$ when they ${ }^{\text { }}$ despaired of $\ulcorner$ rebutting $\urcorner$ Joseph and the way he answered them＂．The tenth form is used for rhetorical emphasis．
khalaṣū＂they departed and retired＂．
najīyan＂whispering together＂．The singular is used because it is a masdar；or by virtue of its structural pattern $\left\ulcorner f a{ }^{〔} \bar{\imath} l\right\urcorner$ ，as one says hum ṣadīqun＇they are friends＇．${ }^{140}$ The 「ordinary＇plural of it is anjiyah，like nadī：andiyah．
kabiruhum r＂senior of them＂${ }^{\prime}$ in age，who was Reuben．Alternatively， $\ulcorner$ senior $\urcorner$ in good sense，who was Simeon；or according to others，Judah．
mauthiqan＂a firm undertaking＇．The oath they swore by God is here called a＇guarantee from Him＇because it occurred with permission from Him and confirmation on His part．
min qablu mā faraṭtum fī yūsufa．「The verb means＂＂you transgressed in connexion with him＂．「The analysis of the sentence－structure is：7
（I）「either ${ }^{\urcorner}$the $m \bar{a}$ is otiose；
（II）or it is possibly the mā masdarīyah，standing
（a）$\ulcorner$ either $\urcorner$ in place of an accusative $\ulcorner$ which is $\urcorner$
$\left(a^{i}\right)\ulcorner$ either $\urcorner$ co－ordinate with the first object of＇you know＇，${ }^{\prime}$ namely the sentence anna ．．． ，since there is no objection to interpos－ ing the temporal expression 「min qablu｀between the two co－ordinate terms；
$\left(a^{\text {ii }}\right)$ or ${ }^{「 c o-o r d i n a t e ~}{ }^{7}$ with the subject following anna $\ulcorner$ thus making the $m \bar{a}$ clause depend on the $a n n a\urcorner$ ，in which case the predi－ cate $\ulcorner$ of $m \bar{a}$ farattum $=$ tafriṭakum $\urcorner$ is
（ $a^{\mathrm{i} / \mathrm{I}}$ ）「either ${ }^{7}$＇in respect of Joseph＇， （ $a^{\mathrm{i} / 2}$ ）or，＇aforetime＇；
（b）or，in place of a nominative，being the subject of a fresh sentence， with＇aforetime＇as its predicate；but this is questionable， because $q a b l$ ，if it is a predicate or verbal complement，ought
not to be divorced from its dependent genitive，so as not to be defective；${ }^{141,142}$
（III）or，it is the relative ma $\bar{a}$ ，giving the sense＇that wherein you have transgressed＇，equivalent to＇the crime you previously committed in respect of him＇；${ }^{\circ}$ in this case ${ }^{7}$ its position ${ }^{\text {r }}$ in relation to the whole sentence ${ }^{7}$ is the same as has been described above runder II，the case of the ma being taken as masdariyah？．
fa－lan abraḥa 1－arḍa＂I will not leave the land of Egypt＂．
ya＇dhana lī＂rpermit me＂to return＂．
au yahkuma llāhu＂or decrees for me 「either＂departure from it，or the release of my brother from them，or a struggle with them for his release＂． It is related that they spoke to al－＇Azīz about freeing $\left.{ }^{〔} B e n j a m i n\right\urcorner$ and Reuben said，＇Oh king，by God you shall let us go，or I will raise a clamour such as will cause pregnant women to give birth prematurely＇，and the hairs of his body stood on end and protruded through his clothes．So Joseph said to his son，＇Go up beside him and stroke him＇；for whenever one of the sons of Jacob was angry and another stroked him，his anger would vanish． Then Reuben said，＇Who is this？Surely there is in this land one of the seed of Jacob．＇
khairu 1－hāakimina since His decree is always with justice．
81 saraqa＂r has stolen＂according to what we have observed of the apparent facts＂．There is a variant reading surriqa，i．e．＂has been accused of theft＂．
shahidnā＂$r$ witnessed ${ }^{7}$ against him＂．
illā bi－mā＇alimnā＂rexcept in what we have known＂inasmuch as we saw that the cup was produced from his baggage＂．
lil－ghaibi＂the inner truth of the matter＂．
hāfizịina＂rwe were not aware of the facts，＂so that we do not know that he stole＂；or，＂rthat he＇was 「falsely＂accused of theft and the cup was planted in his baggage＂．${ }^{143}$ Or，＂we were not aware of the outcome，so that we did not know，when we gave you the pledge，that he would steal＂； or，＂that you would be afflicted in him as you were in Joseph＂．
82 al－qaryata．They mean Egypt，or else some town nearby，in which the crier caught up with them．The implication is，＇Send to the people of the town and ask them about the story＇．
wa－1－ira ．．．＂and the camelteers among whom we travelled and with whom we were＂．
wa－innā la－ṣādiqūna．Reinforced statement in place of the oath．
83 qāla bal ．．．，i．e．when they returned to their father and told him what their brother had said to them，he rejoined，＂Nay，「your souls＂have made attractive and facile to you－
amran＂$\ulcorner$ a matter＂which you have desired and determined on；other－ wise how did the king know that the thief would be taken with his stolen property ？＂${ }^{\text {I44 }}$
fa－sabrun jamilun，i．e．＂my course is fair patience＂or＂fair patience is best＂．
bihim．「＇Them＇means＇Joseph and Benjamin and their brother who stayed behind in Egypt．
al－alimu＂「aware＂of my condition and theirs＂．
al－hakimu 「＂wise＂$]$ in His disposing．
84 wa－tawalla＇anhum＂he turned away from them in disgust at what he had met with from them＂．
yā asafā，i．e．＂Oh grief，come on，for this is thy time＂．The root＇sf denotes the severest kind of grief and sorrow．The 「terminal ${ }^{7}$ alif stands in place of the first person pronoun affix．${ }^{145}$ His grieving over Joseph，to the exclusion of his two brothers，although the occurrence was a calamity for them 「too｀，was because the calamity which 「originally｀overtook Joseph was the root cause of 「all the misfortunes and 「because ${ }^{7}$ Joseph was a tender youth，deeply entrenched in his 「father＇s｀affections；moreover because he felt sure of the 「other｀two being still alive，but not of Joseph＇s still living．In the corpus of tradition is 「the saying｀，＇No community has
 ＂We belong to God and to Him we return＂，except the community of Muhammad＇；for notice that Jacob，when he suffered his misfortune，did not use the＇return＇formula，but said，＇Oh grief＇．
wa－byaḍat．By reason of his frequent weeping for grief，so that it was as though the tears had obliterated the pupil of the eyes．Another explanation is that his vision became dim，or according to others，blind．An alternative reading is min al－hazani．This passage contains an indication that it is legitimate to grieve and weep when in distress．For such manifestations of
feeling are probably not to be included in the sphere of moral responsibility， since so few men can restrain themselves in adversity．Indeed，the Prophet wept over ${ }^{〔}$ the death of ${ }^{7}$ his son Ibrahim，and said，＇The heart aches and the eye weeps yet we do not speak $\ulcorner$ any word $\urcorner$ which would incur God＇s wrath， though we are grieved for you，oh Ibrahim＇．
kaẓimun＂full of wrath＂against his sons，but restraining it in his heart and not making it manifest．The form is $f a^{\circ} l$ in the passive sense，as in the Quranic passage 「S．lxviii．48’ wa－huwa makzūmun 「＂he being full of sup－
 fastened up the waterskin on its full contents＇；alternatively in the active sense，as in $\ulcorner$ S．iii．128／134｀al－kāzimina l－ghaiza，based on the expression kazama l－ghaiza meaning＇he swallowed down his wrath＇，of which the origin is the phrase＇the camel kazama his cud＇meaning＇he turned it over in his stomach＇．

85 tallāhi tafta＇u tadhkuru yūsufa，i．e．＂you will not give over nor cease mentioning him，out of distress over him＂．The negative is omitted，as in ＇I said，surely by God，I will 「not’ cease sitting＇，because 「this form of expression ${ }^{7}$ cannot be confused with the affirmative form，since the oath always has the negative meaning unless it is accompanied by the mark of the affirmative 「la－7．${ }^{146}$
haradan＂sick and nigh unto death＂．It is also said that harad means the person whom worry or sickness has wasted away．The word is originally a masdar，for which reason it has no $\left\ulcorner\right.$ distinctive ${ }^{7}$ feminine or plural form． The adjectival form is vocalized harid（compare danaf and danif）which is a variant reading in the Quranic text here．Another alternative reading is hurud．
al－hālikina＂the dead＂．
86 baththi＂my anxiety which I cannot endure＂．From baththa meaning ＇disperse＇．${ }^{147}$
ilā llāhi＂not to anyone of you nor to any 「human being＂other than you； so leave me alone with my complaining 「to God ${ }^{7}$＂．
mina llähi＂from His providence and mercy and the fact that He will not disappoint one who prays to Him nor desert one who takes refuge in Him＂．Alternatively，＂from God by a species of inspiration＂．
mā là ta＇lamūna＂＂what you do not know＂？about Joseph＇s being alive．

For it is said that he saw the angel of death in a dream and asked him about Joseph and the angel said，＇He is alive＇．Another account is that he knew from Joseph＇s 「original｀dream that Joseph would not die until his brothers fell down before him in prostration．
87 tahassasū min yūsufa wa－akhīhi＂inquire for them and discover their present condition＂．tahassasa means＇to seek out concrete evidence＇．
wa－lā tai＇asū min rauḥi llāhi＂don＇t despair of His deliverance and consolation＂．There is an alternative reading min rūhi llähi，i．e．＂of His mercy with which He invigorates mankind＂．
al－kāfirūna＂rthose who disbelieve＂in God and His attributes＂；for one who recognizes ${ }^{〔}$ them $\urcorner$ will not despair of God＇s mercy in any circum－ stances．${ }^{148}$
88 lammā dakhal̄̄＇after they had returned to Egypt a second time＂．
al－durru＂the extremity of hunger＂．
muzjātin＂worthless＂，or＂scanty＂，such as is refused and rejected out of aversion from it；it is from the fourth form $a j z \bar{a}$ with accusative，meaning ＇he repelled it＇．From the same root comes the second form used in the expression tazjizyat al－zamān＇passing the time away＇．It is said that the ＇wares＇in question were spurious dirhams；${ }^{149}$ or alternatively wool and fat；or alternatively pine－nuts and vegetable seed；or alternatively cheese and $d o m$－fruit cake．${ }^{150}$
aufi＂give us full measure＂．
wa－taṣaddaq 「＂be generous＂］by returning our brother to us；or，by behaving kindly and accepting the worthless scanty ${ }^{\ulcorner }$wares $\urcorner$；or，by giving more than they are worth．There is a difference of opinion as to whether the religious sanction on the subject of giving ssadaqah applied generally to the prophets，or only particularly to our Prophet．${ }^{151}$
yajzi＂「requites＂with the best of requital＂．taṣadduq means 「originally＂ ＇beneficence＇in the widest sense；hence the Prophet＇s remark，on the subject of shortening the prayer－ritual，${ }^{152}$＇This is a benefit which God has conferred on you，so accept His beneficence＇．But it has acquired in common usage the specialized meaning of＇something in exchange for which one desires God＇s reward＇．
89 hal＇alimtum ．．．＂do you realize the vileness of rwhat you did to Joseph and his brother ${ }^{7}$ ，and repent of it？＂What they did with his brother
was to separate him from Joseph and humiliate him to such an extent that he could only speak to them with submission and humbleness．
jāhilūna＂「ignorant＇of its vileness，which was why you committed it＂． Or，＂rignorant＇of its outcome＂．This he said by way of exhortation to them and encouragement to repentance，and of pity for them because of what he saw of their wretchedness and destitution；not by way of reproach and upbraiding．It is said that they handed him Jacob’s letter ${ }^{「}$ asking ${ }^{7}$ for the release of Benjamin，and described to him Jacob＇s sorrow over the loss of Joseph and his brother；whereupon Joseph uttered the ${ }^{〔}$ words in the text ${ }^{7}$ to them．He spoke of them as ignorant because their deed was the deed of ignorant persons，or because they had been，at that time ${ }^{\ulcorner }$when they sold Joseph ${ }^{7}$ ，irresponsible youths．
90 a＇innaka la－anta yūsufu．Interrogative 「form implying an ${ }^{\text {ºsssertion，}}{ }^{153}$ which is why it is confirmed with the use of inna and the insertion of the la－．But Ibn Kathir reads it as a simple affirmation．${ }^{154}$ It is said that they recognized him by his features and disposition when he made this speech to them．According to others，he smiled，and they recognized him by his teeth．Others say，he removed the diadem from his head and they saw a mark on his temple resembling a white mole，and Sarah and Jacob had had a similar one．
akhi＂my full－brother＂．He mentioned him in order 「both＂to make himself known，and to add dignity to Benjamin＇s status，and in order to include Benjamin in his next following words－
qad manna llāhu＇alainā $\Gamma$＂＇God has been gracious to us＂${ }^{\prime}$ by deliverance and honour．
yattaqi＂fears God＂．
yasbur r＂is patient＂ 7 in the face of trials；or，in acts of obedience and the avoidance of acts of rebellion $\ulcorner$ against Him．
al－muḥsinina．The $\ulcorner$ explicit word $\urcorner$ muhbininna is put instead of a $\ulcorner$ referen－ tial ${ }^{7}$ pronoun ${ }^{\text {「going back to } m a n\urcorner \text { in order to indicate that the＇well－doer＇}}$ is he who is at the same time God－fearing and patient．
91 ātharaka llāhu＇alainā＂r G God 7 has chosen you in preference to us＂in respect of beauty of form and perfection of character．
wa－in kunnā la－khāti＇ina＂the fact is that our position is that we were sinners in what we did with you＂．${ }^{155}$

92 lā tathriba＇alaikum＇there is no reproach against you＂．「It is＇a second form verb derived from tharb which is＇the fat covering the stomach＇；「the second form here being used 7 for a privative sense as in tajlid＇skinning＇，「the removal of the jild＇skin＇ๆ．「tathrīb＇is then used metaphorically for reproach which rends one＇s honour and destroys one＇s dignity．
al－yauma．Dependent 「either， i$\urcorner$ on＇reproach＇；or $\ulcorner\mathrm{ii}\urcorner$ on the implied $\ulcorner$ verbal notion $\urcorner$ belonging to the preposition $\left.{ }^{`} a l \bar{a}\right\urcorner$ which stands as predicate to $l \bar{a}$ tathrìba；the meaning being＇I do not reproach you today，when it would be most expected，so how much less 「would I do so ${ }^{7}$ at other times＇； or $\left\ulcorner\right.$ iii ${ }^{\circ}$ on the following verb 「yaghfiru ${ }^{1} .^{156}$
yaghfiru llāhu lakum．${ }^{157}$ 「Joseph said this ${ }^{7}$ because he pardoned their crime at that time and they had confessed it．
wa－huwa arhamu 1－rāḥimina＂for He forgives both lesser and greater sins and is gracious to the penitent＂．It is 「an instance of $\urcorner$ Joseph’s generous nature that when they had recognized him they sent to him saying，＇You invite us morning and evening to eat with you，but we are shy of you because of our transgression in respect of you＇．But he replied，＇The Egyptians used to look at me at first and say，＂Good God！158 That He should have brought a slave who was sold for twenty dirhams to this position！＂But ${ }^{\ulcorner }$now ${ }^{\urcorner}$I have been honoured by you and been made to seem important in their eyes because they realize that you are my brothers and that I am ${ }^{\text {c }}$ consequently ${ }^{7}$ one of the offspring of Abraham．＇
93 qamīṣì hādhā．The shirt which he was wearing；or，the shirt which he had inherited and which was in the amulet．${ }^{159}$
ya＇ti baṣiran＇he will once again become possessed of sight＂．
wa－＇tūni．「Addressed to＂＇you and my father＂．
ajma＇ina＂along with your women，children，and adherents＂．
94 lammā faṣalat＂rwhen the caravan left＇from Egypt and went out from its territory＂．
qāla abūhum＂r their father said＂to those who were with him＂．
ajidu rịha yūsufa．God caused him to scent that of Joseph＇s smell which attached to his shirt，when Judah brought it to him，from 80 leagues away．
tufannidūni＂ascribe dotage to me＂．「Dotage＂is deficiency of intellect arising from old age．Consequently，one does not talk about a＇doting old woman＇because deficiency of intellect is an essential characteristic of a
woman．${ }^{160}$ The apodosis of laulla an＇were it not that＇is suppressed，${ }^{161}$ but the implied apodosis is＇you would believe me＇or＇I would say that Joseph is near by＇．

95 qālū＂those who were present ${ }^{\text {s }}$ said $\urcorner$＂．
la－fí dalalika 1－qadimi＂in your divagation from correctness as of old＂， in excessive love of Joseph and overmuch remembering of him and expectation of meeting him．

96 al－bashiru．Judah．There is a story that he said，＇As I grieved him by bringing to him the shirt smeared with blood，so I will rejoice him by bringing him this＇．
alqāhu＂the messenger threw the shirt over Jacob＇s face＂；or，Jacob himself $\left\ulcorner\right.$ did so ${ }^{\circ}$ ．
fa－rtadda baṣiran＂and he became again possessed of sight＂by reason of the strength which was freshly infused into him．
97 mā lā ta＇lamūna．About Joseph being alive and ${ }^{「}$ God＇s ${ }^{7}$ sending down of joy．Alternatively，innī $a^{*} l a m u$ is a fresh independent statement，while the utterance 「to which Jacob refers in his words＇Did I not say？’’ was＇Do not despair of God＇s spirit＇or＇I perceive the smell of Joseph＇．
98 qālū．．．．One who acknowledges his fault has the right of being par－ doned ${ }^{〔}$ by the person to whom he acknowledges $\mathrm{it}{ }^{7}$ and of having ${ }^{\ulcorner }$God＇s ${ }^{\top}$ forgiveness asked for 「by that person’ on his behalf．
99 saufa astaghfiru．「The explicit future implied in saufa indicates that ${ }^{7}$ Jacob postponed the asking of forgiveness until the morning，or until the night prayer，or until the ${ }^{\text {next }}{ }^{7}$ Friday eve，looking for the time when prayer is 「most likely to be ${ }^{〔}$ answered．Alternatively，「he postponed it $\urcorner$ until he could seek sanction from Joseph for this action on their behalf；or，「he postponed it until＇he should know that Joseph had pardoned them； for the pardon of the wronged person is a condition of divine forgiveness． This last view is supported ${ }^{162}$ by the story that Jacob stood up to pray facing the qiblah，and Joseph stood behind him saying＇Amen＇，and the brothers stood behind the two of them in humble submissiveness，until Gabriel descended and said，＇God has answered your prayer in respect of your sons，and has covenanted with them for ${ }^{〔}$ their ${ }^{7}$ prophethood after
you＇．If this is true，it is a proof of their prophetic status，and of the fact that「the crime ${ }^{7}$ they had committed was before their elevation to that status．

100 fa－lammā dakhalū．It is related that Joseph sent Jacob riding beasts and equipment with which to furnish himself and those with him for the journey to him；and Joseph and the king，with the people of Egypt， welcomed him．Jacob＇s children who entered Egypt with him were 72 persons，men and women．When they went out with Moses they were 600,570 odd men，apart from children and aged folk．
āwā ilaihi＂Joseph clasped and embraced his father and maternal aunt＂．${ }^{163}$ The latter he treated as a mother 「either｀in the same way as an uncle is treated as a father in the Quranic text ${ }^{\top}$ S．ii．127／1337＂and the God of your fathers Abraham，Ishmael，and Isaac＂；or else because Jacob married her after Joseph＇s own mother．$\upharpoonright$ Furthermore ${ }^{`}$ ，any woman who brings up a child may be addressed as＇mother＇．
āminina＂＂safe＂ 7 from famine and all sorts of misfortune．The＇if God will＇formula depends on 「the idea of 7 entering modified by＇in safety＇．${ }^{164}$ The first entry was at a place outside the town，when he welcomed them．${ }^{165}$
101 sujjadan ＂＂bowing down＂${ }^{7}$ in greeting and honour to him；for prostra－ tion by their custom served for that purpose．Alternatively，the meaning is ＂they fell down on his account prostrate to God in thanks＂．Alternatively， the pronoun 「in＇to him＇ refers to God．The plural subject 「of＇they fell down＇ refers to Joseph＇s parents and brothers．The＇raising up＇came later「in time＇than the＇falling down＇，although it is placed in front of it in word－「order｀，because the main concern 「of the passage’ is with his honouring them both．
ru＇yāya＂rmy dream＂which I saw in boyhood days＂．
haqqan＂truth＂．
idh akhrajanī mina 1 －sijni．Joseph made no mention of the ${ }^{\ulcorner }$deliverance from the ${ }^{7}$ pit，lest it should be 「taken as＇a reproach to them．
mina l－badwi＂from the desert＂，because they had been cattle owners and desert folk．
naza＇a＂caused dissension between us and exacerbated our relationship＂．「This use of the verb＇naza＇a comes from the expression＇the breaker－in naza＇a the beast＇，meaning that he goaded it and urged it on to run．
laṭifun li－mā yashā＇u＂subtle in disposing what He wills＂；for there is nothing so difficult but that He executes His will in it and it becomes pliant to His will．
al－＇alimu＂「having knowledge of $\urcorner$ all kinds of providential orderings and dispositions＂．
al－hakimu＂who does everything in its right time in a manner such as wisdom dictates＂．It is said that Joseph took his father round his treasury， and when Jacob saw the storehouse of papyri，he said，＇ My son，how undutiful you are：you have these papyri in your possession yet you never wrote to me at a distance of ‘only｀eight days＇journey＇．Joseph replied， ＇Gabriel bade me 「not to＇＇．＇Will you not ask him 「why＇？＇inquired Jacob． ＇You are easier 「in approach＇to him than I＇，said Joseph．So Jacob asked him，and Gabriel said，＇God commanded me so，because of your saying ＂I fear that the wolf will eat him＂；God said，＂Why did you not fear Me？＂＇．${ }^{166}$

102 mina 1 －mulki＂somewhat of kingship＂，namely kingship of Egypt．
al－ahāaithi＂books＂，or＂vision 「of dreams＇＂．The min 「before ta＇wuil＂ is partitive 「meaning＇somewhat of＇$\urcorner$ as well $\left\ulcorner\right.$ as the one before al－mulki ${ }^{\top}$ ， since Joseph was not vouchsafed the whole of dream interpretation．
fātira 1－samawāti wal－arḍi＂Creator＂of them both．The accusative is「used ${ }^{7}$ because it is an epithet to a vocative 「namely $r a b b i{ }^{7}$ ；or it is a vocative in its own right．${ }^{167}$
walìyi＂my helper and the supporter of my whole being＂．
fī 1－dunyà wa－1－ākhirati＂He supports me with grace in both of them＂． tawaffani＂take me rto Thyself 7 ＂．
wa－alhiqnīi bi－1－ṣālihịna＂「with the just ones？among my ancestors＂，or， ＂with the whole company of the just，「join me ${ }^{7}$ in rank and honour＂．It is said that Jacob stayed with him twenty－four years and then died，and directed that he should be buried in Syria beside his father；so Joseph took him and buried him there，then returned and survived after him for twenty－three years，after which his soul yearned for the eternal kingdom， and he desired death，and God gathered him righteous and pure to Himself．And the Egyptians disputed about his burial－place until they were on the verge of fighting，so they decided to place him in a marble sarcophagus and bury him in the Nile in such a way that the water would
pass over him and thereafter reach $\ulcorner$ all $\urcorner$ Egypt so that they should $\ulcorner$ all $\urcorner$ be on an equal footing in regard to him．${ }^{168}$ Later Moses transported him to the burial－place of his ancestors．His age was 120 ．There were born to him，from Rā̄īl，Ephraim and Manasseh，who was the ancestor of Joshua son of Nun and of Rahmah wife of Job．

103 dhālika．A reference to what has been mentioned of the tale of Joseph． The person addressed is the Apostle．The word is a mubtada＇of＇the pre－ dicates expressed in the following words，viz．－ 7
min ．．．ilaika．${ }^{\ulcorner }$These words constitute $\urcorner$two $\ulcorner$parallel $\urcorner$predicates to the word dhälika，「one being min anbā＇i l－ghaibi，the other nūhīhi ilaika，where the $-h i$ is the referential pronoun to the mubtada＇，dhālika ${ }^{7}$ ．
wa－mā kuntum ．．．This constitutes as it were a proof of the two「preceding predicative statements＂；for the meaning is＂this tale is a hidden thing about which you did not know otherwise than by revelation， since you were not present with Joseph＇s brethren when they decided on what they proposed to do with him，namely that they should put him in the bottom of the pit，「thereby ${ }^{7}$ plotting against him and against his father in order that the latter might send him with them；for it is a well－known fact，not concealed from the people who call you a liar，that you never met anyone who had heard that tale so that you could have learnt it from him＂． But this 「latter $\urcorner$ portion 「of the chain of argument ${ }^{7}$ has been omitted as being superfluous in view of its having been mentioned elsewhere than in this particular narrative，as in 「S．xi．5r／497＂Neither you nor your people knew it heretofore＂．
wa－lau haraṣta＂reven if you were to be anxious＂for their faith，and be strenuous in displaying the signs to them＂．
bi－mu＇minina＂rare not believers＂because of their hardheartedness and intransigence in unbelief＂．
104 ＇alaihi＂for the information＂，or，＂for the Qur＇ān＂．
min ajrin＂$\ulcorner$ you do not seek $\urcorner$ any reward as do $\ulcorner$ professional $\urcorner$ storytellers＂．
dhikrun＂a warning from God＂．
li－1－‘alamina＂r to the worlds＂in general＂．
105 wa－ka－ayyin min āyatin＂how many a sign＂．The meaning is，＂What－ ever number you choose of conclusive proofs to the Creator＇s existence and wisdom and the perfection of His power and unity＇．
yamurrūna＇alaihā＂rthey pass＂by the signs and observe them＂．
wa－hum＇anhā mu＇rị̣ūna＂paying no attention to them and not regard－ ing them＂．

There is ${ }^{〔} \mathrm{also}^{7}$ a reading with al－ardu in the nominative，taking it as a mubtada＇of which the predicate is yamurrūna，in which case the pro－ noun in＇alaih $\bar{a}$ would refer back to al－ardu．Another alternative reading is al－arda in the accusative，implying＇they tread the earth＇．${ }^{169}$ And another， wal－ardu yamshīna＇alaihā．「All these imply，＂they go to and fro on the earth and behold the traces of the peoples who have perished＂．${ }^{170}$
106 wa－mā yu＇minu＂$r$ do not believe ${ }^{7}$ in respect of their confessing His existence and His status as Creator＂．
mushrikūna r＂polytheists＂${ }^{\text {r＂}}$ by virtue of worshipping others than Him $\ulcorner$ as do the pagans $\urcorner$ ，or by taking the Doctors of the Law as lords $\ulcorner$ as the Jews $\urcorner$ ，or attributing to Him the begetting of offspring 「as the Christians ${ }^{7}$ ， or by believing in the ${ }^{\ulcorner }$principles of $\urcorner$light and darkness $\ulcorner$as the Zoroastrians $\urcorner$， or by looking for causes $\ulcorner$ as the Hellenistic philosophers $\urcorner$ ，\＆c．Some say the verse alludes to the polytheists of Mecca，others to the Hypocrites 「of Medina ${ }^{7}$ ，others to the People of the Book，「Jews and Christians？．
107 ghāshiyatun＂a punishment which will come suddenly upon them and include them all＂．
baghtatan＂unexpectedly，without previous intimation＂．
lā yash＇urūna＂runaware ${ }^{7}$ of its coming and unprepared for it＂．
108 hādhihi sabili implying，the summons to monotheism and the pre－ paration for the latter end．Consequently，the＇way＇is explained by the following phrase，namely ad＇ū ilā llāhi，though an alternative view is that this is a $h \vec{a} l$ of which the s sāhib is the first person pronoun affix $\ulcorner$ in sabīl $\bar{\imath}$ ． baṣiratin＂logical demonstration and clear proof，not blind $\ulcorner\operatorname{dicta} 7$＂．
anā．Emphasizing the subject－pronoun implied in $a d^{c} \bar{u}$ ，or ${ }^{\ulcorner }$the pronoun implied in＇＇alā bașiratin，because this phrase is a hāl depending on ade $\bar{u} .{ }^{171}$ Alternatively，$a n \bar{a}$ is a mubtada＇of which the predicate is＇ala basiratin．

## wa－man．Co－ordinate with anā．

wa－mā anā mina l－mushrikina＂and I declare Him to be absolutely devoid of partners＂．
109 wa－mā arsalnā ．．illā rijālan．A refutation of their saying $\left\ulcorner\right.$ S．xxiii． $24^{\urcorner}$
＂Had our Lord wished，He would have sent down angels＂．Others say it implies a denial of the employment of women as prophets．
yūḥā ilaihim＂just as inspiration has been granted to you；whereby they have been distinguished from other men＂．Hafs read this expression as nūh̄̄̄＇We inspire＇throughout the Qur＇ān；Hamzah and al－Kisā’ī agree with him in reading it in S．xxi．$\ulcorner 7\urcorner$ ．
ahli 1－qurā．Because the people of towns are better instructed and more civilized than the desert dwellers．
alladhina min qablihim＂consisting in those who treated the apostles and signs as lying＂，「i．e．＂Have they not observed the latter end of such people？ and consequently been chary of calling you a liar？＂Alternatively，＂consist－ ing in those who are wrapped up in worldly affairs and passionately addicted to them 「－have they not observed the latter end of such ${ }^{7}$ and conse－ quently given up the love of the world？＂．
dāru 1－ākhirati＂the dwelling of the state（or hour，or life）to come＂．
ittaqau＂rhave feared＂polytheism and rebellious acts＂．
ya＇qilūna＂employ their intellects in order to realize that the life to come is better＂．Nāf＇and Ibn＇Āmir and＇Āṣim and Ya＇qūb read it in the second person，in conformity with＇Say，this is my path＇，i．e．＂Say to them， Will you not understand？＂

110 hattā idhā．「This＇until＇indicates’ the terminal point of a 「process of which the description is $\urcorner$ omitted，to $\upharpoonright$ the sense of $\urcorner$ which the context points； i．e．＂Let their length of days not deceive them，for peoples before them have been granted long respite 「from destruction｀until the prophets despaired of overcoming them in this world，or of their believing，$\ulcorner a$ despair ${ }^{7}$ due to these people＇s being deeply sunk in unbelief，luxuriating and persevering in it without anyone to restrain them＂．
qad kudhibū＂their souls had deceived them in telling them that they would be victorious＂；or，＂the folk had deceived them in promising to believe＂．According to another view，the 「subject－｀pronoun 「of $\underset{\sim}{\square} a n n \bar{u}\urcorner$ refers to the people to whom the message was sent，i．e．those to whom the message was sent thought that the prophets had lied to them in the call and the monition．Another view is that the first ${ }^{〔}$ verb ${ }^{\prime}$ refers to those to whom the message was sent and the second 「verb＂to the prophets，i．e．＂they thought that the prophets had been deceived and frustrated of the victory
promised to them，and 「that ${ }^{7}$ their ideas were confused＂．If the interpreta－ tion cited on the authority of Ibn＇Abbās，${ }^{172}$ that the prophets thought they had been frustrated of God＇s promise of victory to them，is correct，then the text means by zann something that occurs to the mind by way of rthe devil＇s ${ }^{7}$ insinuation．${ }^{173}$ At all events，the expression implies an extreme degree of dejection and sense of hope deferred，depicted under the form of an illustration．Readers other than the Kufans read $\ulcorner k u d h d h i b \bar{u}\urcorner$ in the second form，i．e．＂the prophets thought that the folk regarded them as liars in the monitions they addressed to the folk＇＂．There is yet another reading in the active of the first form，kadhabū，i．e．＂Men thought that the prophets had lied in what they related to their people，when ${ }^{\text {rthe fulfilment of the }}$ prophetic message ${ }^{7}$ was delayed in coming to them and they could not detect its having any effect＂．
man nashā＇u，i．e．＂the prophets and the believers＂．They are not specifically named，$\left\ulcorner\right.$ which serves ${ }^{7}$ as an indication ${ }^{174}$ that they are the ones who deserve that their salvation should be desired，without anyone else participating along with them in this quality．Ibn＇Āmir，＇Āṣim，and Ya＇qūb read 「nujjiya＇was saved＇ 1 in the passive perfect tense form．Others read fa－naja $\bar{a}$ 「＂reached salvation＂$\urcorner$ 。
ba＇sunā＂rOur rigour＂when it has come down on them＂．This is an explanatory expression defining ${ }^{\ulcorner }$per exclusionem $\urcorner$those whose salvation is willed．

111 fì qașașihim＂in the stories of the prophets and their peoples＂；or，＂in the story of Joseph and his brethren＂．
li－ūlì l－albābi＂for those who are possessed of understandings 「which are ${ }^{7}$ unclouded by the defects involved in adherence to and reliance on sense－data＇．
mā kāna ḥadīthan muftaran＂the Qur＇ān was not a tale invented＂．
alladhī baina yadaihi $\Gamma$＂of that which was before it＂$"$ consisting in the divine books 「of the pre－Islamic ages？．
kulli shai＇in＂reverything that is needed in religion＂．For there is no aspect of religion that is not either directly or indirectly attested in the Qur＇ān．
hudan＂rguidance＂from erring＂．
raḥmatan＂ra mercy＂whereby the best of both worlds is attained＂．
yu'minūna "who believe in it".
There is a tradition of the Prophet, 'Teach your slaves the Sūrah of Joseph, for if any Muslim studies it and teaches it to his household and to those whom he owns, God will lighten for him the pains of death and give him the power not to envy any 「other ${ }^{7}$ Muslim'.

## NOTES

1. i.e. it is an abstract like huzn, which is equally applicable to grief as a total concept and to any more limited and particular instance of grief.
2. An infinitive in its pure abstract sense cannot be a hāl, but (when in the undefined accusative) can only be an object accusative of one sort or another to a verb. Hence if one adopts the doctrine that qur'änan is the real hāl here, it has to be taken in the more concrete sense 'thing recited', and not the abstract sense 'act of recitation'. Such a sense-development is a common phenomenon; cf. English abstracts ending in -tion, e.g. 'donation' meaning either 'act of donating' or 'thing donated'.
3. Under this hypothesis, $q u r^{\prime} \bar{a} n$ is taken as equivalent to $m a \bar{a} y u q r a ' u$ ' what is recited', where the subject-pronoun contained in the verb is the salhib of this subsidiary $l a \bar{a} l$.
4. The commentators agree that the two words between them (qur'änan 'arabīyan) contain a hāl accusative of which the sāhhib is the -hu of anzalnāhu. But they differ, in that some think
(1) that the true $h \bar{a} l$ to the $-h u$ is the second word to the exclusion of the first; others (2) that the true $h \bar{a} l$ to $-h u$ is the first word to the exclusion of the second; others ( 3 ) that both words are parallel and correlative $h \bar{a} l s$ to $-h u$. Hypothesis (2) admits two subsidiary alternatives: 'arab̄̄yan is either ( $2 a$ ) an adjective to qur'änan, or ( $2 b$ ) a secondary ḷāl, of which the sāhib
 hypothesis ( I ) has its special appropriateness if qur'an is taken as alluding to 'this surrah', as Baidāwī does at the beginning of his note; because the $-h u$ also refers to 'this sūrah', and to make qur'ānan the hāl to -hu would be tautologous (implying 'He revealed this sūrah as this sūrah'!); hence qur'ān should be taken as merely 'preparatory' to the real haăl, 'arabī'an, and to all intents and purposes a badal of -hu.

The rendering of the text under the four hypotheses will be:
I. We have revealed to you it, namely a portion of the revelation, in Arabic.

2a. We have revealed to you it, by way of an Arabic Qur'ān.
2b. We have revealed to you it, by way of a thing to be recited in Arabic.
3. We have revealed to you it, it being a thing to be recited (and) it being in Arabic.
5. naqad 'untwisted part of a fabric', a passive participial sense from the root meaning 'unravel'.
6. salab 'booty' = 'things plundered'.
7. Alternative to what has been said in this and the two preceding comments of Baidaâwi.
8. By this analysis, the verse would have to be rendered 'We relate to you this sürah, in the finest style of relation by means of Our inspiring you (therewith)'.
9. in in place of inna is a sporadic phenomenon in the Qur'an. For the most part it is followed by a nominal sentence, on which it exercises no régime (and does not, as inna does, require a following accusative). But for another example of it being followed by kana see S. x. 30/29 in kunnā 'verily we were', and below, verse 9r in this sūrah.
Observe that in must not be mistaken here for the conditional particle 'if'; for were it so, wa-in kuntum would mean 'even if you are', which is plainly unsuitable to the context, with
its phrase min qablihi. la- (called in this case läm al-färiqah) in front of the predicate of this abbreviated $i n$ is necessary in order to distinguish it from the predicate of a negative in. See Ibn Hishām, Qatr al-nadā, transl. by A. Goguyer under the title La Pluie de rosée (Leiden, 1887), p. 166.
10. Since this hypothesis will not be possible if one takes the view that the previous verse means 'we relate to you this sūrah in the finest style of relation', with hädhā l-qur'äna functioning as the direct object accusative of naqussuu.
11. The badal al-ishtimāl is where the badal is a quality or circumstance of the mabdūl. A stock example is S. ii. 214/217 sa-yas'alūnaka 'ani l-shahri l-harämi qitālin fîhi' they will question you about the sacred month, namely about fighting in it'. Logically, there is little difference between this and the badal al-ba'd min al-kull, but the latter term is mostly restricted to cases where the badal is physically part of the mabdūl (akaltul-raghīfa thulthahu).

The text will under this view be rendered, "We relate to you the best of storytelling, namely when . . .".
12. 'The feminine $t$ ' is here used simply as a convenient term for the $-t$ ending of nouns (which becomes $-h$ in pause), to distinguish it from verbal afformatives, $\& c$. It is not intended to imply that words containing this 'feminine $-t$ ' are necessarily feminine themselves: it being well-known that many are not (as 'allämatun, rāzuiyatun, \&ic.).
13. Faced with the fact that one has a $t$ where one would have expected $y$, the grammarians could do no better than point out that $t$ and $y$ both belong to the letters used as afformatives, hurū̄f al-ziyādah (and $\mathrm{K} \bar{a} z a r u ̄ n \bar{~}$ adds, both $t$ and $y$ are feminine afformatives, the latter as in $k u b r a \bar{a}, \& \mathrm{c}$.), and to that extent the substitution of one for the other is more understandable than if the change were to some letter of the alphabet having nothing in common with the $y$.
14. Because the $t$ is regarded as a noun-termination analogous to the 'feminine $t$ ending' (not because of the 'resemblance' between $t$ and $y$ as letters of augmentation).
15. A 'substitution' by definition excludes the simultaneous appearance of the thing substituted and the thing for which it is substituted.
16. Kāzarūnī's gloss indicates that al-ism here means 'personal pronoun'. Baiḍāwī's extremely obscure remark can be clarified by reference to Zamakhshari's Kashshäf. From this it is apparent that the chain of argument is as follows: (r) $t$ is here a substitute for a personal pronoun affix; (2) every personal pronoun affix belongs to the category of ism; (3) the principles of $i^{\prime} r a \bar{b} b$ require every $i s m$ to end in a vowel (since $j a \approx m$ is peculiar to the verb); (4) the first person pronoun affix is no exception since it is properly -iya; (5) the abbreviation of -iya to the common form $-\bar{i}$ (with sukun of the $y$ ) is only allowable because $y$ is a weak letter; (6) since $t$ is a strong letter, such an abbreviation is not permissible. This argument would appear to be somewhat faulty at stage (5), for -hum and -kum are likewise abbreviations of the more ancient forms -humu and -kumu, yet $m$ is not normally reckoned a weak letter.
17. The list of star-names is corrupt and it is not possible to be certain what stars are meant.
18. With kasrah it is the normal vocative short form of bunayyi; with fathah it represents bunayy $+y a$.
19. The mental faculty which collects and records the sense-data transmitted to it by the five external senses of sight, hearing, smell, touch, and taste. See A. M. Goichon, Lexique de la langue philosophique d'Ibn Sina (Paris, 1938), p. 70.
20. Namely, ihtāla, by which käda has been glossed above.
21. The point here involved is this. kadhälika 'like what ( $=$ in the way that) has previously been mentioned' is appropriate with yajtabika because Joseph's vision (already mentioned) was evidence of God's choosing him out; but it is not appropriate with yu'allimuka because nothing has previously been said about the gift of interpretation of dreams. Hence Baidāwī wishes to point out that the force of the kadhälika does not extend to yu'allimuka, and the latter is an wholly independent sentence. In a strict analysis, kadhälika is a mubtada' of which the khabar is yajtabika, and prima facie wa-yu' allimuka could be either a fresh sentence or an additional khabar to kadhalika; the introduction of a new mubtada', huzva, would have shown that we are dealing with an independent fresh statement, so that the wa connects not with yajtabīka rabbuka alone but with the whole expression kadhälika yajtabīka rabbuka. This is why Baiḍāwī says: 'It is as though the sentence had run wa-huwa . . .'.
22. The context seems to require us here to take the article in al-ru' $y \bar{a}$ as generic.
23. According to Muslim tradition, Abraham mutilated the idols of his native town, as a punishment for which he was thrown into a lime-kiln, or a furnace, but emerged unharmed after some days.
24. In accordance with the dogma that the miracle of the Qur'ān constituted a proof of Muhammad's divine mission.
25. Granted that Joseph had eleven brothers (as is clearly visualized in the biblical narrative), of whom only Benjamin was his full brother, the half-brothers would naturally number ten. Yet the following enumeration names seven half-brothers by Leah and four by concubines. It may be noted that Zamakhsharī lists the thirteen sons of Jacob as named here, but without any reference to 'ten' as the number of the half-brothers.
The confusion arises from discrepancies in the Bible narrative itself in those parts which deal with the 'tribes of Israel' who partitioned Palestine in the pre-exilic period, and who were theoretically descended from the sons of Jacob. The JE layer of narrative (the one we are most familiar with, since it is the basis of the enumeration of the tribes in the Apocalypse) does not include Dinah. But (to quote the Encyclopaedia Biblica, s.v. Tribes) 'There are evident traces in J of an earlier arrangement which included Dinah and excluded Benjamin'. It is the confusion of these two sources, and the inclusion of both Dinah and Benjamin in the same list, that has caused the numerical incongruity here.

## 26. Forbidden in S. iv. 27/23.

27. For the use of this technical term see Ibn Mälik's Alfíyah, lines 303-5. There it is explained that any noun of time, whether indeterminate or determined, can be used as a maf ' $u l$ $f i h i$ (adverbial accusative of time or place) with a sense equivalent to $f \bar{\imath}+$ the noun. So one can say both zirtuhu dhāta yaumin and zirtuhu al-yauma. But nouns of place can only be so used in the accusative provided that they are 'vague' in meaning. ibhäm 'vagueness' is not, however, the same concept as tankir 'indefinition', but resides in the intrinsic meaning of the noun itself: a noun like madinah, whether in its defined or undefined form, is not in its intrinsic meaning 'vague', and hence one cannot replace fī madinatin by madinatan (as one can replace $f i$ sabähin by sabahhan). Nor would ardun if used in the sense of 'ground' (opposed to sea, air, \&c.) be 'vague'; but ardun used in the sense of 'some locality' is 'vague'. Hence Baidaawi's point is that the use of the accusative here shows that the latter meaning is intended.
28. This hypothesis raises the question, what in that case governs the an? Kāzarūnī cites Tibrizi as saying that the expression is equivalent to ma'a kaunikum (thus making the an dependent on an understood $m a^{\prime} a$ ), yielding the sense 'while nevertheless you can be thereafter honest'. This seems difficult grammatically, but gives a better sense, viz. 'Commit this one crime, the consequence of which will be that you will have no rivals in your father's affection, and thereafter (in spite of this one crime) you may live honestly'. The other hypothesis, with takūnūu co-ordinate with yakhlu, is grammatically the most obvious one, but involves the considerable difficulty that it presents 'living honestly in the future' as the direct consequence of a crime. What one really needs for the sense is not 'and you will live honestly' but 'and you may live honestly', which suggests that wa-takūunūu is a brachylogy for wa-yumkin (jussive, co-ordinate with yakhlu) an takūūū.
29. This second hypothesis is evidently dictated by the difficulty alluded to above, of supposing 'honest living' in the true sense to be the direct result of a crime.
30. The vowel $u$ comports two separate phonological elements: a sound produced in the throat and cavity of the mouth, plus rounding of the lips. ishmäm is to use lip-rounding without the throat and buccal sound appropriate to $u$. The term originates in the expression ashamma l-kasra l-damma 'he caused kasr to have a smell of damm', which describes the sound produced by adding lip-rounding to the sound of the vowel $i$, thus producing $\ddot{u}$. The production of this sound is for brevity called simply ishmām. This technical term is further also applied to a pronunciation of the pausal forms of words ending (in their non-pausal forms) in short $u$, in which although the vowel-resonance is omitted, the lip-rounding remains. The relevance of the term in the present context is now plain: just as the elision of short final $u$ in ta'manu gives (with ishmäm) the pause-form ta'man ${ }^{w}$, so the elision of the vowel-sound of the short unaccented $u$ between the two $n$ 's allows them to coalesce, but leaves its trace in the retention of the lip-rounding employed concurrently with the pronunciation of the double $n: \operatorname{ta}^{\prime} \operatorname{man}^{u^{\prime}} n \bar{a}$.
31. If two $n$ 's come together in different words, as in idhan naqūlu, coalescence normally takes place, but it is admissible to omit it and pronounce them clearly as two separate letters. But to apply this latter pronunciation to the present case, thus treating the pronoun-affix as a separate word, would according to Baidāwī be wrong. Nevertheless, Zamakhsharī records the reading with $i \not \approx h \bar{a} r$ al-nūnain without unfavourable comment.
32. Wherever classical Arabic imperfects had $a$ as the two first vowels of the word, all dialects except the Hijazi group had $i$ as first vowel unless preceded by $y$ (C. Rabin, Ancient WestArabian (London, 195I), §§ $6 i$ and $12 p$ ). Hence, in all non-Hijazi dialects: yarkabu, tirkabu, irkabu, nirkabu, yasta'inu, nista'inul, \&cc. This very widespread dialectal feature, of using $i$ as first vowel of the imperfect, has the special name taltalah. The reading timannä, which combines taltalah with 'lightening' of the hamzah, accords with the assertion made in Wright i. 74 D that 'those who used the form ti'lamu also said tithamu for ti'thamu'. This is a puzzling statement; for while taltalah was mainly found in the Eastern dialects, 'lightening' of the hamzah was essentially a Western feature. One suspects that we might have to conclude that the assertion quoted in Wright applies not to all taltalah dialects, but only to certain border-line dialects between East and West which may have shared both features. Alternatively, one might interpret the assertion as meaning that, despite the Eastern dialects' normal preference for preserving hamzah, they yet lightened it just in this one special case of the taltalah forms of verbs beginning with hamzah; but this hardly seems very probable.
33. For Zamakhsharī records that some readers 'lightened' the hamzah and read dhïbu.
34. Historically speaking, the reverse is the case. Obviously, tadha"aba is a derivative of dhi'b and means 'to behave wolfishly'.
35. Wright, i. 283 A; Vernier, Grammaire arabe (1891), para. 975. Conditional sentences preceded by an oath have a slightly different structure from normal ones. In the protasis, only the perfect tense is allowable; the apodosis may be a negative clause, or a nominal sentence beginning with inna, but in neither case here is fa-used (unlike the rule for ordinary conditionals); an affirmative apodosis may also consist of an energetic introduced by la-, which is here termed lāmu jawābi l-qasami. In addition, the protasis may also be introduced by. lu-, and in this case the actual oath-formula is often omitted; and this $l a$ - is the 'läm preparatory to the thing-sworn'.
36. See above, note 23.
37. This 'telling' takes place at the end of the story, when Joseph is ruling in Egypt; see verse 89 .
38. For the use of a masdar adjectivally in the sense of a passive participle, Fakhr al-Din Rāzī in his commentary compares expressions such as dirhamun darbul-amiri'a dirham of the amir's coinage' $=$ 'a dirham struck by the amir', and thaubun nasju l-y'amani 'a garment of Yemeni weave' $=$ 'a garment woven in Yemen'.
39. The first alternative envisages the interpretation, "They put false blood onto his shirt", and Bell's translation of this passage follows this interpretation. The second alternative envisages, "They brought [to Jacob] false blood, [it being] on his shirt". The translations of Blachère, "Ayant présenté [à Jacob] une tunique tachée d'un sang qui n'était pas cclui de Joseph", and of Arberry, "They brought his shirt, with false blood on it", are both paraphrases based on the second alternative (for naturally neither of these two scholars thinks that $j a^{\prime} \bar{u}$ 'alä qamī̦ihi means literally "they brought his shirt"); while Pickthall has rendered the second alternative quite literally, "They came with false blood on his shirt".
40. The normal rule is that the hall may precede its sahaib only when the latter is nominative or accusative, not when it depends on a preposition (Wright, ii. I19 B); but there are poetical instances of this rule being broken.
A $h a \bar{a} l$ may have an undefined ssahhib if the hajl precedes it, and also if the ssablib is semidefined by the addition of a qualifying term. These two circumstances are both present here, and either would be sufficient to justify the use of damin as a saạhib ḥäl.
41. Note this idiomatic use of the elative plus min when following the phrase mā ra'aitu ka-$l$-yaumi. It seems to represent a conflation of two modes of expression, namely, 'I have never seen anyone more so-and-so than this', and 'I have not seen anyone so much so-and-so as (the one I have seen) today'.
42. The two undefined words can only constitute a lafz 'phrase' consisting of noun plus attributive epithet; they cannot constitute a kaläm 'complete statement', and jamìlun cannot be a predicate. The kaläm can only be constituted by the supplying of something to be understood: either the phrase is the predicate of an understood mubtada' (as in Baiḍāw's first explanation), or it is the mubtada' to an understood predicate (as in the second).
43. This tradition contains an allusion to verse 86.
44. Cf. Baidāawi's commentary on verse 99, at end.
45. The feminine forms here refer to the feminine word nafsihi.
46. The ending - $\bar{a}$ spelt with $y$ poses special problems; in such words there was a difference between the pronunciation of the western and the eastern dialects. The classical Arabic rule that the ending is to be pronounced - $\bar{a}$ reflects the eastern pronunciation; for Hijazi, the generally accepted theory is that the pronunciation was $\hat{e}$. This special 'Hijazi imālah' is an independent phonetic phenomenon and its appearance is not conditioned (as is the eastern imãlah) by the phonetic character of the neighbouring sounds. The reading of this word with imailah is hence a Hijazi characteristic. tafkhim is the 'open' pronunciation of fathah without imãlah.
47. The objective in both cases being to avoid having the rest of the caravan claim a share in the price to be got for Joseph.
48. The canonical ūqīrah was 40 dirhams (Hinz, Islamische Masse und Gexvichte, 1955, p. 35).
49. And liable to repeat his running away.
50. The theory of 'something understood and subsequently explained' is a favourite device of the Arabic grammarians for explaining distortions of normal word-order. The best-known example is that of the accusative preceding its governing verb (zaidan qataltu), where the theory is that the accusative, zaidan, is really governed by a verb to be understood, while the explicit verb, qataltu, serves merely as an explanatory addition to clarify the sense of the omitted verb. This hypothesis is by no means as artificial as it might at first sight seem. In some circumstances at least it is unquestionably the true linguistic analysis. If one says, ' My finger! you're pinching it', the exclamation 'my finger' is an independent kalam in itself, with an understood governing verb, equivalent to 'something is happening to my finger'; the phrase 'you're pinching it' serves merely as a non-essential clarificatory addition, specifying the precise sort of thing that is happening to the finger.
The relevance of this principle to the present passage lies in this, that in so far as al$\approx \bar{h} h i d \bar{u} n a$ is equivalent to alladhinna $\approx a h i d \bar{u}$, it would be a distortion of the normal word-order to place a complement of the verb in front of the expression, since no part of a relative clause can precede the relative copula. This is the point of view advanced by Zamakhshari, who states without any qualification that fihi is not directly governed by al-~āhidīna, for this reason; and that it must be explained on the theory of 'something understood and subsequently explained'. But Baidawi's attempt to justify the alternative possibility is mystifying. alzähidūna in this context is plainly a generic concept, and it is difficult to see how the article could here be 'specifying'. Moreover, even if it were in a context where the article was used for specification, it would still be equivalent to alladhina yazhadūna, since alladhй is, like the article itself, both generic and specifying.
51. In the Quranic narrative, al-'Azīz is visualized as the title of Pharaoh's chief minister, and when at the end of the tale Joseph reaches this position, it is applied to him. Qitfir and Itfir are corruptions of the Hebrew Potiphar.
52. S. xl. 36/34.
53. That is, those who hold that Joseph was first sold by his brethren to the Midianites, and then by the latter in Egypt. The alternative to this view would seem to be that the merchants
were not acting as independent traders, but only as agents of al-'Aziz, so that no second sale would have taken place, and the price paid for Joseph can only have been that paid to the brethren, which has already been specified in the text as darāhim ma'düdah.
54. The tale of Jethro's daughter asking her father to hirc Moses; see S. xxviii. 26-27.
55. In organisms which show growth and decay, the wuquif is the 'maximum' which comes between the phase of growth and the phase of decay.
56. Zamakhshari makes the point more simply by glossing the expression as 'I am saying to you, come on!' That is to say, the 'clarification' involved is a clarification of the person addressed, as one might say in English, 'Fiddlesticks to you!' Cp. below, note 79, at end.
57. An exclamation said to be used in the intoxication of drink or victory; though most lexicographers vocalize it as 'it $t i$.
58. With the readings hi'tu and huyyi'tu.
59. silah is here the grammatical term for indirect complements of a finite verb; i.e. "I am ready for you".
60. sha'n here glosses the pronoun -hu, which is thus visualized as the damir al-sha'n. The basic analysis of this phenomenon is that this pronoun is a mubtada', and everything that follows is its predicate, i.e. 'the fact (or, the situation) is (so-and-so)'. Hence if we accept this interpretation of the $-h u$, the phrase constitutes all one sentence, 'The fact is, my lord has treated me well'. In so interpreting it, Baid̄ā agrees with Zamakhsharī; the latter, however, seems in adopting this interpretation to have broken new ground, since all the authorities cited by Tabari quite plainly take the -hu as having a personal reference and the phrase as consisting of two sentences, 'He is my lord; he has treated me well'.
61. Fleischer's text needs here to be emended from tnht to thit (talit).
62. This passage is a specimen of inept condensation on Baidāwi's part. Zamakhshari makes two independent points. One, that a temptation must be experienced in order that merit may be gained by resisting it. Secondly, that laulā an ra'a is a protasis with suppressed apodosis, the implied apodosis being 'he would have succumbed to the temptation'; the expression qataltuhu lau lam akhfi lläha is then cited as a parallel case where the apodosis is suppressed and the first verb has to be taken in the sense of 'being on the point of killing', since the implication of the total expression is 'I was on the point of killing him, [and] if I had not feared God [I would have killed him in fact]'.

The objection to making hamma biha $\bar{a}$ the apodosis of the laul $\bar{a}$ clause is explained in Baiḍāwis next following comment.
63. i.e. it is 'he would have succumbed to the temptation described by hamma bihä'.
64. This hadith is to be found in the Musnad of Ahmad ibn Hanbal (Cairo, 1313, i. 3 10). The story about the hairdresser is as follows. The hairdresser of Pharaoh's daughter (thus in the original hadith) professed belief in the one God, and for this, Pharaoh condemned her and her children to be burnt in a brazen cow. The children were thrown in one by one until it came to the youngest, an infant at the breast, whereupon she showed signs of weakening; but the infant miraculously spoke, encouraging her to be steadfast in her martyrdom.

For the Juraij story, see the Encyclopaedia of Islam, s.v. Djuraydj.
The tale of Jesus speaking in the cradle is alluded to in S. xix. 30-31/29-30.
65. Of course, in kāna dhahaba is the only means Arabic has of expressing a condition set in past time, 'if he has gone'. Baidāwi's remark, however, illustrates how this construction comes about: it has to be analysed as 'if it is the case (or, as Baidāwi puts it, if it is a known thing) that he did go'.
66. The parallelism here suggested by Baiḍāwī requires perhaps a little explanation. In the sentence quoted, qad allsantu ilaika expresses a concrete fact not conditioned by the validity or otherwise of the protasis clause. Hence it is not a true apodosis; the strictly logical formulation of the conditional sentence would require the insertion of an understood middle term, e.g. 'If, in a case where you have in fact done good to me, [you boast of this, I retort by pointing to the concrete fact that] I have already done good to you'; the true logical apodosis here is unexpressed. The parallelism between this and the Quranic text resides in the fact that the latter also requires the addition of something unexpressed in order to make it a true conditional sentence: in this case, 'If [on investigation it turns out to be the case that] his shirt was torn in front, [we shall then know that] she is telling the truth'.
67. $q a b l$ and $b a^{\prime} d$ being fully declined when they have a dependent genitive, but if they have not, they are indeclinable in $-u$.
68. See Wright, i. 178 в Rem.: 'The names of the quarters or directions may be treated as feminine following the gender of jihatun.' Were one at the same time to 'treat such a word as a proper name', it would automatically be diptote, as are all feminine proper names.
69. And so did not need to have his attention drawn by the use of a vocative particle.
70. i.e. the word is treated as a collective noun, ism al-jam (see Wright, i. I8I a), and collective nouns denoting rational beings and not forming a nomen unitatis admit either a masculine or a feminine concord. The reason for making this point is to account for the departure from normal usage whereby nouns denoting feminine persons require a feminine singular verb preceding.

7r. According to laidāwī, therefore, the root has $y$ as last radical and not $w$. But most lexicographers cite both forms.
72. The logical subject of a verb is sometimes replaced by a term more extensive in connotation, which either includes the logical subject or to which the logical subject belongs as an appurtenance. In such a case one may add a tamyiz accusative to specify what the verb logically applies to; e.g. kathura mälan 'he was abundant in wealth', of which the strictly logical form would be kathura māluhhu 'his wealth was abundant'. So here, it was not strictly speaking Joseph who pierced her heart, but 'love for Joseph'.
73. For the connexion between 'cushions to recline on' and 'feast' cf. English 'banquet' which originally meant 'bench'.
74. Jamil b. 'Abd Allah al-'Udhri, the romantic Umayyad poet, commonly known as Jamil Buthainah, from the name of his lady-love Buthainah.
75. Hence the iconographic convention whereby this scene is depicted in Islamic miniature art with the women holding oranges.
76. i.e. a cognate accusative, maf'iul mutlaq.
77. From the panegyric on Husain b. Isḥāq al-Tanūkhī beginning huzva l-bainu hattā māa ta'annä l-hazā’iqu. The printed editions of Mutanabbī normally replace the offensive word 'menstruate' by dhäbat 'melt'.
78. hāshā is one of the exceptive particles and means 'apart from'; see Lane's exhaustive treatment of it under the root hishy. It can be treated either as a noun governing the genitive, or a verb governing the accusative. Under the latter view it is a defective verb of which the implicit subject is the masdar of the verb of the preceding statement; so by this theory, qäma l-qaumu hāshā zaidan is to be analysed as 'the people stood, but the standing did not involve Zaid' or 'left Zaid uninvolved'. It is this concept of 'non-involvement' to which Baidanwì and the grammarians refer by the term tanzih 'regarding as immune'. It is also allowable to say hāashā li-zaidin, on which see the following note. The expression hāasha lilläh ('exception made for God!') is used absolutely as a formula of wonder and admiration. The psychological background of this is that, faced with some phenomenon that gives one a sense of inferiority, the involuntary reaction is 'we are all poor weak things, except for God who created this marvel'. It belongs to the same realm of ideas which leads allähu akbar to be used as an exclamation of astonishment or dismay.
79. Incorrectly vocalized by Fleischer here: the first word has $u$ in the first syllable and no tanwin (nouns of this pattern being diptote-Wright, i. 240 c ); it is also more usual to write the maqsürah vowel with alif and not $y$ after a preceding $y$. The phrase should appear here in the same form as it has been correctly printed in the comment on haita laka in verse 23 . On the ' $l i$ for clarification' see above, note 56 . In this context, too, Zamakhsharī is more explicit, saying 'lillāh is added to explain to whom the exclamation implying "Immunity!" refers'.

8o. See the latter part of note 78 .
81. Though this may seem artificial, it has a certain linguistic validity, for 'near' demonstratives often have a slightly pejorative flavour; $y \bar{a} h \bar{a} d h \bar{a}$ is often used as a familiar form of address, and Latin iste is sometimes used with a contemptuous nuance.
82. Since amara normally governs the 'thing commanded' with bi-, and an accusative of the person.
83. S. xcvi. 15 "We shall seize (him) by the forelock"; written in the traditional orthography with final alif, as if it were an accusative ending.
84. The point involved here is that the standard orthography for the accusative ending of undefined triptote nouns reflects not the context form -an but the pausal form $-\bar{a}$.
85. This seems at first sight strange. But the 'tough' attitude which was what the pre-Islamic Bedouin meant by sabr was frequently strongly tinged with a personal vaingloriousness and self-sufficiency incompatible with what Islam would regard as a proper attitude to God.
86. The logical force of the 'for' goes back to Joseph's statement that he would yield to his natural desires.
87. An allusion to the method adopted in the early sürahs of the Qur'ān, of leading men to monotheism by an appeal to the wonders of nature.
88. In Muslim theology, miracles are acts performed by God for a particular individual as an evidence to the rest of mankind of that individual's holiness.
89. i.e. "before that which my interpretation forecasts comes to pass". This is what is implied by Blachère's translation of the Quranic text. Dawood, though his rendering is very compressed and paraphrased, seems to visualize the same interpretation. The translations of Bell ("There will not come to you any food. . . but before it comes to you I shall tell you the interpretation thereof") and of Arberry ("No food shall come to you . . . but ere it comes to you I shall tell you its interpretation"), would both lead the ordinary reader to suppose that these scholars think dhälikumä refers to the food. This surely does not yield nearly such good sense as Baiḍāwi's interpretation.
90. This sentence is a comment on the last phrase of the preceding verse. For Baidāwì has here so manipulated his phraseology that the Quranic words zwa-ttaba'tu . . Y Ya'qū̆b are not treated as a fresh heading to a new paragraph, but incorporated into the structure of Baidāwi’s own words. Consequently the whole passage from innì taraktu down to bi-l-ākhirah is a single paragraph.
91. If sahhibai is taken in the sense "[my] two companions", then the genitive relationship implied in al-sijni is a loose one meaning 'companions in prison', just as one says 'robber of the night' meaning 'robber in the night'. Thus one might render the text, on this assumption, "Oh you two prison-companions [of mine]", or "fellow-prisoners". Cf. note 95 -
92. See the article Amr in the new edition of the Encyclopaedia of Islam, where it is remarked that in some schools of Islamic theology, 'the amr is one of the designations of the word (kalimah) of God, also called His will, which is an intermediary between the Creator and the first intelligence and the immediate cause of the latter. In a certain sense it can be qualified as the cause of causes.' The latter statement explains why amr is mentioned here.
93. They are not worshipful in their own essence, nor does anything outside them (i.e. reason or authority) demand that they should be worshipped.
94. The correct vocalization is kadhabana $\bar{a}$; the sukūn over the $b$ in the printed text is a mistake.
95. muläbasah is the technical term for the meaning of the ' $b i$ - of concomitance' or 'close relationship' (as in al-khubz bil-milh 'bread with salt'). What Baiḍāwi means is that the genitive here denotes simply a close relationship between the two nouns, a relationship which would, however, be more fully and exactly expressed by a preposition, in this case 'inda (so that dhikru rabbihi is equivalent to al-dhikru'inda rabbihi). A precise parallel to such a genitive use is furnished in English by the biblical expression 'the death of the cross', meaning 'death on the cross'. Cf. also note 9r.
96. i.e. if he had asked for God's help instead of the butler's.
97. Since it is genitive, in case-agreement with 'cows', and not accusative in agreement with 'seven'.
98. We have here an interesting example of the way in which the doctrine of the ideal linguistic perfection ( $i^{\prime} j \bar{j} z$ ) of the Qur'ān has influenced grammatical thought. Faced with 816429
two different constructions, Baidāwī attempts to show that each one is ideally appropriate to its own context. In this attempt, however, he uses a logically inverted argument, in which the cause is substituted for the effect.

The numerals in Arabic are not adjectives (as they are in most European languages) but substantives, which either stand by themselves (sab'un 'seven objects') or are followed by another substantive which has the function of a specifier (mumayyiz) of the category (jins) to which the objects belong (sab'u baqarātin 'seven objects belonging to the category of cows'). If one wishes to add a descriptive or qualifying word (wasf), one can make it a wasf to the mumayyiz, as here, resulting in the analysis 'seven objects belonging to the category of fat cows'; but there is no objection to attaching the wasf to the numeral (the 'thing specified', mumayyaz) and saying simānun 'seven fat objects belonging to the category of cows'. All that one can logically say about the structure of the text here is that because simannin agrees with baqarätin, one can deduce that it is being thought of as part of the specifying concept. And this is indeed all that Zamakhsharī does say. Baidāwī inverts this and asserts that because 'fat' is necessarily [sic] part of the specifying concept, it must be made a wasf to baqarät.

The second case is slightly different, because of the omission of the word 'cows'. Here, Zamakhsharì argues that since 'lean' is by its nature a qualifying concept, and there is no term present in the text, other than 'seven', which it could qualify, it is appropriate to make 'lean' agree in case with 'seven' as an adjectival concept added thereto.
99. Cf. above, Baiḍāwi's comments on verse 5 .
100. Although the technical term for 'dream interpretation' used by most post-classical authors is ta'bir.

## 101. As in al-dāribu li- $\underset{\text { aidin }}{ }$ as against al-daribu $\approx a i d a n$.

102. Baiḍāwì has here abbreviated Zamakhsharī, to the detriment of the sense. Zamakhsharī tells us that one may say yarkabu l-khaila 'he is a horse-rider' and yalbasu 'amä'ima l-khazzi 'he is a muslin turban wearer' although the person in question may only ride one horse or possess one turban. He further adds the alternative explanation that the king may have recounted other dreams besides this particular one.
It may be doubted whether either explanation penetrates to the real reason for the plural here: if one says, 'I dreamed so and so last night', and gets the reply, 'Rubbishy dreams!', the thought implied by the plural is surely 'This particular dream and all others like it are rubbishy things'.
103. The use of the imperfect indicative as a polite imperative is a common phenomenon in Arabic
104. i.e. the thing-symbolized is spoken of in language appropriate to the dream-symbol: the cows and ears of corn. The phrase means strictly 'by way of assimilating between the symbol and the thing-symbolized', but since English usage requires one to say 'assimilate one thing to another', I have been obliged to reverse the order in which Baidawi puts the terms 'symbol' and 'thing-symbolized'.
105. i.e. alluding (since it is plural) to the Egyptians in general, but addressed specifically to Pharaoh as their representative.
106. Verbs which govern with prepositions ought strictly to carry the preposition over into the passive construction, as in ibtada'a bihi 'he began with it', ubtudi'a bihi 'a beginning was
made with it'. Nevertheless, the prepositional expression is sometimes omitted as in almubtada', which stands for al-mubtada' bihi 'the thing with which one begins'.
107. On this idiom see Lane, under the word 'adhiratun; it meant originally 'having a clean courtyard'.
108. A piece of somewhat far-fetched semasiology to account for the relationship between $k h a t b u n$ and khataba. The real fact is probably simpler: khatb 'speech' has come to mean 'an affair spoken about', just as amr has, from amara, of which the original Semitic sense fluctuates between 'speaking' and 'commanding'.
109. See above, note 78 .
in. Humaid b. Thaur, a poct born in pre-Islamic times who died under the Caliph 'Umar.
III. If it is a $\approx a r f$, it depends immediately on the verbal notion of akhun; in the preceding hypothesis it depends on an understood hāl-accusative käinan or an understood nominal hıāl, wa-anä/huzva kā̀inun.
${ }^{112}$. This angelic rebuke is a reminder of what was said in verse 24 , that Joseph's own inclination was to yield to her temptation, and he would have done so had it not been for God's grace.
110. The grammarians are not fully consistent in their treatment of the 'exceptive': they adopt sometimes a bipartite division of the field, sometimes a tripartite one. It is universally agreed that the istithnä' muttasil ('associative' exception) is the case where the thing-exceptedfrom is a defined category within which the thing-excepted constitutes a sub-class, as in, 'I like all my brothers, except for the eldest two'. There is also a type of exception in which the thing-excepted-from is not expressed at all, and this is sometimes called istithnä mufarragh ('voided' exception), as in the example mā $j \bar{a}$ ' $\bar{a}$ ill $\bar{a} \approx a i d u n ~ ' t h e r e ~ d i d ~ n o t ~ c o m e ~ s a v e ~ Z a i d ' . ~$ Here, however, the thing-excepted-from is an implicit general or universal notion; the example just quoted being equivalent to 'there did not come (anyone) except Zaid'. On this point, of the istithna' mufarragh containing an implicit thing-excepted-from of a very general nature, sec below on verse 66. There is thirdly the istithna' munqati' ('dissociative' exception), of which the standard definition is that it is a type in which the thing-excepted belongs to a wholly different category from the thing-excepted-from: the classic example is S . iv.
 him, only the following of conjecture'; in this case, since 'conjecture' is not part of 'certain knowledge' the exceptive particle ceases to be logically an 'exceptive' at all and is virtually equivalent simply to an adversative conjunction ('they have no certain knowledge, but they have conjecture').

This tripartite classification, however, is not always consistently carried out, and in many passages we find the grammarians classifying as munqati any exception which is not muttasil. Thus Zamakhshari, Mufassal, para. 88, includes in his examples of the istithnä' munqatic a sentence like mā nafa' a illä $m a \bar{a}$ darra 'nothing is beneficial except what can also be harmful'which clearly could have been labelled an istithn$\vec{a} \vec{a}$ mufarragh.
In the present passage, Baiḍāwi's first interpretation, "except at the time of God's mercy", takes the exception as mufarragh, the implied general thing-excepted-from being 'at all times'. With this interpretation, the $m \bar{a}$ is the $m a \bar{a}$ of daimümah, meaning 'while'. His second interpretation, "except for such souls as God has mercy on", takes the exception as muttasil, in
which case the $m \bar{a}$ is the pronominal relative, and the thing-excepted-from is al-nafsu 'the whole category of souls' which has been explicitly mentioned in the main clause. In the third interpretation, which he here cites as an example of istithna' ${ }^{\prime}$ munqati $i^{\prime}$, he correctly glosses the illä by the adversative conjunction waläkinna, the mā in that case being masdarīyah; thus the implication is "the human soul is naturally prone to evil, but God is merciful (and will divert the soul from its natural propensity)", or "the soul is naturally prone to evil, apart from the fact that God is merciful (and will, \&cc.)".
114. Zamakhshari: '(Joseph) said, "You saw cows", and then described their colour and their circumstances and the place of their emergence (makāna khurṻjihinna), and he described the ears of corn and all that was to do with them, in the exact manner in which the king had seen them'. In this passage, it is cvident that 'the place of their emergence' alludes to the dry river-bed from which, as described earlier (in the commentary on verse 43), the cows emerged. But what does Baidāwī mean by the makān of the ears of corn? No tradition tells us anything of this. It looks as if Baidāwi, while picking up the word makān from Zamakhsharī, has employed it in a different sense, namely 'point, meaning, import'.
115. Mujāhid b. Jabr, died shortly after 100 A.h., a pupil of 'Abd Allah b. 'Abbās (the father of Qur'ān-interpretation), was a Meccan traditionist of high repute. He is remarkable for the fact that some of his Quranic interpretations showed a distinctly rationalistic tendency, though he was in no way a Mu'tazilite. Goldziher puts it by saying (Richtungen der KoranAuslegung, p. IIO) that the characteristic of Mu'tazilism was to elevate such sporadic fore-runner-interpretations into a regular system.
116. The Egyptian 'Royal' edition of the Qur'ān has $\bar{u} f \bar{i}$ here; the reading $\bar{u} f i$ adopted by Fleischer is that of the Flügel edition. See Nöldeke, Geschichte des Oorans, Teil 3 (2nd ed., 1938), p. 28, on these phonetic spellings with final $-\bar{i},-\bar{u}$ shortened from $-\bar{i},-\bar{u}$, by reason of a following wasl, in order to prevent a long vowel preceding an unvowelled consonant.
117. Since, as is stated in the next comment, there was one servant to each load, there would have been eleven servants in all, which being a number greater than ten strictly requires a 'plural of multitude'.
118. la' alla is, of course, not merely a particle denoting pure factual dubitation; it may imply, as the Arabic grammarians express it, 'the anticipation of something hoped or feared', and the former implication brings it very close to the usage of a 'final' particle.
119. The qasam is the oath-formula itself, and its jawāb is the assertion which is affirmed by the oath. In the present case, the oath-formula is omitted, being implicit in the preceding expression mauthiqan mina llāhi.
120. See above, note 113 .
121. The reason for interpreting the affirmative 'you will bring' as a virtual negative, 'you will not refrain from bringing', is that the istithna' mufarragh (as in mā ja'a illa Zaidun) normally follows a negative. But to adduce (and in this Baiḍāwi is copying Zamakhsharī) aqsamtu billähi illā fa'alta as a comparable case of an affirmative preceding a 'voided' exception, is a piece of unreal formalism. For there can be little doubt that the true analysis of this idiom, meaning 'I adjure you by God to do so', is 'I swear by God, if you will not do so (something terrible will happen)' ${ }^{\text {: illa }}$ is here a true conditional particle (hence the use of the perfect tense fáalta) with an unexpressed apodosis.
122. Literally 'constellation'; said in the lexica to be used metaphorically for a group of distinguished men.
123. 'ain lammah is literally 'an eye which catches (like an infection)'. havāamm is the generic term for reptiles and insects.
124. This depends on 'avail': 'I cannot be of use to you by means of . . $\therefore$ '
125. The reference of the 'that' is either to 'prudence' or 'my suggestion'.
126. The point made here is that, while the wva-serves as the formal connective between the two sentences, the $f a$-implies a thought progression or logical sequence between them; a comparable effect in English would be obtained by rendering "and on Him then let men rely".

On the use of $f a$ - to introduce a main verb when a complement (accusative or prepositional expression) of that verb has been placed in front of it, see Reckendorff, Arabische Syntax, § 164.5 . It is worth noting that in all the instances of this usage cited, the main verb has an imperative sense (as here); and in justification of the observation made in the comment, it can be stated that such imperatives are normally visualized as consequential on a previous statement.
127. Of losing Benjamin on top of Joseph.
128. Although the use of the masculine verb form is legitimate, with the non-rational subject al-masïbatu following, one may suspect that Baidāwi has been influenced by the phraseology of Zamakhsharī, who drafts this passage as follows: asābahum mā säahum min iḍăfati l-saraqati ilaihim . . . vva akhdhi akhīhim . . . vva taḍā̃ufi l-masī̀ati . . . .
129. See above, note 113 . One would prima facie have classified the exceptive here as muttasil, inasmuch as the thing-excepted, häjah, must surely be allowed to belong to the category of ashy $\vec{a}^{\prime}$. Or perhaps better, as mufarragh, inasmuch as shai' is too vague and general an idea to serve as a true thing-excepted-from, and indeed its only function is to reinforce the negative; so that the thing-excepted-from is, in the words of the grammarians, 'an unexpressed very general notion'. On this basis, the interpretation of the text would be "it did not at all avail save (to satisfy) a need" or "it only served (to satisfy) a need".

But when we are told that the exceptive is munqati $i^{i}$, and are given läkinna as a gloss to it, we must conclude that Baiḍāwi takes shai'an to imply ighna"an haqiqiyan "any practical and concrete advantage", a category to which Jacob's psychological need does not belong; and that he interprets the text as meaning "The advice was of no practical use to them, but it did satisfy Jacob's (psychological) need".
130. Jacob's advice not to enter by a single gate was from the worldly point of view sound advice in itself; by adding the proviso that it would be unavailing if God's decree were otherwise, he made it sound from the spiritual point of view also. To all this he was led both by direct inspiration and by the exercise of reason, both of which are God's methods of teaching mankind.
131. This and the following remarks are attempts to exonerate Joseph from the imputation of having preferred a false charge against his brothers, which would have been inconsistent with his prophethood.
132. The exact distinction here made between an 'extended' use (one in which the range of sense covers a wider area within the same category of ideas as the original meaning) and a
'tropical' use (in which the range of sense shifts over into a different category of ideas) is not always made, and many writers on rhetoric use majāz in a sense hardly differing at all from isti‘ärah.
133. In this case, $f a$-huwa jazä'uhu is a separate sentence co-ordinate with the preceding and simply restating it.
134. The thief's own personal freedom is the forfeit which constitutes the penalty.
135. For if the searcher had gone straight to the bag where the cup was, the brothers might have suspected that a trap had been laid.
136. illa an in the sense of 'except on the supposition of so-and-so being true' is quite common in Arabic; and it is, of course, a case of the istithna' mufarragh (see note 113), since the thing-excepted-from is a completely general term, the implication being ' $x$ is true on all suppositions except the supposition of $y$ being the case'. Taken in this sense, the exception is a formal expression of God's overriding will, namely, 'Joseph could not legally take his brother by Egyptian law, except on the supposition of God's seeing fit to make that law different'.
137. Kāzarūnī explains that this piece of polemic is directed against those 'philosophers' who, under Greek influence, asserted that 'God's knowledge is identical with His own being' ('ilmuhu'ainu dhätihi); see on this point Encyclopaedia of Islam, new ed., under Abu 'l-Hudhayl al-'Alläf.
138. See the statement made in the comment on verse 75, that Israelite law enslaved the thief to the person from whom the object was stolen.
139. Two points are involved here: (a) what does the pronoun -hā refer to, and (b) why is it feminine? It is in reference to the latter point that the various feminine terms $i j a ̈ b a h$, maqalah, kalimah, and jumlah are used in the comment. Though it may be noted that Ibn Mas'ūd reads it as $-h u$, in which case, according to Zamakhsharī, the noun envisaged is kaläm or qual. On point (a), Baidāwī advances two alternatives: it refers either to what the brothers said in the immediately preceding paragraph, or to what Joseph thought as expressed in the immediately following words. Zamakhsharī cites only the latter of these two alternatives. Baidāwi's added comment that this latter alternative is disputable seems to be based on the fact that the damir al-sha'n is ordinarily a mubtada' either in the nominative (raf' al-ibtid $\bar{a}$ ) or in the accusative governed by inna or anna; but not functioning as an accusative governed by a verb, as is the case here. On purely grammatical grounds, this observation seems to have some force; but from the point of view of sense, Baidāwi's first alternative seems extremely difficult: how could Joseph conceal from his brethren an imputation which they had made? It appears to me that Zamakhshari is basically right: the pronoun refers to Joseph's reactions to the brethren's remark, and these reactions are defined by the words qäla antum . . ., where qāla means 'thought', as often in Arabic and as rendered in Dawood's translation.
140. The form fa'ilun is capable of being used invariably for both singular and plural.
141. By 'defective' here is meant the use of $q a b l$ (and $b a^{\prime} d$ ) as 'indeclinable in $-u$ ' when deprived of their genitive (see note 63 ). The doctrine is here expressed that it is only permissible to use this indeclinable form when the expression is a zarf (a temporal specification
added to an otherwise complete sentence), and not when it is an indispensable part of the sentence, e.g. the predicate of a nominal sentence or the complement of a verb of which the sense is such as to require a complement. This objection applies equally to hypothesis II ( $a^{11 / 2}$ ).
142. The translations of the text which will result from the hypotheses under II are:
( $a^{1}$ ) "Do you not realize that your father obtained a divinely sanctioned pledge from you, and, aforetime, that you had transgressed in respect of Joseph ?"
( $a^{11 / 1}$ ) "Do you not . . [as above] . . . pledge from you and that your erstwhile transgression was in respect of Joseph?"
( $a^{11 / 2}$ ) "Do you not . . . pledge from you and that your transgression in respect of Joseph was aforetime?"
(b) "Do you not . . . pledge from you? And your transgression in respect of Joseph was aforetime."
143. Logic requires here "whether he stole, or whether he was (falsely) accused and the cup was planted on him": which is in fact what Zamakhshari says.
144. The fact that the Egyptian authorities took it into their heads to search the baggage and promptly found the cup, suggests to Jacob that it was a put-up job on the part of the brethren in collusion with the Egyptians.
145. Baidāwī here confuses two functions of $y \bar{a}$. In the first place, it serves as a vocative particle (harf al-nida'), in which case the construction is well known: (a) the noun following (al-munäd $\bar{a}$ ) is in the accusative unless it is a specifically addressed person with no qualifying term attached, in which case it has dammah but no tanwin; (b) if it has a first person pronoun affix, this is often shortened to $i$. Consequently, if 'grief' here were a vocative, the only acceptable vocalizations would be asafan, asafi, or asafi.
It is, however, also used as a substitute for the 'particle of lamentation' (harf al-nudbah), $w \bar{a}$. In this case, the thing-lamented usually has $-\bar{a}$ (or in pause $-\bar{a} h$ ) affixed; and if it already has a first person affix, this may be either rejected altogether or retained in the form -iyäh (Wright, ii. 94 A ).

When, therefore, Zamakhshari laconically comments, 'asaf is mudāaf and the alif (added to it) replaces the genitive affix $-\bar{\imath}$ ', he clearly visualizes the $y \bar{a}$ as the harf al-nudbah, yielding the interpretation, "Alas for my grief". But Baiḍāwi's addition of the words 'Come on, for this is thy time' would be appropriate only if the $y \bar{a}$ were the harf al-mid $\vec{a}$.
146. An affirmative proposition asserted with an oath must be introduced by la-, except that, when the proposition is in a nominal form, inna followed by la-may replace the introductory la- (e.g. wallāhi la-adribannaka 'By God, I will smite you', wallāhi la-qad kadhabta 'By God, you have lied', wallähi innakum la-fi ḍalalìn 'By God, you are in error'). Without this 'mark of the affirmative', the oath negatives the following proposition (wallahi adribuka 'By God, I will not smite you'); though it is also permissible to insert an explicit negative particle after the oath : see examples in Wright, ii. 176 в. Traces of the negativing force of an oath are found in the modern colloquials: e.g. Egyptian wallah zwähid 'no one'.
${ }^{147 .}$ The semasiology here involved depends on the fact that the concept of 'gathering', jam', in general is associated with a state of well-being, tranquillity, or satisfactory organization (cf., for example, jama'a llāhu shamlahum 'God brought them into a good, well-ordered state'). Hence the antitheses of jam' are associated with anxiety, disturbance, \&c.

## NOTES

148. The word following 'ärif is misprinted in Fleischer's text; it should be lä, not illä. 149. This evidently belongs with the glossing of muzjāt as "worthless".
149. muql is the fruit of the dom-tree or 'Theban palm', a desert bush producing a berry used as cattle fodder, and (in times of famine) for human consumption. The berries can also be pounded up and compressed into a sawiq, which in this connexion indicates a substance somewhat similar to what we should call cattle-cake. See Lane under the word hatiyun, which is the special name for sawiq al-muql.
150. In early Islam, the distinction between ssadaqah and $\approx a k a ̈ t$ was not strictly observed, and the term șadaqah is sometimes applied to what would more strictly be called $\approx a k a \bar{t}$ (see the Shorter Encyclopaedia of Islam, s.v. Sadaqa). The allusion here is to the Islamic doctrine that the Prophet and the Prophet's family were not entitled to any share in the distribution of the proceeds of the zakāt. If this doctrine were applicable to the pre-Islamic prophets, Joseph's brethren could not have been recipients of sadaqah, taken in the narrow sense as equivalent to zakāt, but only in the broad sense of 'beneficence'.
151. On a journey the normal routine of canonical prayer is abbreviated, and this is qaṣr/taqsir al-ṣalät.
152. Cf. the English combination of query and assertion in 'So you're really Joseph ?' where the syntactic structure is assertive but the phrase is uttered in a tone of voice appropriate to a question. So in the Arabic, the sense is basically assertive, but the syntactic structure has prefixed to it the interrogative $a-$, which fulfils the same function as the English vocal inflexion.
153. By omitting the $a$-.
154. Cf. note 9, on in al-mukhaffafah, the in standing for inna.
155. The statement of hypothesis (ii) perhaps lacks something in clarity. It is based on Zamakhsharì, who puts this hypothesis thus: 'today' qualifies the virtual sense of a statement implied in 'alaihim. The point is that la tathriba 'alaihim is the negative form of the statcment (istiqrär) 'they are subject to reproach' 'alaihim tathribun, and by this hypothesis 'today' qualifies that statement: i.e. 'they are today subject to no reproach'.

The gloss 'I do not reproach you today, when it would be most expected, so how much less . . .' appears to be equally applicable to hypotheses (i) and (ii).
157. Note that Tabarī and Zamakhsharī both take this phrase as expressing a prayer, "May God forgive you!" So too Dawood's translation.
158. The Egyptians, before they knew that Joseph was of noble stock, despised him as being a mere bought slave, this is apparent from Zamakhshari's phraseology, 'The Egyptians, even though I have been made ruler over them, look at me, \&c.'. Joseph therefore delicately assuages his brothers' shyness by pointing out that their presence had resulted in making him respected by those who had previously despised him.
subḷän alläh is an exclamation regularly implying dismay or disapproval. In Ireland, 'Glory be to God' is sometimes used similarly as an exclamation of surprise and dismay; but the English 'Praise be to God' is not so used, and is wholly unsuitable as a rendering of subhän alläh. The equivalent English expression is 'Good God!'; this entails recasting the following clause as an exclamatory one, although in the Arabic it is a relative clause replacing the word allāh.
159. Cf. the commentary on verse 15.
160. Cf. Zamakhshari: 'A woman even when young is not endowed with wits, so her wits cannot be said to "fail" in old age'.
161. Since the apodosis cannot, by the theory of the grammarians, precede the protasis, they are obliged to suppose that an unexpressed apodosis follows the protasis laul $\bar{l}$. . .
162. Since the story visualizes the prayer for forgiveness taking place with Joseph actually present and echoing 'amen' to it.
163. Zamakhshari tells us that Abu Ishāq held that Joseph's own mother was still alive. But in the biblical narrative (Gen. xxxv. i8 sqq.) she was by this time dead: hence the explanations given here.
164. i.e. the qualification in shä'a llāh applies not to 'enter' alone, but to the total concept of 'entering in safety'.
165. This remark is a confused and barely intelligible condensation of Zamakhsharī, who says, 'If you ask what is the meaning of their entering in unto him before their entry into Misr, I reply, it suggests that when he welcomed them he halted for them in a camp or tent, and they there entered in unto him and he clasped and embraced his parents, and then said, Enter Miṣr, \&cc.'. Miṣr here implies the capital city of Egypt.
166. In these words, Jacob had failed in his duty of submission to God; he should have feared God instead of the wolf.
167. Epithets to a vocative may be put in the accusative even when the vocative itself is nominative (Wright, ii. 9I D); and of course vocatives in their own right, if mudăf, as this is, are regularly accusative.
168. And all have a share in the barakah, the beneficent influence, of the tomb of the holy man.
169. The phenomenon of the accusative preceding the verb, as in zaidan qataltu(hu), has already been discussed in note 49. A verbal complement placed thus in front of the verb remains in the accusative even in a case where, as here, the verb in fact governs by means of a preposition. Hence the grammarians assume that this accusative is governed by an understood verb governing the accusative which is subsequently 'explained' by a verb of similar meaning but governing with a preposition. Here, an appropriate verb governing the accusative is wati' $a$, subsequently 'explained' by mash $\bar{a}$ 'al $\bar{a}$.
170. 'Ad, Thamūd, \&c., who perished for their rejection of God's message.
${ }^{171 .}$ 'alä basīratin has the function of a hāl because it implies 'I issue a call to God, I being (at the time) in possession of sure knowledge'.
172. See Encyclopaedia of Islam, new ed., under 'Abd Allah b. al-'Abbās.
173. Thus making the prophets subject of both verbs. But in this case zann cannot mean full mental conviction, since a prophet could not seriously and consistently believe such a thing.
174. The fact that they are not named shows that it is taken as axiomatic that nobody else could be referred to by such a statement.
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